

Continuation Awards Only Application for FY 2012 School Improvement Grants (SIG) Program

This application is being used for continuation awards in the following schools:

LEA NAME	SCHOOL NAME	COHORT #	IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS TO DATE	PROJECTED AMOUNT OF FY 12 ALLOCATION
Burlington Edison	West View ES	II	Turnaround Model: Met all required actions	\$319,175
Marysville	Quil Ceda ES	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$260,156
Morton	Morton JHS/SHS	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$408,014
Oakville	Oakville HS	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$295,581
Onalaska	Onalaska MS	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$446,959
Renton	Lakeridge ES	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$655,942
Soap Lake	Soap Lake MS/HS	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$341,861
Spokane	Rogers HS	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$937,624
Toppenish	Valley View SD	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$494,147
Wapato	Wapato MS	II	Transformation Model: Met all required actions	\$687,500

In the table below, list any LEAs with one or more schools for which funding under previously awarded SIG grants will not be renewed. For each such school, note the amount of unused remaining funds and explain how the SEA or LEA plans to use those funds as well as noting the explicit reason and process for reallocating those funds (e.g., reallocate to rural schools with SIG grants in cohort 2 who demonstrate a need for technology aimed at increasing student literacy interaction).

LEA NAME	SCHOOL NAME	DESCRIPTION OF HOW REMAINING FUNDS WERE OR WILL BE USED	AMOUNT OF REMAINING FUNDS
TOTAL AMOUNT OF REMAINING FUNDS:			


School Improvement Grants (SIG) Program FY 2012 Assurances

By submitting this application, the SEA assures that it will do the following (check each box):

- Use FY 2012 SIG funds solely to make continuation awards and will not make any new awards¹ to its LEAs.
- Use the renewal process identified in Washington State's most recently approved SIG application to determine whether to renew an LEA's School Improvement Grant.
- Monitor and evaluate the actions an LEA has taken, as outlined in its approved SIG application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality.
- Monitor and evaluate the actions the LEA has taken, as outlined in its approved SIG application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to LEAs on how they can sustain progress in the absence of SIG funding.
- If a Tier I or Tier II school implementing the restart model becomes a charter school LEA, hold the charter school operator or charter management organization accountable, or ensure that the charter school authorizer holds the respective entity accountable, for meeting the final requirements.
- Report the specific school-level data required in section III of the final SIG requirements.

By submitting the assurances and information above, Washington State agrees to carry out its most recently approved SIG application and does not need to submit a new FY 2012 SIG application.

X **Andrew Kelly, Assistant Superintendent, Office of Student and School Success, OSPI**



3/12/2013

¹ A "new award" is defined as an award of SIG funds to an LEA for a school that the LEA was not previously approved to serve with SIG funds in the school year for which funds are being awarded—in this case, the 2013–2014 school year. New awards may be made with the FY 2012 funds or any remaining SIG funds not already committed to grants made in earlier competitions.