



Race to the Top

Panel Review by Applicant

For Wyoming, Phase I



Selection Criteria	Available	Average Total Score	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5
Status			Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed
A. State Success Factors	125	87.2	91	90	61	110	84
(A)(1) Articulating State's education reform agenda and LEA's participation in it	65	56.8	58	59	40	63	64
(i) Articulating comprehensive, coherent reform agenda	5	4.6	5	5	3	5	5
(ii) Securing LEA commitment	45	40.4	40	42	30	45	45
(iii) Translating LEA participation into statewide impact	15	11.8	13	12	7	13	14
(A)(2) Building strong statewide capacity to implement, scale up, and sustain proposed plans	30	20	24	19	15	30	12
(i) Ensuring the capacity to implement	20	13.2	14	12	10	20	10
(ii) Using broad stakeholder support	10	6.8	10	7	5	10	2
(A)(3) Demonstrating significant progress in raising achievement and closing gaps	30	10.4	9	12	6	17	8
(i) Making progress in each reform area	5	2.6	2	5	1	2	3
(ii) Improving student outcomes	25	7.8	7	7	5	15	5
B. Standards and Assessments	70	42.6	45	45	35	50	38
(B)(1) Developing and adopting common standards	40	20	20	20	20	20	20

(i) Participating in consortium developing high-quality standards	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
(ii) Adopting standards	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
(B)(2) Developing and implementing common, high-quality assessments	10	8.6	10	10	5	10	8
(B)(3) Supporting the transition to enhanced standards and high-quality assessments	20	14	15	15	10	20	10

C. Data Systems to Support Instruction	47	27.6	26	29	24	37	22
(C)(1) Fully implementing a statewide longitudinal data system	24	14	14	14	14	14	14
(C)(2) Accessing and using State data	5	2.8	2	3	2	5	2
(C)(3) Using data to improve instruction	18	10.8	10	12	8	18	6

D. Great Teachers and Leaders	138	86.4	94	88	74	79	97
(D)(1) Providing high-quality pathways for aspiring teachers and principals	21	7.6	5	8	10	9	6
(D)(2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance	58	38.2	41	44	37	30	39
(i) Measuring student growth	5	4.6	5	5	5	3	5
(ii) Developing evaluation systems	15	11.6	10	13	12	8	15
(iii) Conducting annual evaluations	10	5.6	5	8	5	5	5
(iv) Using evaluations to inform key decisions	28	16.4	21	18	15	14	14
(D)(3) Ensuring equitable distribution of effective teachers	25	18.6	25	15	13	15	25

and principals							
(i) Ensuring equitable distribution in high-poverty or high-minority schools	15	11.2	15	8	8	10	15
(ii) Ensuring equitable distribution in hard-to-staff subjects and specialty areas	10	7.4	10	7	5	5	10
(D)(4) Improving the effectiveness of teacher and principal preparation programs	14	7.2	8	7	4	10	7
(D)(5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals	20	14.8	15	14	10	15	20

E. Turning Around the Lowest-Achieving Schools	50	28	15	23	14	45	43
(E)(1) Intervening in the lowest-achieving schools and LEAs	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
(E)(2) Turning around the lowest-achieving schools	40	18	5	13	4	35	33
(i) Identifying the persistently lowest-achieving schools	5	4.4	5	3	4	5	5
(ii) Turning around the persistently lowest-achieving schools	35	13.6	0	10	0	30	28

F. General	55	46.8	50	43	42	55	44
(F)(1) Making education funding a priority	10	7.4	6	8	8	10	5
(F)(2) Ensuring successful conditions for high-performing charter schools and other innovative schools	40	34.8	40	30	30	40	34
(F)(3) Demonstrating other significant reform conditions	5	4.6	4	5	4	5	5

Subtotal (Calculated before determining whether the applicant met the Competitive Preference Priority on STEM)	485	318.6	321	318	250	376	328
--	------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

Competitive Preference Priority 2: Emphasis on STEM	15	0*	0	0	0	0	0
Individual Reviewer Score (see individual reviewer technical review forms)	485	--	321	318	250	376	328

Total	500	318.6
--------------	------------	--------------

	FINAL**		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5
Absolute Priority - Comprehensive Approach to Education Reform	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Applicants are eligible for either 0 or 15 points in Competitive Preference Priority 2: Emphasis on STEM. The total awarded to the applicant is not based on an average of individual reviewer scores in this section. Rather, 15 points are added to the applicant's Average Total Score if a majority of reviewers determined that the applicant has met the STEM criteria (indicated by the individual reviewer entering 15 points in that field). If a majority of reviewers award 0 points in this area, 0 points are added to the applicant's Average Total Score.

** The applicant will be determined to have met the absolute priority if the majority of reviewers responded "yes".

[Back](#)