

## Race to the Top - District Scoring Tool

Excerpt from the Scoring Overview and Chart (Part XV of the Application and Appendix A in the NIA) for scoring criteria or priorities that reference a “high-quality plan” or “ambitious yet achievable goals, performance measures, and annual targets”:

- *A high-quality plan. In determining the quality of an applicant’s plan, reviewers will evaluate the key goals, the activities to be undertaken and rationale for the activities, the timeline, the deliverables, the parties responsible for implementing the activities, and the overall credibility of the plan (as judged, in part, by the information submitted as supporting evidence). Applicants should submit this information for each criterion that the applicant addresses that includes a plan. Applicants may also submit additional information that they believe will be helpful to peer reviewers.*
- *Ambitious yet achievable goals, performance measures, and annual targets. In determining whether an applicant has ambitious yet achievable goals, performance measures, and annual targets, reviewers will examine the applicant’s goals, measures, and annual targets in the context of the applicant’s proposal and the evidence submitted (if any) in support of the proposal. There are no specific goals, performance measures, or annual targets that reviewers will be looking for here; nor will higher ones necessarily be rewarded above lower ones. Rather, reviewers will reward applicants for developing “ambitious yet achievable” goals, performance measures, and annual targets that are meaningful for the applicant’s proposal and for assessing implementation progress, successes, and challenges.*

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
(A)	<b>Vision</b>	<b>40</b>		
	<p><b><u>(A)(1) Articulating a comprehensive and coherent reform vision</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which the applicant has set forth a comprehensive and coherent reform vision that builds on its work in four core educational assurance areas (as defined in this notice) and articulates a clear and credible approach to the goals of accelerating student achievement, deepening student learning, and increasing equity through personalized student support grounded in common and individual tasks that are based on student academic interests.</p>	10	0-2/3-7/8-10	
	<p><b><u>(A)(2) Applicant’s approach to implementation</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which the applicant’s approach to implementing its reform proposal (e.g., schools, grade bands, or subject areas) will support high-quality LEA-level and school-level implementation of that proposal, including—</p> <p>(a) A description of the process that the applicant used or will use to select schools to participate. The process must ensure that the participating schools (as defined in this notice) collectively meet the competition’s</p>	10	0-2/3-7/8-10	

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
	<p>eligibility requirements;</p> <p>(b) A list of the schools that will participate in grant activities (as available); and</p> <p>(c) The total number of participating students (as defined in this notice), participating students (as defined in this notice) from low-income families, participating students (as defined in this notice) who are high-need students (as defined in this notice), and participating educators (as defined in this notice). If participating schools (as defined in this notice) have yet to be selected, the applicant may provide approximate numbers.</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: C-1e, C-1f, C-1g, C-1i, C-2, C-4</i></p>			
	<p><b><u>(A)(3) LEA-wide reform &amp; change</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which the application includes a high-quality plan describing how the reform proposal will be scaled up and translated into meaningful reform to support district-wide change beyond the participating schools (as defined in this notice), and will help the applicant reach its outcome goals (e.g., the applicant’s logic model or theory of change of how its plan will improve student learning outcomes for all students who would be served by the applicant).</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: E-1</i></p>	10	0-2/3-7/8-10	
	<p><b><u>(A)(4) LEA-wide goals for improved student outcomes</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which the applicant’s vision is likely to result in improved student learning and performance and increased equity as demonstrated by ambitious yet achievable annual goals that are equal to or exceed State ESEA targets for the LEA(s), overall and by student subgroup (as defined in this notice), for each participating LEA in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Performance on summative assessments (proficiency status and growth).</li> <li>(b) Decreasing achievement gaps (as defined in this notice).</li> <li>(c) Graduation rates (as defined in this notice).</li> <li>(d) College enrollment (as defined in this notice) rates.</li> </ul> <p>Optional: The extent to which the applicant’s vision is likely to result in improved student learning and performance and increased equity as demonstrated by ambitious yet achievable annual goals for each participating LEA in the following area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(e) Postsecondary degree attainment.</li> </ul> <p><i>For optional goal (A)(4)(e): Applicants scores will not be adversely impacted if they choose not to address optional goal (A)(4)(e).</i></p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: C-1h, E-2, E-2a, E-3, E-3a, E-3b, E-4, E-4a, E-18b</i></p>	10	0-2/3-7/8-10	

	<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>(B)</b>	<b>Prior Record of Success and Conditions for Reform</b>	<b>45</b>		
	<p><b><u>(B)(1) Demonstrating a clear track record of success (15 points)</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which each LEA has demonstrated evidence of—</p> <p>(1) A clear record of success in the past four years in advancing student learning and achievement and increasing equity in learning and teaching, including a description, charts or graphs, raw student data, and other evidence that demonstrates the applicant’s ability to—</p> <p>(a) Improve student learning outcomes and close achievement gaps (as defined in this notice), including by raising student achievement, high school graduation rates (as defined in this notice), and college enrollment (as defined in this notice) rates;</p> <p>(b) Achieve ambitious and significant reforms in its persistently lowest-achieving schools (as defined in this notice) or in its low-performing schools (as defined in this notice); and</p> <p>(c) Make student performance data (as defined in this notice) available to students, educators (as defined in this notice), and parents in ways that inform and improve participation, instruction, and services.</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: C-19, E-5, E-6</i></p>	15	0-3/4-11/ 12-15	
	<p><b><u>(B)(2) Increasing transparency in LEA processes, practices, and investments</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which each LEA has demonstrated evidence of—</p> <p>A high level of transparency in LEA processes, practices, and investments, including by making public, by school, actual school-level expenditures for regular K-12 instruction, instructional support, pupil support, and school administration. At a minimum, this information must include a description of the extent to which the applicant already makes available the following four categories of school-level expenditures from State and local funds:</p> <p>(a) Actual personnel salaries at the school level for all school-level instructional and support staff, based on the U.S. Census Bureau’s classification used in the F-33 survey of local government finances (information on the survey can be found at <a href="http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/f33agency.asp">http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/f33agency.asp</a>);</p> <p>(b) Actual personnel salaries at the school level for instructional staff only;</p> <p>(c) Actual personnel salaries at the school level for teachers only; and</p> <p>(d) Actual non-personnel expenditures at the school level (if available).</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: E-7, E-8, E-9, E-10</i></p>	5	0-1/2-3/4-5	

Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
<p><b><u>(B)(3) State context for implementation</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which each LEA has demonstrated evidence of—</p> <p>Successful conditions and sufficient autonomy under State legal, statutory, and regulatory requirements to implement the personalized learning environments described in the applicant’s proposal.</p>	10	0-2/3-7/8-10	
<p><b><u>(B)(4) Stakeholder engagement and support</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which each LEA has demonstrated evidence of—</p> <p>Meaningful stakeholder engagement in the development of the proposal and meaningful stakeholder support for the proposal, including—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) A description of how students, families, teachers, and principals in participating schools (as defined in this notice) were engaged in the development of the proposal and, as appropriate, how the proposal was revised based on their engagement and feedback, including— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) For LEAs with collective bargaining representation, evidence of direct engagement and support for the proposals from teachers in participating schools (as defined in this notice); or</li> <li>(ii) For LEAs without collective bargaining representation, at a minimum, evidence that at least 70 percent of teachers from participating schools (as defined in this notice) support the proposal; and</li> </ul> </li> <li>(b) Letters of support from such key stakeholders as parents and parent organizations, student organizations, early learning programs, tribes, the business community, civil rights organizations, advocacy groups, local civic and community-based organizations, and institutions of higher education.</li> </ul> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: C-18, C-18a, E-11</i></p>	10	0-2/3-7/8-10	
<p><b><u>(B)(5) Analysis of needs and gaps</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which each LEA has demonstrated evidence of—</p> <p>A high-quality plan for an analysis of the applicant’s current status in implementing personalized learning environments and the logic behind the reform proposal contained within the applicant’s proposal, including identified needs and gaps that the plan will address.</p>	5	0-1/ 2-3/4-5	

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
(C)	<b>Preparing Students for College and Careers</b>	<b>40</b>		
	<p><b><u>(C)(1) Learning</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which the applicant has a high-quality plan for improving learning and teaching by personalizing the learning environment in order to provide all students the support to graduate college- and career-ready. This plan must include an approach to implementing instructional strategies for all participating students (as defined in this notice) that enable participating students to pursue a rigorous course of study aligned to college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) and college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice) and accelerate his or her learning through support of his or her needs. The quality of the plan will be assessed based on the extent to which the applicant proposes an approach that includes the following:</p> <p><u>Learning:</u> An approach to learning that engages and empowers all learners, in particular high-need students, in an age-appropriate manner such that:</p> <p>(a) With the support of parents and educators, all students—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Understand that what they are learning is key to their success in accomplishing their goals;</li> <li>(ii) Identify and pursue learning and development goals linked to college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice), understand how to structure their learning to achieve their goals, and measure progress toward those goals;</li> <li>(iii) Are able to be involved in deep learning experiences in areas of academic interest;</li> <li>(iv) Have access and exposure to diverse cultures, contexts, and perspectives that motivate and deepen individual student learning; and</li> <li>(v) Master critical academic content and develop skills and traits such as goal-setting, teamwork, perseverance, critical thinking, communication, creativity, and problem-solving;</li> </ul> <p>(b) With the support of parents and educators, there is a strategy to ensure that each student has access to—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) A personalized sequence of instructional content and skill development designed to enable the student to achieve his or her individual learning goals and ensure he or she can graduate on time and college- and career-ready;</li> <li>(ii) A variety of high-quality instructional approaches and environments;</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>	0-4/5-14 /15-20	

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
	<p>(iii) High-quality content, including digital learning content (as defined in this notice) as appropriate, aligned with college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice);</p> <p>(iv) Ongoing and regular feedback, including, at a minimum—</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(A) Frequently updated individual student data that can be used to determine progress toward mastery of college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice), or college- and career-ready graduation requirements; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(B) Personalized learning recommendations based on the student’s current knowledge and skills, college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice), and available content, instructional approaches, and supports; and</p> <p>(v) Accommodations and high-quality strategies for high-need students (as defined in this notice) to help ensure that they are on track toward meeting college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice); and</p> <p>(c) Mechanisms are in place to provide training and support to students that will ensure that they understand how to use the tools and resources provided to them in order to track and manage their learning.</p>			
	<p><b><u>(C)(2) Teaching and Leading</u></b></p> <p>The extent to which the applicant has a high-quality plan for improving learning and teaching by personalizing the learning environment in order to provide all students the support to graduate college- and career-ready. This plan must include an approach to implementing instructional strategies for all participating students (as defined in this notice) that enable participating students to pursue a rigorous course of study aligned to college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) and college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice) and accelerate his or her learning through support of his or her needs. The quality of the plan will be assessed based on the extent to which the applicant proposes an approach that includes the following:</p> <p><b>Teaching and Leading:</b> An approach to teaching and leading that</p>	20	0-4/5-14 /15-20	

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
	<p>helps educators (as defined in this notice) to improve instruction and increase their capacity to support student progress toward meeting college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice) by enabling the full implementation of personalized learning and teaching for all students such that:</p> <p>(a) All participating educators (as defined in this notice) engage in training, and in professional teams or communities, that supports their individual and collective capacity to—</p> <p>(i) Support the effective implementation of personalized learning environments and strategies that meet each student’s academic needs and help ensure all students can graduate on time and college- and career-ready;</p> <p>(ii) Adapt content and instruction, providing opportunities for students to engage in common and individual tasks, in response to their academic needs, academic interests, and optimal learning approaches (e.g., discussion and collaborative work, project-based learning, videos, audio, manipulatives);</p> <p>(iii) Frequently measure student progress toward meeting college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice), or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice) and use data to inform both the acceleration of student progress and the improvement of the individual and collective practice of educators; and</p> <p>(iv) Improve teachers’ and principals’ practice and effectiveness by using feedback provided by the LEA’s teacher and principal evaluation systems (as defined in this notice), including frequent feedback on individual and collective effectiveness, as well as by providing recommendations, supports, and interventions as needed for improvement.</p> <p>(b) All participating educators (as defined in this notice) have access to, and know how to use, tools, data, and resources to accelerate student progress toward meeting college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice). Those resources must include—</p> <p>(i) Actionable information that helps educators (as defined in this notice) identify optimal learning approaches that respond to individual</p>			

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
	<p>student academic needs and interests;</p> <p>(ii) High-quality learning resources (e.g., instructional content and assessments), including digital resources, as appropriate, that are aligned with college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice), and the tools to create and share new resources; and</p> <p>(iii) Processes and tools to match student needs (see Selection Criterion (C)(2)(b)(i)) with specific resources and approaches (see Selection Criterion (C)(2)(b)(ii)) to provide continuously improving feedback about the effectiveness of the resources in meeting student needs.</p> <p>(c) All participating school leaders and school leadership teams (as defined in this notice) have training, policies, tools, data, and resources that enable them to structure an effective learning environment that meets individual student academic needs and accelerates student progress through common and individual tasks toward meeting college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice). The training, policies, tools, data, and resources must include:</p> <p>(i) Information, from such sources as the district’s teacher evaluation system (as defined in this notice), that helps school leaders and school leadership teams (as defined in this notice) assess, and take steps to improve, individual and collective educator effectiveness and school culture and climate, for the purpose of continuous school improvement; and</p> <p>(ii) Training, systems, and practices to continuously improve school progress toward the goals of increasing student performance and closing achievement gaps (as defined in this notice).</p> <p>(d) The applicant has a high-quality plan for increasing the number of students who receive instruction from effective and highly effective teachers and principals (as defined in this notice), including in hard-to-staff schools, subjects (such as mathematics and science), and specialty areas (such as special education).</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: E-14, E-15</i></p>			

	<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>(D)</b>	<b>LEA Policy and Infrastructure</b>	<b>25</b>		
	The extent to which the applicant has a high-quality plan to support project implementation through comprehensive policies and infrastructure that provide every student, educator (as defined in this notice), and level of the education system (classroom, school, and LEA) with the support and resources they need, when and where they are needed. The quality of the plan will be determined based on the extent to which--			
	<p><b><u>(D)(1) LEA practices, policies, rules</u></b></p> <p>The applicant has practices, policies, and rules that facilitate personalized learning by—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Organizing the LEA central office, or the consortium governance structure (as defined in this notice), to provide support and services to all participating schools (as defined in this notice);</li> <li>(b) Providing school leadership teams in participating schools (as defined in this notice) with sufficient flexibility and autonomy over factors such as school schedules and calendars, school personnel decisions and staffing models, roles and responsibilities for educators and noneducators, and school-level budgets;</li> <li>(c) Giving students the opportunity to progress and earn credit based on demonstrated mastery, not the amount of time spent on a topic;</li> <li>(d) Giving students the opportunity to demonstrate mastery of standards at multiple times and in multiple comparable ways; and</li> <li>(e) Providing learning resources and instructional practices that are adaptable and fully accessible to all students, including students with disabilities and English learners; and</li> </ul>	15	0-3/4-11 /12-15	
	<p><b><u>(D)(2) LEA and school infrastructure</u></b></p> <p>The LEA and school infrastructure supports personalized learning by—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Ensuring that all participating students (as defined in this notice), parents, educators (as defined in this notice), and other stakeholders (as appropriate and relevant to student learning), regardless of income, have access to necessary content, tools, and other learning resources both in and out of school to support the implementation of the applicant’s proposal;</li> <li>(b) Ensuring that students, parents, educators, and other stakeholders (as appropriate and relevant to student learning) have appropriate levels of technical support, which may be provided through a range of strategies (e.g., peer support, online support, or local support);</li> <li>(c) Using information technology systems that allow parents and students to export their information in an</li> </ul>	10	0-2/3-7/ 8-10	

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
	<p>open data format (as defined in this notice) and to use the data in other electronic learning systems (e.g., electronic tutors, tools that make recommendations for additional learning supports, or software that securely stores personal records); and</p> <p>(d) Ensuring that LEAs and schools use interoperable data systems (as defined in this notice) (e.g., systems that include human resources data, student information data, budget data, and instructional improvement system data).</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: E-16, E-17, E-17a</i></p>			

	<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>(E)</b>	<b>Continuous Improvement</b>	<b>30</b>		
	Because the applicant’s high-quality plan represents the best thinking at a point in time, and may require adjustments and revisions during implementation, it is vital that the applicant have a clear and high-quality approach to continuously improve its plan. This will be determined by the extent to which the applicant has—			
	<b><u>(E)(1) Continuous improvement process</u></b>  A strategy for implementing a rigorous continuous improvement process that provides timely and regular feedback on progress toward project goals and opportunities for ongoing corrections and improvements during and after the term of the grant. The strategy must address how the applicant will monitor, measure, and publicly share information on the quality of its investments funded by Race to the Top – District, such as investments in professional development, technology, and staff;	15	0-3/4-11 /12-15	
	<b><u>(E)(2) Ongoing communication and engagement</u></b>  Strategies for ongoing communication and engagement with internal and external stakeholders; and	5	0-1/2-3/4-5	
	<b><u>(E)(3) Performance measures</u></b>  Ambitious yet achievable performance measures, overall and by subgroup, with annual targets for required and applicant-proposed performance measures. For each applicant-proposed measure, the applicant must describe— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Its rationale for selecting that measure;</li> <li>(b) How the measure will provide rigorous, timely, and formative leading information tailored to its proposed plan and theory of action regarding the applicant’s implementation success or areas of concern; and</li> <li>(c) How it will review and improve the measure over time if it is insufficient to gauge implementation progress.</li> </ul> The applicant must have a total of approximately 12 to 14 performance measures.  <i>Helpful FAQs: C-1h, E-4, E-18, E-18a, E-18b, E-18c, E-18d, E-18e, E-18f, E-19</i>	5	0-1/2-3/4-5	
	<b><u>(E)(4) Evaluating effectiveness of investments</u></b>  Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of Race to the Top – District funded activities, such as professional development and activities that employ technology, and to more productively use time, staff, money, or other resources in order to improve results, through such strategies as improved use of technology, working with community partners, compensation reform, and modification of	5	0-1/2-3/4-5	

	<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
	school schedules and structures (e.g., service delivery, school leadership teams (as defined in this notice), and decision-making structures).			

	<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>(F)</b>	<b>Budget and Sustainability</b>	<b>20</b>		
	<p><b><u>(F)(1) Budget for the project</u></b></p> <p>The applicant’s budget, including the budget narrative and tables—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Identifies all funds that will support the project (e.g., Race to the Top – District grant; external foundation support; LEA, State, and other Federal funds); and</li> <li>(b) Is reasonable and sufficient to support the development and implementation of the applicant’s proposal; and</li> <li>(c) Clearly provides a thoughtful rationale for investments and priorities, including-- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) A description of all of the funds (e.g., Race to the Top – District grant; external foundation support; LEA, State, and other Federal funds) that the applicant will use to support the implementation of the proposal, including total revenue from these sources; and</li> <li>(ii) Identification of the funds that will be used for one-time investments versus those that will be used for ongoing operational costs that will be incurred during and after the grant period, as described in the proposed budget and budget narrative, with a focus on strategies that will ensure the long-term sustainability of the personalized learning environments</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: E-20, E-20a, E-20b, E-20c</i></p>	10	0-2/3-7/ 8-10	
	<p><b><u>(F)(2) Sustainability of project goals</u></b></p> <p>The applicant has a high-quality plan for sustainability of the project’s goals after the term of the grant. The plan should include support from State and local government leaders and financial support. Such a plan may include a budget for the three years after the term of the grant that includes budget assumptions, potential sources, and uses of funds.</p>	10	0-2/3-7/ 8-10	

	<b>Competitive Preference Priority</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
	<p>Competitive Preference Priority: Results, Resource Alignment, and Integrated Services. The Department will give priority to an applicant based on the extent to which the applicant proposes to integrate public or private resources in a partnership designed to augment the schools’ resources by providing additional student and family supports to schools that address the social, emotional, or behavioral needs of the participating students (as defined in this notice), giving highest priority to students in participating schools with high-need students (as defined in this notice). To meet this priority, an applicant’s proposal does not need to be comprehensive and may provide student and family supports that focus on a subset of these needs.</p> <p>To meet this priority, an applicant must—</p> <p>(1) Provide a description of the coherent and sustainable partnership that it has formed with public or private organizations, such as public health, before-school, after-school, and social service providers; integrated student service providers; businesses, philanthropies, civic groups, and other community-based organizations; early learning programs; and postsecondary institutions to support the plan described in Absolute Priority 1;</p> <p>(2) Identify not more than 10 population-level desired results for students in the LEA or consortium of LEAs that align with and support the applicant’s broader Race to the Top – District proposal. These results must include both educational results and other education outcomes (e.g., children enter kindergarten prepared to succeed in school, children exit third grade reading at grade level, and students graduate from high school college- and career-ready) and family and community supports (as defined in this notice) results;</p> <p>(3) Describe how the partnership would –</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Track the selected indicators that measure each result at the aggregate level for all children within the LEA or consortium and at the student level for the participating students (as defined in this notice);</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Use the data to target its resources in order to improve results for participating students (as defined in this notice), with special emphasis on students facing significant challenges, such as students with disabilities, English learners, and students affected by poverty (including highly mobile students), family instability, or other child welfare issues;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Develop a strategy to scale the model beyond the participating students (as defined in this notice) to at least other high-need students (as defined in this notice) and communities in the LEA or consortium over time; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Improve results over time;</p> <p>(4) Describe how the partnership would, within participating schools (as defined in this notice), integrate education and other services (e.g., services that address social-emotional, and behavioral needs, acculturation for immigrants and refugees) for participating students (as defined in this notice);</p>	10	0-2/3-7/ 8-10	

	<p>(5) Describe how the partnership and LEA or consortium would build the capacity of staff in participating schools (as defined in this notice) by providing them with tools and supports to –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Assess the needs and assets of participating students (as defined in this notice) that are aligned with the partnership’s goals for improving the education and family and community supports (as defined in this notice) identified by the partnership;</li> <li>(b) Identify and inventory the needs and assets of the school and community that are aligned with those goals for improving the education and family and community supports (as defined in this notice) identified by the applicant;</li> <li>(c) Create a decision-making process and infrastructure to select, implement, and evaluate supports that address the individual needs of participating students (as defined in this notice) and support improved results;</li> <li>(d) Engage parents and families of participating students (as defined in this notice) in both decision-making about solutions to improve results over time and in addressing student, family, and school needs; and</li> <li>(e) Routinely assess the applicant’s progress in implementing its plan to maximize impact and resolve challenges and problems; and</li> </ul> <p>(6) Identify its annual ambitious yet achievable performance measures for the proposed population-level and describe desired results for students.</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: D-6, D-6a, D-7, D-8, D-9, D-10</i></p>			
--	---	--	--	--

	<b>Absolute Priority 1</b>	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>Point Range (L/M/H)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
	<p><u>Absolute Priority 1: Personalized Learning Environments.</u> To meet this priority, an applicant must coherently and comprehensively address how it will build on the core educational assurance areas (as defined in this notice) to create learning environments that are designed to significantly improve learning and teaching through the personalization of strategies, tools, and supports for students and educators that are aligned with college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) or college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice); accelerate student achievement and deepen student learning by meeting the academic needs of each student; increase the effectiveness of educators; expand student access to the most effective educators; decrease achievement gaps across student groups; and increase the rates at which students graduate from high school prepared for college and careers.</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: D-1, D-2</i></p>	Met/Not Met		

	Selection Criteria	Total Points	Point Range (L/M/H)	Notes
<b>(G)</b>	<b>Optional Budget Supplement</b>			
	<p>An eligible applicant may apply for additional funding (beyond the applicable maximum level provided) up to a maximum of \$2 million for each optional budget supplement to address a specific area that is supplemental to the plan for addressing Absolute Priority 1. The request for additional funding must be designed as a separate project that, if not funded, will not adversely affect the applicant’s ability to implement its proposal and meet Absolute Priority 1. Applications for this funding will be judged on the extent to which the applicant has a clear, discrete, and innovative solution that can be replicated in schools across the Nation. In determining the extent to which the request for an optional budget supplement meets this standard, the Department will consider—</p> <p>(1) The rationale for the specific area or population that the applicant will address (e.g., strategies to assess hard to measure skills and traits such as perseverance, critical thinking, and communication; strategies for increasing diversity across schools and LEAs and within schools and classrooms; data systems; predictive algorithms; content-tagging schemes; new curriculum and online supports for students re-entering school from the juvenile justice system; or a credit recovery program design to support English learners newly entering into secondary school and the quality and feasibility of the proposal for addressing that area);</p> <p>(2) A high-quality plan for how the applicant would carry out activities that would be co-developed and implemented across two or more LEAs (either participating in the full Race to the Top – District application, or not participating in the full Race to the Top – District application); and</p> <p>(3) The proposed budget (up to \$2 million) for each budget supplement, and the extent to which the proposed budget will be adequate to support the development and implementation of activities that meet the requirements of this notice, including the reasonableness of the costs in relation to the objectives, design, and significance of the proposed project activities and the number of students to be served.</p> <p>Note, an optional budget supplement may include a proposal to utilize, across two or more districts, robust measures of student status and growth that assess hard to measure skills and traits such as goal-setting, teamwork, perseverance, critical thinking, communication, creativity, and problem-solving across multiple academic domains and enable evaluation of group and individual learning experiences. The Department believes that utilizing these measures will contribute to the continuous improvement of personalized learning experiences and the tools and resources that support their implementation.</p> <p><i>Helpful FAQs: E-21-E-30</i></p>	15 – scored separately	0-3/4-11 /12-15	

## Definitions

Achievement gap means the difference in the performance between each subgroup (as defined in this notice) within a participating LEA or school and the statewide average performance of the LEA's or State's highest-achieving subgroups in reading or language arts and in mathematics as measured by the assessments required under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended.

College- and career-ready graduation requirements means minimum high school graduation expectations (e.g., completion of a minimum course of study, content mastery, proficiency on college- and career-ready assessments) that are aligned with a rigorous, robust, and well-rounded curriculum and that cover a wide range of academic and technical knowledge and skills to ensure that by the time students graduate high school, they satisfy requirements for admission into credit-bearing courses commonly required by the State's public four-year degree-granting institutions.

College- and career-ready standards means content standards for kindergarten through 12th grade that build towards college- and career-ready graduation requirements (as defined in this notice). A State's college- and career-ready standards must be either (1) standards that are common to a significant number of States; or (2) standards that are approved by a State network of institutions of higher education, which must certify that students who meet the standards will not need remedial course work at the postsecondary level.

College enrollment means the enrollment of students who graduate from high school consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i) and who enroll in a public institution of higher education in the State (as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 1001) within 16 months of graduation.

Consortium governance structure means the consortium's structure for carrying out its operations, including--

- (1) The organizational structure of the consortium and the differentiated roles that a member LEA may hold (e.g., lead LEA, member LEA);
- (2) For each differentiated role, the associated rights and responsibilities, including rights and responsibilities for adopting and implementing the consortium's proposal for a grant;
- (3) The consortium's method and process (e.g., consensus, majority) for making different types of decisions (e.g., policy, operational);
- (4) The protocols by which the consortium will operate, including the protocols for member LEAs to change roles or leave the consortium;
- (5) The consortium's procedures for managing funds received under this grant;
- (6) The terms and conditions of the memorandum of understanding or other binding agreement executed by each member LEA; and
- (7) The consortium's procurement process, and evidence of each member LEA's commitment to that process.

Core educational assurance areas means the four key areas originally identified in the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA) to support comprehensive education reform: (1) adopting standards and assessments that prepare students to succeed in college and the workplace and to compete in the global economy; (2) building data systems that measure student growth and success, and inform teachers and principals with data about how they can improve instruction; (3) recruiting, developing, rewarding, and retaining effective teachers and principals, especially where they are needed most; and (4) turning around lowest-achieving schools.

Digital learning content means learning materials and resources that can be displayed on an electronic device and shared electronically with other users. Digital learning content includes both open source and commercial content. In order to comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, any digital learning content used by grantees must be accessible to individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use screen readers. For additional information regarding the application of these laws to technology, please refer to [www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201105-ese.pdf](http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201105-ese.pdf) and [www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/dcl-ebook-faq-201105.pdf](http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/dcl-ebook-faq-201105.pdf).

Discipline means any disciplinary measure collected by the 2009-2010 or 2011-2012 Civil Rights Data Collection (see <http://ocrdata.ed.gov>).

Educators means all education professionals and education paraprofessionals working in participating schools (as defined in this notice), including principals or other heads of a school, teachers, other professional instructional staff (e.g., staff involved in curriculum development, staff development, bilingual/English as a Second Language (ESL) specialists, or instructional staff who operate library, media, and computer centers), pupil support services staff (e.g., guidance counselors, nurses, speech pathologists), other administrators (e.g., assistant principals, discipline specialists), and education paraprofessionals (e.g., assistant teachers, bilingual/ESL instructional aides).

Effective principal means a principal whose students, overall and for each subgroup, achieve acceptable rates (e.g., at least one grade level in an academic year) of student growth (as defined in this notice) as defined in the LEA's principal evaluation system (as defined in this notice).

Effective teacher means a teacher whose students achieve acceptable rates (e.g., at least one grade level in an academic year) of student growth (as defined in this notice) as defined in the LEA's teacher evaluation system (as defined in this notice).

Family and community supports means—

(1) Child and youth health programs, such as physical, mental, behavioral, and emotional health programs (e.g., home visiting programs; Head Start; Early Head Start; programs to improve nutrition and fitness, reduce childhood obesity, and create healthier communities);

(2) Safety programs, such as programs in school and out of school to prevent, control, and reduce crime, violence, drug and alcohol use and gang activity; programs that address classroom and school-wide behavior and conduct; programs to prevent child abuse and neglect; programs to prevent truancy and reduce and prevent bullying and harassment; and programs to improve the physical and emotional security of the school setting as perceived, experienced, and created by students, staff, and families;

(3) Community stability programs, such as programs that: (a) provide adult education and employment opportunities and training to improve educational levels, job skills, and readiness in order to decrease unemployment, with a goal of increasing family stability; (b) improve families' awareness of, access to, and use of a range of social services, if possible at a single location; (c) provide unbiased, outcome-focused, and comprehensive financial education, inside and outside the classroom and at every life stage; (d) increase access to traditional financial institutions (e.g., banks and credit unions) rather than alternative financial institutions (e.g., check cashers and payday lenders); (e) help families increase their financial literacy, financial assets, and savings; and (f) help families access transportation to education and employment opportunities; (g) provides supports and services to students who are homeless, in foster care, migrant, or highly mobile; and

(4) Family and community engagement programs that are systemic, integrated, sustainable, and continue through a student's transition from K–12 schooling to college and career. These programs may include family literacy programs and programs that provide adult education and training and opportunities for family members and other members of the community to support student learning and establish high expectations for student educational achievement; mentorship programs that create positive relationships between children and adults; programs that provide for the use of such community resources as libraries, museums, television and radio stations, and local businesses to support improved student educational outcomes; programs that support the engagement of families in early learning programs and services; programs that provide guidance on how to navigate through a complex school system and how to advocate for more and improved learning opportunities; and programs that promote collaboration with educators and community organizations to improve opportunities for healthy development and learning.

Four intervention models means the turnaround model, restart model, school closure, and transformational model as defined by the final requirements for the School Improvement Grant (SIG) program, published in the Federal Register on October 28, 2010 (75 FR 66363).

Graduation rate means the four-year or extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate as defined by 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1).

High-need students means students at risk of educational failure or otherwise in need of special assistance and support, such as students who are living in poverty, who attend high-minority schools (as defined in this notice), who are far below grade level, who have left school before receiving a regular high school diploma, who are at risk of not graduating with a diploma on time, who are homeless, who are in foster care, who have been incarcerated, who have disabilities, or who are English learners.

High-minority school is defined by the LEA in a manner consistent with its State's Teacher Equity Plan, as required by section 1111(b)(8)(C) of the ESEA. The LEA must provide, in its Race to the Top – District application, the definition used.

Highly effective principal means a principal whose students, overall and for each subgroup, achieve high rates (e.g., one and one-half grade levels in an academic year) of student growth (as defined in this notice) as defined under the LEAs principal evaluation system (as defined in this notice).

Highly effective teacher means a teacher whose students achieve high rates (e.g., one and one-half grade levels in an academic year) of student growth (as defined in this notice) as defined under the LEAs teacher evaluation system (as defined in this notice).

Interoperable data system means a system that uses a common, established structure such that data can easily flow from one system to another and in which data are in a non-proprietary, open format.

Local educational agency is an entity as defined in section 9101(26) of the ESEA, except that an entity described under section 9101(26)(D) must be recognized under applicable State law as a local educational agency.

Low-performing school means a school that is in the bottom 10 percent of performance in the State, or that has significant achievement gaps, based on student academic performance in reading/language arts and mathematics on the assessments required under the ESEA, or that has a graduation rate (as defined in this notice) below 60 percent.

Metadata means information about digital learning content such as the grade or age for which it is intended, the topic or standard to which it is aligned, or the type of resource it is (e.g., video, image).

On-track indicator means a measure, available at a time sufficiently early to allow for intervention, of a single student characteristic (e.g., number of days absent, number of discipline referrals, number of credits earned), or a composite of multiple characteristics, that is both predictive of student success (e.g., students demonstrating the measure graduate at an 80 percent rate) and comprehensive of students who succeed (e.g., of all graduates, 90 percent demonstrated the indicator). Using multiple indicators that are collectively comprehensive but vary by student characteristics may be an appropriate alternative to a single indicator that applies to all students.

Open data format means data that are available in a non-proprietary, machine-readable format (e.g., Extensible Markup Language (XML) and JavaScript Object Notation (JSON)) such that they can be understood by a computer. Digital formats that require extraction, data translation such as optical character recognition, or other manipulation in order to be used in electronic systems are not machine-readable formats.

Open-standard registry means a digital platform, such as the Learning Registry, that facilitates the exchange of information about digital learning content (as defined in this notice), including (1) alignment of content with college- and career-ready standards (as defined in this notice) and (2) usage information about learning content used by educators (as defined in this notice). This digital platform must have the capability to share content information with other LEAs and with State educational agencies.

Participating school means a school that is identified by the applicant and chooses to work with the applicant to implement the plan under Absolute Priority 1, either in one or more specific grade spans or subject areas or throughout the entire school and affecting a significant number of its students.

Participating student means a student enrolled in a participating school (as defined in this notice) and who is directly served by an applicant's plan under Absolute Priority 1.

Persistently lowest-achieving school means, as determined by the State, consistent with the requirements of the SIG program authorized by section 1003(g) of the ESEA,<sup>1</sup> (1) any Title I school in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that (a) is among the lowest-achieving five percent of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring or the lowest-achieving five Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring in the State, whichever number of schools is greater; or (b) is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 CFR 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent over a number of years; and (2) any secondary school that is eligible for, but does not receive, Title I funds that (a) is among the lowest-achieving five percent of secondary schools or the lowest-achieving five secondary schools in the State that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I funds, whichever number of schools is greater; or (b) is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 CFR 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent over a number of years.

To identify the lowest-achieving schools, a State must take into account both (1) the academic achievement of the "all students" group in a school in terms of proficiency on the State's assessments under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA in reading or language arts and in mathematics combined; and (2) the school's lack of progress on those assessments over a number of years in the "all students" group.

Principal evaluation system means a system that: (1) is used for continual improvement of instructional leadership; (2) meaningfully differentiates performance using at least three performance levels; (3) uses multiple valid measures in determining performance levels, including, as a significant factor, data on student growth (as defined in this notice) for all students (including English learners and students with disabilities), as well as other measures of professional practice (which may be gathered through multiple formats and sources, such as observations based on rigorous leadership performance standards, teacher evaluation data, and student and parent surveys); (4) evaluates principals on a regular basis; (5) provides clear, timely, and useful feedback, including feedback that identifies and guides professional development needs; and (6) is used to inform personnel decisions.

Rural local educational agency means an LEA, at the time of the application, that is eligible under the Small Rural School Achievement (SRSA) program or the Rural and Low-Income School (RLIS) program authorized under Title VI, Part B of the ESEA. Eligible applicants may determine whether a particular LEA is eligible for these programs by referring to information on the Department's Web site at <http://www2.ed.gov/programs/reapsrsa/eligible12/index.html>.

School leadership team means a team that leads the implementation of improvement and other initiatives at the school and is composed of the principal or other head of a school, teachers, and other educators (as defined in this notice), and, as applicable, other school employees, parents, students, and other community members. In cases where statute or local policy, including collective bargaining agreements, establishes a school leadership team, that body shall serve as the school leadership team for the purpose of this program.

Student growth means the change in student achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time, defined as—

(1) For grades and subjects in which assessments are required under ESEA section 1111(b)(3): (a) a student's score on such assessments; and (b) may include other measures of student learning, such as those described in (2) below, provided they are rigorous and comparable across schools within an LEA.

(2) For grades and subjects in which assessments are not required under ESEA section 1111(b)(3): alternative measures of student learning and performance, such as student results on pre-tests, end-of-course tests, and objective performance-based assessments; performance against student learning objectives; student performance on English language proficiency assessments; and other measures of student achievement that are rigorous and comparable across schools within an LEA.

Student-level data means demographic, performance, and other information that pertains to a single student.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Department considers schools that are identified as Tier I or Tier II schools under the School Improvement Grants Program (see 75 FR 66363) as part of a State's approved FY 2009 or FY 2010 applications to be persistently lowest-achieving schools. A list of these Tier I and Tier II schools can be found on the Department's Web site at <http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html>

Student performance data means information about the academic progress of a single student, such as formative and summative assessment data, information on completion of coursework, instructor observations, information about student engagement and time on task, and similar information.

Subgroup means each category of students identified under section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA, as well as any combined subgroup used in the State accountability system and approved by the Department in a State's request for ESEA flexibility.

Superintendent evaluation means a rigorous, transparent, and fair annual evaluation of an LEA superintendent that provides an assessment of performance and encourages professional growth. This evaluation must reflect: (1) the feedback of many stakeholders, including but not limited to educators, principals, and parents; and (2) student outcomes.

Teacher evaluation system means a system that: (1) is used for continual improvement of instruction; (2) meaningfully differentiates performance using at least three performance levels; (3) uses multiple valid measures in determining performance levels, including, as a significant factor, data on student growth (as defined in this notice) for all students (including English learners and students with disabilities), as well as other measures of professional practice (which may be gathered through multiple formats and sources, such as observations based on rigorous teacher performance standards, teacher portfolios, and student and parent surveys); (4) evaluates teachers on a regular basis; (5) provides clear, timely, and useful feedback, including feedback that identifies and guides professional development needs; and (6) is used to inform personnel decisions.

Teacher of record means an individual (or individuals in a co-teaching assignment) who has been assigned the lead responsibility for a student's learning in a subject or course.