



Race to the Top

Comprehensive Assessment Systems Panel Review by Applicant



For Smarter Balanced

Selection Criteria	Available	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5	Reviewer 6	Reviewer 7	Reviewer 8	Reviewer 9			
<i>Status</i>		<i>Completed</i>	SD	Mean	CoV								
(A)(1) Consortium Governance	20	12	10	15	9	12	18	17	15	12	3.08	13.33	0.23
(A)(2) Theory of Action	5	3	2	3	2	4	4	4	4	1	1.12	3	0.37
(A)(3) Assessment System Design	55	45	42	38	39	35	48	40	35	30	5.49	39.11	0.14
(A)(4) Assessment System Development	35	25	30	23	20	28	30	28	23	15	5	24.67	0.2
(A)(5) Research and Evaluation	30	20	20	22	21	28	30	25	18	18	4.3	22.44	0.19
(A)(6) Professional Capacity and Outreach	15	3	6	9	4	11	12	7	6	7	2.99	7.22	0.41
(A)(7) Technology Approach	10	7	5	6	4	10	7	7	7	4	1.87	6.33	0.3
(A)(8) Project Management	30	20	8	18	7	25	26	18	20	20	6.58	18	0.37
Competitive Preference Priority: Collaboration and Alignment with Higher Education	20	15	15	18	18	20	20	18	11	18	2.87	17	0.17

Each reviewer assigns up to 20 points for this competitive priority. The total points awarded to the applicant is based on an average of individual reviewer scores across all sections, including the Competitive Preference Priority section.													
Subtotal	220	150	138	152	124	173	195	164	139	125	23.21	151.11	0.15
Absolute Priority – Comprehensive Assessment Systems Measuring Student Achievement Against Common College- and Career-Ready Standards.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Total	220										23.21	151.11	0.15

[Back](#)