


## Notes on Intent to Apply Survey Data

1. Organizations were not required to submit an intent to apply, nor are they required to apply once they have submitted an intent, so this may not be a completely accurate predictor of the number of applications we will actually receive or of the sources of applications.
2. A few applicants submitted multiple intents to apply, in some cases indicating different priorities or grants types, in other cases changing a zip code or e-mail address. This document reflects all of the information submitted to us via the intent to apply form, meaning duplicate information is included in the survey data and graphs.
3. Charts reflect information that was reported to us and have not otherwise been vetted by the agency for accuracy.
4. We collect this information with the goal of informing our internal planning (i.e., Have we lined up enough peer reviewers? Are there consistent patterns we should address through targeted guidance or FAQs?) and find it helpful for that purpose

# FY2011 PROMISE NEIGHBORHOODS INTENT TO APPLY SURVEY DATA


## 1. Eligible Applicant Type

	Response Total	Response Percent
<b>Non Profit</b>	558	84.29%
<b>IHE</b>	97	14.65%
<b>Indian Tribe</b>	7	>1%




## 2. Type of Grant Requested

<b>Planning</b>	501	75.57%
<b>Implementation</b>	161	24.43%



### 3. Absolute Priority Under Which Applicant Intends To Apply

	Response Total	Response Percent
<b>Absolute Priority 1</b>	507	76.24%
<b>Absolute Priority 2: Rural Communities</b>	132	19.85%
<b>Absolute Priority 3: Tribal Communities</b>	26	3.91%



### 4. Competitive Priority Under Which Applicant Intends To Apply

<b>Priority 4: Comprehensive Local Early Learning Network</b>	547	53.16%
<b>Priority 5: Quality Internet Connectivity</b>	128	12.44%
<b>Priority 6: Arts and Humanities</b>	262	25.46%
<b>Priority 7: Quality Affordable Housing</b>	92	8.94%

