

Subject:

RE: Proposal from the Working Group on prior approval

From: Chip Cameron [fxcameo@]

Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 6:19 AM

To: Kolotos, John; Rory O'sullivan; Angela Peoples; Eileen Connor; Whitney Barkley; Tom Tarantino; Kevin Jensen; Mohr, Rhonda (rmohr@); Warner, Jack; Sandra Kinney; Justice, Della (KYOAG); Libby DeBlasio; Ted Daywalt; Tom Kriger; Helga Greenfield; rhiggs ; Richard Heath; Glen Gabert; Nassirian, Barmak; Barbara Hoblitzell; Jenny Rickard; tdalton@ ; Brian Jones; Raymond Testa; Marc Jerome; JBerkowitz@ ; Belle Wheelan Private; nharvison@

Cc: Margaret Reiter; Mahaffie, Lynn; Wilson, Amy; charlie pou

Subject: Re: Proposal from the Working Group on prior approval

here is the proposal

On Tue, Oct 1, 2013 at 6:18 AM, Chip Cameron <fxcameo@ <mailto:fxcameo@ >> wrote:
Negotiators:

Attached is a proposal from the Working Group on prior approval that came in early this morning. I have included, below, the transmittal message from Margaret Reiter because it contains an explanation of the proposal.

Chip

"Hi Chip,

Here is the proposal from an informal working group on prior approval.

It is a work in progress. We tried to be comprehensive and specific.

We note that DE's prior regulation on prior approval included a number of requirements to describe things. We have not included those, in the interest of keeping this list as short, as little burden, and as brightlined as possible, but we don't necessarily object to additional descriptive requirements being added as DE sees fit, as we may not be seeing issues it believes need to be covered."