

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>The IDEA Part C regulations cited in this APR Response Table as 34 CFR §303.xxx are those regulations which were in effect during FFY 2010. If the State has chosen to implement any of the new regulations published in 76 Federal Register 60140 (September 28, 2011) prior to the required implementation date of July 1, 2012 for a regulation that impacts the measurements for an SPP/ APR indicator, the State must so indicate in its FFY 2011 APR, due February 1, 2013.</p>		
<p><b>Status of Public Reporting on EIS Performance:</b> The State has not publicly reported on the FFY 2008 (July 1, 2008-June 30, 2009) and FFY 2009 (July 1, 2009-June 30, 2010) performance of each early intervention services (EIS) program located in the State on the targets in the State’s performance plan as required by section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 642 of IDEA. In addition, the State has not reported on the targets for Indicator 4 for FFY 2007 (July 1, 2007-June 30, 2008). As stated in the enclosure to OSEP’s March 9, 2012 Continuous Improvement Visit (CIV) letter the State must provide, by June 8, 2012, documentation that it has reported to the public on the performance of each EIS program on the targets in the SPP.</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 73%. These data represent slippage from the State’s revised FFY 2009 data of 76%. The State did not meet its FFY target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued findings of noncompliance in FFY 2011 (October, 2011), based on its FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data in the FFY 2011 APR on the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified for this indicator in FFY 2010, and identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 99%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 96%.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2010 data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																																
		303.344(d)(1)(ii).																																
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets for 2011, and improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets for FFY 2011. All of the revised targets are more rigorous than the previously-established target. (The State did not revise the target for Summary Statement-Outcome B.)</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 493 1577 1502"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 493 957 594"><b><u>Summary Statement 1</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="957 493 1163 594"><b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="1163 493 1369 594"><b><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="1369 493 1577 594"><b><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 594 957 732"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 594 1163 732">80</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 594 1369 732">85</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1369 594 1577 732">80</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 732 957 899"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 732 1163 899">81</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 732 1369 899">85</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1369 732 1577 899">81</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 899 957 1000"><b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 899 1163 1000">81</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 899 1369 1000">86</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1369 899 1577 1000">81</td> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1000 957 1101"><b><u>Summary Statement 2</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="957 1000 1163 1101"><b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="1163 1000 1369 1101"><b><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="1369 1000 1577 1101"><b><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></b></th> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1101 957 1239"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 1101 1163 1239">65</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1101 1369 1239">66</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1369 1101 1577 1239">65</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1239 957 1406"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 1239 1163 1406">66</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1239 1369 1406">63</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1369 1239 1577 1406">63</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1406 957 1502"><b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 1406 1163 1502">66</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1406 1369 1502">66</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1369 1406 1577 1502">66</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b><u>Summary Statement 1</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></b>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	80	85	80	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	81	85	81	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	81	86	81	<b><u>Summary Statement 2</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></b>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	65	66	65	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	66	63	63	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	66	66	66	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2011 in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<b><u>Summary Statement 1</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></b>																															
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	80	85	80																															
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	81	85	81																															
<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	81	86	81																															
<b><u>Summary Statement 2</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></b>																															
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	65	66	65																															
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	66	63	63																															
<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	66	66	66																															

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																				
	<p>These data represent progress and slippage and remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data. The State met all of its FFY 2010 targets for this indicator.</p>																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided revised improvement activities and targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets. The revised targets are less rigorous than the previously-established targets.</p> <p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 509 1583 883"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> <th><u>Progress</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td align="center">76</td> <td align="center">79</td> <td align="center">76</td> <td align="center">3.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td align="center">71</td> <td align="center">77</td> <td align="center">71</td> <td align="center">6.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td align="center">86</td> <td align="center">89</td> <td align="center">86</td> <td align="center">3.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data. The State met all of its FFY 2010 targets for this indicator.</p>		<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	A. Know their rights (%)	76	79	76	3.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	71	77	71	6.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	86	89	86	3.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>
	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>																		
A. Know their rights (%)	76	79	76	3.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	71	77	71	6.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	86	89	86	3.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are 0.57%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data of 0.80%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 1.03%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 2.57%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 2.44%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 2.82%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>																				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State</p>																				

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 13%. The State's FFY 2009 revised data were 14%. The State did not meet its FFY target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued findings of noncompliance in FFY 2011 (October, 2011), based on its FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>In its March 9, 2012 CIV letter, OSEP found that the State does not have procedures to monitor compliance with the requirements for a timely, comprehensive, multidisciplinary evaluation and assessment. OSEP's letter required that, by June 8, 2012, the State must submit its procedures for identifying, and verifying correction of, noncompliance regarding those requirements.</p>	<p>is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data in the FFY 2011 APR on the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified for this indicator in FFY 2010, and identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program,</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>As required by OSEP’s March 9, 2012 CIV letter, by June 8, 2012, the State must submit its procedures for identifying, and verifying correction of, noncompliance regarding the requirements for a timely, comprehensive, multidisciplinary evaluation and assessment. In addition, with its FFY 2011 APR, the State must report the number of findings the State identified regarding the requirements for a timely, comprehensive, multidisciplinary evaluation and assessment, and the dates the State issued those findings.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 60%. These data represent slippage from the State’s FFY 2009 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported during the verification component of OSEP’s CIV that it had no method to collect accurate data for SPP/APR Indicator 8A (IFSPs with transition steps and services), and that it had not collected FFY 2010 data for this indicator. During the</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) and (d)(8). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>SPP/APR clarification period, with its response to OSEP’s FFY 2010 South Carolina Part C SPP/APR Status Table, the State explained how it collected its FFY 2010 Indicator 8A reported data, and indicated that its FFY 2010 data are valid and representative. The State reported that its FFY 2010 data are based on file reviews for 295 children, of which 176 children had transition planning.</p> <p>As required by the verification enclosure of OSEP’s March 9, 2012 CIV letter, the State must submit, by June 8, 2012, the procedures that it will use to collect valid and reliable FFY 2011 data for Indicator 8A that it will report in its FFY 2011 APR, due February 1, 2013.</p> <p>In its March 9, 2012 CIV letter, OSEP found that the State does not have procedures to monitor compliance with the requirements for IFSP content, including transition steps and services. As required by OSEP’s letter, by June 8, 2012, the State must submit its procedures for identifying, and verifying correction of, noncompliance regarding those requirements.</p>	<p>State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) and (d)(8) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State’s Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>In order to ensure accurate public reporting of its FFY 2010 APR, as required in IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii), the State must revise the data provided on page 33 of its FFY 2010 APR to be consistent with the information provided on page 34 of the State's APR. Specifically, the State must revise its Indicator 8A data on page 33 to reflect 60%. In addition, the State must revise the data in the chart on page 34 of the APR to reflect the data from the file reviews. Specifically, the chart should reflect that 176 out of 295 children had transition planning. The State must post the revised FFY 2010 APR on the Lead Agency's Web site.</p> <p>As required by the verification enclosure of OSEP's March 9, 2012 CIV letter, the State must submit, by June 8, 2012, the procedures that it will use to collect valid and reliable FFY 2011 data for Indicator 8A that it will report in its FFY 2011 APR.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's March 9, 2012 CIV letter, by June 8, 2012, the State must submit its procedures for identifying, and verifying correction of, noncompliance regarding the requirements for IFSP content, including transition steps and services. In addition, with its FFY 2011 APR, the State must</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		report the number of findings the State identified regarding the requirements for IFSP content, including transition steps and services, and the dates the State issued those findings.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 87%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 84%. The State did not meet the FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued findings of noncompliance in FFY 2011 (October, 2011), based on its FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 37(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data in the FFY 2011 APR on the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010, and each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2010 data: (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>In order to ensure accurate public reporting of its FFY 2010 APR,</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>as required in IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii), the State must revise the data provided on page 33 of its FFY 2010 APR to be consistent with the information provided on page 35 of the State's APR. Specifically, the State must revise its Indicator 8C data on page 33 to reflect 87%, and post the revised FFY 2010 APR on the Lead Agency's Web site.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State provided no FFY 2010 data for this indicator, which requires the reporting of timely correction in FFY 2010 of findings identified by the State in FFY 2009. The State did not make findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009, although the other State-reported compliance data indicated noncompliance. Because the State did not provide data for this indicator, OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage, or whether the State met its target.</p> <p>The State reported that it issued findings in FFY 2011 (October 2011), based on FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>In its March 9, 2012 CIV letter, OSEP found that the State does not have procedures to monitor compliance with the requirements for a timely, comprehensive, multidisciplinary evaluation and assessment and for IFSP content, including transition steps and services. As required by OSEP's letter, by June 8, 2012, the State must submit its procedures for identifying, and verifying correction of, noncompliance regarding those requirements.</p> <p><u>Special Conditions</u></p> <p>The State's failure to timely identify and timely correct findings of noncompliance was the subject of the 2003 Compliance Agreement with OSEP, and has been the subject of Special Conditions on South Carolina's IDEA Part C grant since FFY 2008. The Special Conditions on the State's FFY 2011 IDEA Part C grant required the State to submit three progress reports, due October 15, 2011, February 1, 2012, and May 7, 2012. The State timely submitted those reports. OSEP reviewed the information provided by the State during the CIV review and in the State's Special Conditions</p>	<p>In the FFY 2011 APR, the State is required to submit data on the timely correction of findings identified in FFY 2010.</p> <p>The State must also report in its FFY 2011 APR on the status of correction of the findings of noncompliance that the State identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure the timely correction of noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and FFY 2011: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance)</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>progress reports. As mentioned above, the State did not make findings of noncompliance until FFY 2011. OSEP will respond more fully in the State’s FFY 2012 IDEA Part C grant award letter.</p>	<p>based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must report on correction of noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In order to ensure accurate public reporting of its FFY 2010 APR, as required in IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii), the State must revise the data provided in its Indicator C-9 worksheet to be consistent with the information provided on page 38 of the State’s FFY 2010 APR. Specifically, the State must revise its Indicator C-9 worksheet to reflect that no findings of noncompliance were identified in FFY 2009, and post the revised FFY 2010 APR on the Lead</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>Agency's Web site.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's March 9, 2012 CIV letter, by June 8, 2012, the State must submit its procedures for identifying, and verifying correction of, noncompliance regarding the requirements for a timely, comprehensive, multidisciplinary evaluation and assessment and for IFSP content, including transition steps and services. In addition, with its FFY 2011 APR, the State must report the number of findings the State identified regarding the requirements for a timely, comprehensive, multidisciplinary evaluation and assessment and for IFSP content, including transition steps and services, and the dates the State issued those findings.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator, as of January 31, 2012, are 100%. These data are based on three complaints. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>

**South Carolina Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 82%. However OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 93%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>See Indicators 8A and 9 in this table for required actions related to data issues from the enclosure to OSEP's March 9, 2012 CIV letter.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2011 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>