

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|--|---|
| <p>The IDEA Part C regulations cited in this APR Response Table as 34 CFR §303.xxx are those regulations which were in effect during FFY 2010. If the State has chosen to implement any of the new regulations published in 76 Federal Register 60140 (September 28, 2011) prior to the required implementation date of July 1, 2012 for a regulation that impacts the measurements for an SPP/ APR indicator, the State must so indicate in its FFY 2011 APR, due February 1, 2013.</p> | | |
| <p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 57%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data of 58%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 were corrected in a timely manner and that the one remaining finding subsequently was corrected by October 2011.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of 15 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 and FFY 2007 were corrected and that the one remaining finding identified in FFY 2006 was not corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that it used data from a State database to report on this indicator. The State further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2010-June 30, 2011), and the State described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflect data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State’s FFY 2008 and FFY 2009 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2010 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p> | <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the remaining seven uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2008 and the one remaining uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2006 were corrected.</p> <p>The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2011 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> |
| <p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 98%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 96%.</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2011 data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|------|------|------|--|------|------|------|---|------|------|------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|------|------|------|--|------|----|------|--|
| | | efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 545 1583 1455"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 545 957 646"><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 545 1163 646"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 545 1369 646"><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1369 545 1583 646"><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 646 957 781">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 646 1163 781">68.3</td> <td data-bbox="1163 646 1369 781">65.9</td> <td data-bbox="1369 646 1583 781">68.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 781 957 951">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 781 1163 951">69.7</td> <td data-bbox="1163 781 1369 951">70.5</td> <td data-bbox="1369 781 1583 951">69.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 951 957 1052">Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 951 1163 1052">71.1</td> <td data-bbox="1163 951 1369 1052">69.6</td> <td data-bbox="1369 951 1583 1052">71.2</td> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1052 957 1153"><u>Summary Statement 2</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 1052 1163 1153"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 1052 1369 1153"><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1369 1052 1583 1153"><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1153 957 1287">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1153 1163 1287">40.2</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1153 1369 1287">41.2</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1153 1583 1287">40.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1287 957 1455">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1287 1163 1455">37.1</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1287 1369 1455">39</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1287 1583 1455">37.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | <u>Summary Statement 1</u> | <u>FFY 2009 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Target</u> | Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%) | 68.3 | 65.9 | 68.4 | Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%) | 69.7 | 70.5 | 69.8 | Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%) | 71.1 | 69.6 | 71.2 | <u>Summary Statement 2</u> | <u>FFY 2009 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Target</u> | Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%) | 40.2 | 41.2 | 40.3 | Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%) | 37.1 | 39 | 37.2 | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p> <p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2011 in the FFY 2011 APR.</p> |
| <u>Summary Statement 1</u> | <u>FFY 2009 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Target</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%) | 68.3 | 65.9 | 68.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%) | 69.7 | 70.5 | 69.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%) | 71.1 | 69.6 | 71.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Summary Statement 2</u> | <u>FFY 2009 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Target</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%) | 40.2 | 41.2 | 40.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%) | 37.1 | 39 | 37.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | | | | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----|----|----|--------|---|----|----|----|--------|--|----|----|----|--------|---|
| | Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%) | 41 | 44.3 | 41.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator] | The State's reported data for this indicator are: <table border="1" data-bbox="548 483 1583 857"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> <th><u>Progress</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td>97</td> <td>96</td> <td>93</td> <td>-1.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)</td> <td>94</td> <td>91</td> <td>92</td> <td>-3.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td>96</td> <td>92</td> <td>90</td> <td>-4.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data. The State met its FFY 2010 targets for 4A and 4C and did not meet its FFY 2010 target for 4B. | | | | | <u>FFY 2009 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Target</u> | <u>Progress</u> | A. Know their rights (%) | 97 | 96 | 93 | -1.00% | B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%) | 94 | 91 | 92 | -3.00% | C. Help their children develop and learn (%) | 96 | 92 | 90 | -4.00% | OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR. |
| | <u>FFY 2009 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Data</u> | <u>FFY 2010 Target</u> | <u>Progress</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Know their rights (%) | 97 | 96 | 93 | -1.00% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%) | 94 | 91 | 92 | -3.00% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. Help their children develop and learn (%) | 96 | 92 | 90 | -4.00% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator] | The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 1.02%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 0.63%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 0.80%. | | | | OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator] | The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 2.09%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 1.54%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 2.0%. | | | | OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day | The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 94%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 69%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for | | | | The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|---|
| <p>timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State reported that it used data from a State database to report on this indicator. The State further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2010-June 30, 2011), and the State described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflect data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State’s FFY 2008 and FFY 2009 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2010 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p> | <p>and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|---|
| | | them, if necessary. |
| <p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 98%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) and (d)(8).</p> |
| <p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p> |
| <p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 95%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2011 APR, due February 1, 2013, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|--|---|
| <p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 78%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data of 81%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 14 of 18 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 were corrected in a timely manner and that four findings were subsequently corrected by October 2011. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that seven of 14 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 were corrected and that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 remained uncorrected. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY 2008 and FFY 2009 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2010 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p> | <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the remaining seven findings identified in FFY 2008, and the one finding identified in FFY 2006, that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2010 APR were corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2011 APR, demonstrating that the State timely corrected findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010, FFY 2009 and FFY 2008 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>When reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program,</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>The State's failure to correct longstanding noncompliance (from FFY 2008 and FFY 2006) raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2011 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8C in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> |
| <p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data as of January 31, 2012 are 100%, based on three complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported in its FFY 2010 data under IDEA section 618, as of January 31, 2012, that there were reports issued for five complaints. In the revised APR, submitted on April 17, 2012, the State stated that the data reported in the FFY 2010 APR was correct and that the State will amend the submission of the FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data not later than June 1, 2012.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p> <p>The State must correct the 618 data reported on Table 4 and resubmit an electronic version to OSEP's data contractor at IDEAData_PartC@westat.com by July 15, 2012. The State must notify the OSEP State Contact</p> |

Nevada Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| | | when this action has been completed. |
| <p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p> | <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p> |
| <p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2010. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any fiscal year in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p> | <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p> |
| <p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2010. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p> | <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p> |
| <p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p> |