

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>The IDEA Part C regulations cited in this APR Response Table as 34 CFR §303.xxx are those regulations which were in effect during FFY 2010. If the State has chosen to implement any of the new regulations published in 76 Federal Register 60140 (September 28, 2011) prior to the required implementation date of July 1, 2012 for a regulation that impacts the measurements for an SPP/ APR indicator, the State must so indicate in its FFY 2011 APR, due February 1, 2013.</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District of Columbia’s (DC’s) FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 86.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 84.4%. DC did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%. DC reported that both of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2010 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
		<p>within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>																
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 91.4%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data of 93.4%. DC did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 95%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>																
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>DC's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1062 1581 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1062 957 1162"><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 1062 1163 1162"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 1062 1369 1162"><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1369 1062 1581 1162"><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1162 957 1300">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1162 1163 1300">95.9</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1162 1369 1300">95.5</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1162 1581 1300">75</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1300 957 1468">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1300 1163 1468">93.3</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1300 1369 1468">85.8</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1300 1581 1468">71.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1468 957 1498">Outcome C:</td> <td data-bbox="957 1468 1163 1498">86.5</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1468 1369 1498">78.7</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1468 1581 1498">80</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	95.9	95.5	75	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	93.3	85.8	71.4	Outcome C:	86.5	78.7	80	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>															
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	95.9	95.5	75															
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	93.3	85.8	71.4															
Outcome C:	86.5	78.7	80															

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)					
	<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>		
	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	21.3	50	31.4		
	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	13.3	33.3	35.7		
	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to Meet their needs (%)	12.0	35.8	44.3		
	These data represent progress and slippage from the FFY 2009 data. DC met part of its FFY 2010 targets for this indicator.					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>DC’s reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>	
	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>		
A. Know their rights (%)	92.2	97	89	4.80%		
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	90.1	95.2	86	5.10%		
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	94.3	95.8	79	1.50%		
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data. DC met all of its targets for FFY 2010.					

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions. DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 0.89%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 0.57%. DC did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 1.50%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions. DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 1.94%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 1.42%. DC did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 3.0%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions. DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 96.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 90.5%. DC did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%. DC reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2011 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator. When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 93%. DC met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>DC reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) and (d)(8)</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and</p>	<p>DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 93%. DC met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>DC reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>§303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>DC’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 86.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 85.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>DC reported that both findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data of 100%. DC met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 14 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The timely correction requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on DC's FFY 2011 IDEA Part C grant. DC submitted progress reports on October 17, 2011 (which submission was amended on February 6, 2012) and February 1, 2012, as required under the FFY 2011 Special Conditions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the steps OSSE is taking to improve compliance with the IDEA requirements to timely identify and correct findings of noncompliance with IDEA Part C requirements as soon as possible, but not later than one year from identification consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. A final progress report was due on May 7, 2012 and OSEP will respond to that final progress report in DC's FFY 2012 IDEA Part C grant letter.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009.</p> <p>When reporting in the FFY 2011 APR on the correction of findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8C in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>DC reported, as of January 31, 2012, that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>DC reported, as of January 31, 2012, that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2011 APR</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements</p>	<p>DC reported, as of January 31, 2012, that no resolutions sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>DC reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2010. The State is not</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2011 APR</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
(applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	required to meet its targets or provide improvement activities in any fiscal year in which fewer than ten resolution sessions were held. Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.	
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	DC reported, as of January 31, 2012, that no mediations were held during the reporting period. DC reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2010. DC is not required to meet its targets or improvement activities in any fiscal year in which fewer than ten mediations were held. Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	DC revised its improvement activities for FFY 2010, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions. DC's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2009 data of 100%. DC met its FFY 2010 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.