

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 84%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 19 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's March 29, 2011 verification letter found the following State practice was inconsistent with the IDEA and OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02): BEIS does not have a mechanism to verify that the EI providers have corrected each individual instance of noncompliance. In the revised APR, submitted on April 18, 2011, the State provided information indicating that it met that requirement for the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>As stated in the enclosure to OSEP’s March 29, 2011 letter, within 90 days of receipt of that letter, the State must provide an assurance that it has revised its procedures for verifying correction of noncompliance to include a process to ensure that the EIS program has corrected noncompliance for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program.</p> <p>In addition, with the FFY 2010 APR, the State must submit documentation that the State is verifying that each EIS program or provider has corrected each individual case of noncompliance in accordance with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.8%. The State’s data</p>	<p>The State’s actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																
[Results Indicator]	reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 95%.	expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).																
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the baseline for this indicator and the targets for FFY 2010, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 862 1581 1510"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 862 957 963"><b><u>Summary Statement 1</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="957 862 1163 963"><b><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="1163 862 1369 963"><b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b></th> <th data-bbox="1369 862 1581 963"><b><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 963 957 1146"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 963 1163 1146">79.0</td> <td data-bbox="1163 963 1369 1146">53.54</td> <td data-bbox="1369 963 1581 1146">79.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1146 957 1365"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1146 1163 1365">83.0</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1146 1369 1365">69.90</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1146 1581 1365">83.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1365 957 1510"><b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1365 1163 1510">84.9</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1365 1369 1510">62.65</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1365 1581 1510">84.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b><u>Summary Statement 1</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></b>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	79.0	53.54	79.0	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	83.0	69.90	83.0	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	84.9	62.65	84.9	The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2010 with the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.
<b><u>Summary Statement 1</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></b>															
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	79.0	53.54	79.0															
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	83.0	69.90	83.0															
<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	84.9	62.65	84.9															

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps															
	<p align="center"><b><u>Summary Statement 2</u></b></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></b></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></b></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></b></p>																
	<p><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</p>	85.2	67.43	85.2																
	<p><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</p>	79.0	54.41	79.0																
	<p><b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</p>	84.6	60.15	84.6																
	<p>The State provided revised baseline data, using FFY 2009 data. Therefore, OSEP is not comparing the FFY 2009 data to FFY 2008 data or to FFY 2009 targets.</p>																			
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1224 1583 1497"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> <th><u>Progress</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td align="center">87.0</td> <td align="center">87.0</td> <td align="center">95.0</td> <td align="center">0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)</td> <td align="center">95.0</td> <td align="center">94.0</td> <td align="center">95.0</td> <td align="center">-1.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	A. Know their rights (%)	87.0	87.0	95.0	0.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)	95.0	94.0	95.0	-1.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>																
A. Know their rights (%)	87.0	87.0	95.0	0.00%																
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)	95.0	94.0	95.0	-1.00%																

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>					<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	95.0	95.0	95.0	0.00%	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>These data represent slippage for 4B and remain unchanged for 4A and 4C from the FFY 2008 data. The State met its FFY 2009 target for 4C and did not meet its targets for 4A and 4B.</p> <p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 1.62%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 1.80%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 1.67%.</p>					<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 3.82%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 3.8%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 3.8%.</p>					<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 98%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 17 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's March 29, 2011 verification letter found the following State practice was inconsistent with the IDEA and OSEP Memo 09-02: BEIS does not have a mechanism</p>					<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>to verify that the EI providers have corrected each individual instance of noncompliance. In the revised APR, submitted on April 18, 2011, the State provided information indicating that it met that requirement for the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p>	<p>reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>As stated in the enclosure to OSEP's March 29, 2011 letter,</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>within 90 days of receipt of that letter, the State must provide an assurance that it has revised its procedures for verifying correction of noncompliance to include a process to ensure that the EIS program has corrected noncompliance for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program. In addition, with the FFY 2010 APR, the State must submit documentation that the State is verifying that each EIS program or provider has corrected each individual case of noncompliance in accordance with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 98%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's March 29, 2011 verification letter found the following State practice was inconsistent with the IDEA and OSEP Memo 09-02: BEIS does not have a mechanism to verify that the EI providers have corrected each individual instance of noncompliance. In the revised APR, submitted on April 18, 2011, the State provided information indicating that it met that requirement for the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>As stated in the enclosure to OSEP's March 29, 2011 letter, within 90 days of receipt of that letter, the State must provide an assurance that it has revised its procedures for verifying</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>correction of noncompliance to include a process to ensure that the EIS program has corrected noncompliance for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program. In addition, with the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State must submit documentation that the State is verifying that each EIS program or provider has corrected each individual case of noncompliance in accordance with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:                      B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 97%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all four of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's March 29, 2011 verification letter found the following State practice was inconsistent with the IDEA and OSEP Memo 09-02: BEIS does not have a mechanism to verify that the EI providers have corrected each individual instance of</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>noncompliance. In the revised APR, submitted on April 18, 2011, the State provided information indicating that it met that requirement for the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p>	<p>correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>them, if necessary.</p> <p>As stated in the enclosure to OSEP’s March 29, 2011 letter, within 90 days of receipt of that letter, the State must provide an assurance that it has revised its procedures for verifying correction of noncompliance to include a process to ensure that the EIS program has corrected noncompliance for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program. In addition, with the next APR due February 1, 2012, the State must submit documentation that the State is verifying that each EIS program or provider has corrected each individual case of noncompliance in accordance with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 214 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 29, 2011 verification letter found the following State practice was inconsistent with the IDEA and OSEP Memo 09-02: BEIS does not have a mechanism to verify that the EI providers have corrected each individual instance of noncompliance. In the revised APR, submitted on April 18, 2011, the State provided</p>	<p>In reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>information indicating that it met that requirement for the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p>	<p>each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>As stated in the enclosure to OSEP’s March 29, 2011 letter, within 90 days of receipt of that letter, the State must provide an assurance that it has revised its procedures for verifying correction of noncompliance to include a process to ensure that the EIS program has corrected noncompliance for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program. In addition, with the FFY 2010 APR, the State must submit documentation that the State is verifying that each EIS program or provider has corrected each individual case of noncompliance in accordance</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>As noted in OSEP’s March 29, 2011 verification letter, within 90 days of receipt of that letter, the State must provide a written assurance that it identifies and requires correction of any noncompliance, including all noncompliance identified through its State database. With the FFY 2010 APR, the State must submit documentation demonstrating that the State requires identification and timely correction of any noncompliance regardless of the amount of noncompliance, including all noncompliance identified through its statewide database.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p> <p>As noted in OSEP’s March 29, 2011 verification letter, within 90 days of receipt of that letter the State must provide a written assurance that it has revised its dispute resolution procedures and practices to ensure that: the State complaint form does not require the complainant to submit information that is in excess of the requirements at 34 CFR §303.511(a); the State carries out an investigation and issues a written decision that addresses</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>each alleged violation of IDEA in State complaints filed with the lead agency consistent with the requirements at 34 CFR §303.512(a); if the State determines the concerns addressed in the written complaint are not within the lead agency’s jurisdiction, the State must document, and provide the complainant with notice of, the reason for the lead agency’s refusal to conduct an investigation of the matter; the State consistently documents the date the complaint is received and issues a written decision within 60 days of the date the complaint is received (unless an extension of time is determined appropriate consistent with the requirements at 34 CFR §303.512(b)(1)); and if the reconsideration process is completed later than 60 days after the original filing of the complaint, implementation of any corrective actions required in BEIS’s final decision is not delayed pending the reconsideration process. With the FFY 2010 APR, the State must submit documentation to demonstrate that the State is correctly implementing the dispute resolution requirements of IDEA, as specified above.</p>
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities	OSEP appreciates the State’s

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two due process hearings. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>efforts in achieving compliance with the due process hearing timeline requirements in 34 CFR §303.420(b).</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported that its one mediation did not result in a mediation agreement. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.  As stated in the enclosure to OSEP's March 29, 2011 verification letter, within 90 days of the date of that letter, the State must provide an assurance that it</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>has revised its procedures to ensure that it sufficiently documents the date the complaints are received. In addition, with the FFY 2010 APR, the State must include a description of how the State ensured that data submitted under Indicator 10 are accurate and reliable.</p>