

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p><b>Status of Public Reporting on EIS Performance:</b> While the State has publicly reported on the FFY 2008 (July 1, 2008-June 30, 2009) performance of each early intervention services (EIS) program located in the State on the targets in the State’s performance plan (SPP) as required by section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 642 of IDEA, those reports do not contain the required information. Specifically, the State did not report on the performance of each EIS program on the targets in the SPP for Indicators 2, 4, 5, and 6. The State must include in its FFY 2010 APR confirmation that it has completed public reporting for these indicators.</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 92.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 91%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that none of the three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 were corrected in a timely manner, and that two findings were subsequently corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that six of 11 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that one remaining finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 for this indicator was corrected.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State’s FFY 2007 and FFY 2008 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2009 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2008 and the remaining five uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007 were corrected.</p> <p>The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision system. The State must take the steps</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2010 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator and that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 and identified in FFY 2007: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																																
home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]	2012. The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 90%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 94%.	the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.																																
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are: <table border="1" data-bbox="548 574 1583 1521"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 574 957 678"><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 574 1163 678"><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 574 1369 678"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1369 574 1583 678"><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 678 957 813"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 678 1163 813">51.5</td> <td data-bbox="1163 678 1369 813">43.5</td> <td data-bbox="1369 678 1583 813">52</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 813 957 984"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 813 1163 984">59.1</td> <td data-bbox="1163 813 1369 984">53.5</td> <td data-bbox="1369 813 1583 984">59</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 984 957 1084"><b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 984 1163 1084">51.5</td> <td data-bbox="1163 984 1369 1084">54.7</td> <td data-bbox="1369 984 1583 1084">52</td> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1084 957 1183"><u>Summary Statement 2</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 1084 1163 1183"><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 1084 1369 1183"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1369 1084 1583 1183"><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1183 957 1318"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1183 1163 1318">39.7</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1183 1369 1318">42.1</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1183 1583 1318">40</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1318 957 1489"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="957 1318 1163 1489">25.6</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1318 1369 1489">26.8</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1318 1583 1489">26</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1489 957 1521"><b>Outcome C:</b></td> <td data-bbox="957 1489 1163 1521">37.2</td> <td data-bbox="1163 1489 1369 1521">38.6</td> <td data-bbox="1369 1489 1583 1521">37</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	51.5	43.5	52	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	59.1	53.5	59	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	51.5	54.7	52	<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	39.7	42.1	40	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	25.6	26.8	26	<b>Outcome C:</b>	37.2	38.6	37	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012. The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2010 with the FFY 2010 APR.
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>																															
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	51.5	43.5	52																															
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	59.1	53.5	59																															
<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	51.5	54.7	52																															
<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>																															
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	39.7	42.1	40																															
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	25.6	26.8	26																															
<b>Outcome C:</b>	37.2	38.6	37																															

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	<table border="1" data-bbox="548 196 1583 264"> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 196 953 264">Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td data-bbox="953 196 1163 264"></td> <td data-bbox="1163 196 1373 264"></td> <td data-bbox="1373 196 1583 264"></td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="548 282 1583 350">These data represent progress and slippage from the FFY 2008 data. The State met part of its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.</p>				Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)																				
Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)																									
<p data-bbox="92 383 512 513">4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p data-bbox="92 532 512 695">A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p data-bbox="92 714 310 747">[Results Indicator]</p>	<p data-bbox="548 383 1583 513">The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p data-bbox="548 532 1226 565">The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 581 1583 954"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 581 978 678"></th> <th data-bbox="978 581 1157 678"><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1157 581 1314 678"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1314 581 1465 678"><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> <th data-bbox="1465 581 1583 678"><u>Progress</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 678 978 748">A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td data-bbox="978 678 1157 748">88</td> <td data-bbox="1157 678 1314 748">76</td> <td data-bbox="1314 678 1465 748">91</td> <td data-bbox="1465 678 1583 748">-12.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 748 978 850">B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td data-bbox="978 748 1157 850">92</td> <td data-bbox="1157 748 1314 850">82</td> <td data-bbox="1314 748 1465 850">91</td> <td data-bbox="1465 748 1583 850">-10.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 850 978 954">C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td data-bbox="978 850 1157 954">92</td> <td data-bbox="1157 850 1314 954">82</td> <td data-bbox="1314 850 1465 954">91</td> <td data-bbox="1465 850 1583 954">-10.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="548 971 1583 1036">These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 targets for this Indicator.</p>					<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	A. Know their rights (%)	88	76	91	-12.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	92	82	91	-10.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	92	82	91	-10.00%	<p data-bbox="1610 383 2011 545">OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>																					
A. Know their rights (%)	88	76	91	-12.00%																					
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	92	82	91	-10.00%																					
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	92	82	91	-10.00%																					
<p data-bbox="92 1071 491 1169">5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p data-bbox="92 1188 310 1221">[Results Indicator]</p>	<p data-bbox="548 1071 1583 1201">The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p data-bbox="548 1221 1583 1286">The State’s FFY 2009 reported data are .64%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of .52%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of .77%.</p>				<p data-bbox="1610 1071 2011 1234">OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>																				
<p data-bbox="92 1325 491 1422">6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p data-bbox="92 1442 310 1474">[Results Indicator]</p>	<p data-bbox="548 1325 1583 1455">The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p data-bbox="548 1474 1583 1503">The State’s FFY 2009 reported data are 2.29%. These data remain unchanged from the</p>				<p data-bbox="1610 1325 2011 1487">OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>																				

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	FFY 2008 data of 2.29%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 2.67%.	
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 64.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 70%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that neither of the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 was corrected in a timely manner, but that both subsequently were corrected.</p> <p>The State reported that nine of 12 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 for this indicator was corrected.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY 2007 and FFY 2008 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2009 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, that the remaining three uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007 were corrected.</p> <p>The State's failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2010 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator and that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2007: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 86.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 79%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the State reported that it did not make any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2008 for this indicator. On page 40 of its FFY 2009 APR, the State reported that "In FFY2008</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3). Because the State reported less than 100%</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>there were no new findings of noncompliance identified although the data reported were less than 100%. Noncompliance was identified in two regional sites. Those regional sites had continuing findings of noncompliance that remained uncorrected from FFY 2007 so new findings were not made.”</p> <p>The State reported that three of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2007 was corrected.</p> <p>The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2010 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator and the EIS program with the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2007: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h)</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and  [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 94.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 56%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.  The State reported that one of the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>2008 was corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of 12 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 was not corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY 2007 and FFY 2008 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2009 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, that the one remaining noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2008, the four remaining noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007, and the one remaining noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2006 were corrected.</p> <p>The State's failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2010 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator, each EIS program with remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2008, and each EIS program with remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2007: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 15.79%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 72.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the remaining four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008, remaining 13 findings identified</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that three of 19 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 were corrected in a timely manner and that 12 findings subsequently were corrected by February 1, 2011. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that 128 of 141 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that 13 of 14 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY 2007 and FFY 2008 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2009 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>in FFY 2007, and remaining one finding identified in FFY 2006 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2009 APR were corrected.</p> <p>The State's failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2010 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2010 APR, demonstrating that the State timely corrected findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2009: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>

**Maine Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any fiscal year in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to meet its targets in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>