

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>Status of data collection on children who received Part C services under IDEA section 635(c): OSEP’s FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in its FFY 2009 APR, due February 1, 2011, its data under APR Indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, and 13 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State is also responsible for monitoring for compliance for these children and will be required to report on these children in the FFY 2010 APR due February 1, 2012 under Indicator 9. In the State’s FFY 2010 APR, the State must continue to include under APR Indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, and 13 eligible children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to make Part C services available to children beyond age three under IDEA section 635(c).</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 97.3%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 96.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 19 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR, due February 1, 2011, its data under APR Indicator 1 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c), pursuant to the policy adopted by the State in FFY 2009. The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 97.3%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 90.5%.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR its data under APR Indicator 2 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c) , pursuant to the policy adopted by the State in FFY 2009. The State provided these data in its section 618 data table submitted on February 1, 2010 and also in Indicator 2. The State reported serving 675 children over the age of three. The State's reported data for this indicator for these children are 91.9%. The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																																
<p>improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 326 1583 1341"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 326 957 423"><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 326 1163 423"><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 326 1371 423"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1371 326 1583 423"><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 423 957 561">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 423 1163 561">62.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 423 1371 561">60.1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1371 423 1583 561">62.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 561 957 732">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 561 1163 732">71.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 561 1371 732">64.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1371 561 1583 732">71.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 732 957 837">Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 732 1163 837">55.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 732 1371 837">55.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1371 732 1583 837">55.4</td> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 837 957 935"><u>Summary Statement 2</u></th> <th data-bbox="957 837 1163 935"><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1163 837 1371 935"><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1371 837 1583 935"><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 935 957 1073">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 935 1163 1073">83.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 935 1371 1073">81.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1371 935 1583 1073">83.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1073 957 1243">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 1073 1163 1243">57.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1073 1371 1243">64.9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1371 1073 1583 1243">57.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1243 957 1341">Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="957 1243 1163 1341">78.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1243 1371 1341">75.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1371 1243 1583 1341">78.4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR its data under APR Indicator 3 on children who were three years or</p>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	62.8	60.1	62.8	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	71.3	64.8	71.3	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	55.4	55.8	55.4	<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	83.6	81.3	83.6	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	57.3	64.9	57.3	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	78.4	75.8	78.4	<p>improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p> <p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2010 APR.</p>
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>																															
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	62.8	60.1	62.8																															
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	71.3	64.8	71.3																															
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	55.4	55.8	55.4																															
<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>																															
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	83.6	81.3	83.6																															
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	57.3	64.9	57.3																															
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	78.4	75.8	78.4																															

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	<p>older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State reported that no children served under IDEA section 635(c) exited Part C with exit data prior to July 1, 2010.</p>																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 526 1581 899"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> <th><u>Progress</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td align="center">83</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">76</td> <td align="center">4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td align="center">81</td> <td align="center">83</td> <td align="center">74</td> <td align="center">2.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td align="center">90</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">84</td> <td align="center">2.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data. The State met all of its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR its data under APR Indicator 4 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State provided all of the required information.</p>		<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	A. Know their rights (%)	83	87	76	4.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	81	83	74	2.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	90	92	84	2.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>
	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>																		
A. Know their rights (%)	83	87	76	4.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	81	83	74	2.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	90	92	84	2.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 data for this indicator are 1.47%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 1.33%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 1.5%.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR its data under APR Indicator 5 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State reported that this</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>																				

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	indicator is not applicable to children served under IDEA section 635(c).	
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 data for this indicator are 3.11%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 3.26%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 2.88%.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR its data under APR Indicator 6 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 98.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 20 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 99.1%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all six of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 99.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all nine of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 99.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 96.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 24 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance)</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 79 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State did not provide improvement activities through FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR, its data under APR Indicator 10 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State did not provide improvement activities through FFY 2012.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>

Maryland Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	<p>the reporting period.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR, its data under APR Indicator 11 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State provided all of the required information.</p>	
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities in any fiscal year in which fewer than ten resolution sessions were held.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR, its data under APR Indicator 12 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that the one mediation did not result in a mediation agreement.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2008 SPP/APR letter, dated June 3, 2010, required the State to include in the FFY 2009 APR, its data under APR Indicator 13 on children who were three years or older and received services under IDEA section 635(c). The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>