

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 84.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 81%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the OSSE did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator during FFY 2008. The OSSE explained that it verified correction of the noncompliance prior to the issuance of a finding, consistent with the requirements of OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it used data from a State database to report on this indicator. The OSSE further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010), and the OSSE described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflects data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p>	<p>The OSSE must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the OSSE is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the OSSE must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the OSSE reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the OSSE must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the OSSE reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or an OSSE data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must describe</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
		<p>the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the OSSE does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>																
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and 2012. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 93.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 81.9%. The OSSE did not meet its 2009 target of 94.5%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the OSSE's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>																
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1062 1591 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center">75</td> <td align="center">95.9</td> <td align="center">75.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)</td> <td align="center">71</td> <td align="center">93.3</td> <td align="center">71.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome C:</td> <td align="center">80</td> <td align="center">86.5</td> <td align="center">80.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	75	95.9	75.0	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	71	93.3	71.4	Outcome C:	80	86.5	80.0	<p>OSEP appreciates the OSSE's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the OSSE's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2010 with the FFY 2010 APR.</p>
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>															
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	75	95.9	75.0															
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	71	93.3	71.4															
Outcome C:	80	86.5	80.0															

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)				
	<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	
	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	31	21.3	31.4	
	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	36	13.3	35.7	
	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	80	12.0	44.3	
	These data represent progress and slippage from the FFY 2008 data. The OSSE met part of its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE’s FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the OSSE’s efforts to improve performance.</p>
	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	
A. Know their rights (%)	93	92.2	88.9	-0.80%	
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	91	90.1	85	-0.90%	
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	81	94.3	78.9	13.30%	

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>These data represent progress for 4C from the FFY 2008 data. The OSSE met its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.</p>	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are .57%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of .36%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 1.40%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the OSSE's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 1.42%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 1.37%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 2.50%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the OSSE's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 90.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 87%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it used data from a database to report on this indicator. The OSSE further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010), and the OSSE described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflects data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that the three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The OSSE must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the OSSE is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the OSSE must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the OSSE reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the OSSE must report, in its FFY</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the OSSE reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the OSSE does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 91%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target</p>	<p>The OSSE must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the OSSE is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C.</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>of 100%.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it used data from a database to report on this indicator. The OSSE further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010), and the OSSE described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflects data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p> <p>Although the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the OSSE did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator during FFY 2008. The OSSE explained that it verified correction of the noncompliance prior to the issuance of a finding, consistent with the requirements of OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>	<p>1436(a)(3). Because the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the OSSE must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the OSSE reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the OSSE must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the OSSE reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the OSSE's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the OSSE does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it used data from a database to report on this indicator. The OSSE further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010), and the OSSE described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflects data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p>	<p>The OSSE must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the OSSE is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the OSSE must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the OSSE reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the OSSE must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the OSSE reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the OSSE's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the OSSE does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the OSSE must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 85.7%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 95%. The OSSE did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it used data from a database to report on this indicator. The OSSE further reported that it did not use data for the full reporting period (July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010), and the OSSE described how the time period in which the data were collected accurately reflects data for infants and toddlers with IFSPs for the full reporting period.</p> <p>Although the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2008, the OSSE did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator during FFY 2008. The OSSE explained that it verified correction of the noncompliance prior to the issuance of a finding, consistent with the requirements of OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>	<p>The OSSE must demonstrate, in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, that the OSSE is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the OSSE reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2009, the OSSE must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the OSSE reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the OSSE must report, in its FFY 2010 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2009 data the OSSE reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the OSSE does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The OSSE did not report FFY 2008 data for this indicator (i.e., the OSSE did not report on the correction in FFY 2008 findings it had made in FFY 2007) because the OSSE reported it did not monitor EIS programs in FFY 2007. Therefore, OSEP could not determine whether there was progress or slippage. The OSSE met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p><u>FFY 2010 Special Conditions</u></p> <p>The timely correction requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on the OSSE's FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 IDEA Part C grant awards. In the</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the OSSE's efforts in timely correcting findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the OSSE must report that it verified that each EIS program with findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2009: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>Special Conditions progress report submitted October 1, 2010, the OSSE reported that it had corrected the three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 and had instituted a new system in FFY 2009, under which system the OSSE’s QAM unit is responsible for making findings and made findings during FFY 2009 under Indicators 1, 7, and 8. The OSSE also reported that it continues to receive technical assistance from Mid-South Regional Resource Center, the National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Center, and the Data Accountability Center. OSEP will respond to D.C.’s Part C FFY 2010 Special Conditions in D.C.’s FFY 2011 Part C grant award letter.</p> <p><u>FFY 2010 Determination</u></p> <p>The OSSE received a determination on June 1, 2010 of being in need of intervention (NI) for four consecutive years based on its FFYs 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009 APRs. Both the July 2010 Special Conditions and the June 2010 NI determination letters required the OSSE to submit a CAP. The OSSE submitted, and OSEP approved, an amended CAP, which required progress reports on October 1, 2010, February 1, 2011, and May 1, 2011 and which address findings identified in OSEP’s May 10, 2010 verification letter.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 29, 2011 response to the OSSE’s February 1, 2011 Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Progress Report required the OSSE to document, in addition to the data collected for APR purposes, how it reviews all data that it has received since the last time it examined data from the database when making compliance decisions. In the May 2, 2011 CAP Progress report, the OSSE provided a description of the mechanisms it utilizes to review data outside of the fourth quarter data review to ensure compliance with the IDEA for each APR reporting period.</p> <p>The OSSE was also required to revise the language in its Part C monitoring reports and corrective action plans that it issues to EIS programs to clarify that in all instances of noncompliance, the early intervention program/provider must both: (1) demonstrate that the program is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement and achieved 100% compliance based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) ensure that the early intervention program/provider has completed the required action unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the early intervention program/provider, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>The OSSE submitted its <u>IDEA Part C Monitoring and Compliance Manual-Revised January 2011</u> and <u>Part C Monitoring Tool</u> indicating the OSSE requires programs to demonstrate that previously identified noncompliance has been corrected, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. OSEP accepts the OSSE’s CAP submissions.</p>	<p>(i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2010 APR, the OSSE must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE did not provide improvement activities through FFY 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the OSSE's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The OSSE did not provide improvement activities through FFY 2012.</p> <p>The OSSE reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the OSSE's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The OSSE reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2009. The OSSE is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any fiscal year in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the OSSE's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The OSSE reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2009. The OSSE is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the OSSE's data in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The OSSE provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The OSSE's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 97.1%. The OSSE met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the OSSE's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, the OSSE must use the Indicator 14 Data</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		Rubric.