

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 98.49%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 97.59%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, due February 1, 2011, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>									
		activities and revise them, if necessary.									
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Although the State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, it did not revise the indicator language (consistent with the Indicator Measurement Table) in its SPP.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 94.68%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 93.96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 96%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p>									
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State provided FFY 2008 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP, and OSEP accepts the State's submission for this indicator.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 824 1444 1265"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 824 919 963">08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data</th> <th data-bbox="919 824 1192 963"><u>Summary Statement 1</u><sup>1</sup></th> <th data-bbox="1192 824 1444 963"><u>Summary Statement 2</u><sup>2</sup></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 963 919 1097"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="919 963 1192 1097">72.5</td> <td data-bbox="1192 963 1444 1097">74.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1097 919 1265"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="919 1097 1192 1265">78.2</td> <td data-bbox="1192 1097 1444 1265">58.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	72.5	74.0	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	78.2	58.9	<p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009 with the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> <sup>2</sup>									
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	72.5	74.0									
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	78.2	58.9									

<sup>1</sup> Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

<sup>2</sup> Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	76.7	76.4																						
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 367 1482 760"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td>80</td> <td>74</td> <td>88</td> <td>-6.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td>89</td> <td>87</td> <td>93</td> <td>-2.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td>85</td> <td>91</td> <td>93</td> <td>6.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress for 4C and slippage for 4A and 4B from the FFY 2007 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 targets.</p> <p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were collected from a response group that was not representative of the population. OSEP notes that the State included strategies or improvement activities to address this issue in the future.</p>					FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights (%)	80	74	88	-6.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	89	87	93	-2.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	85	91	93	6.00%	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were based on a response group that was not representative of the population. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report whether its FFY 2009 data are based on a response group that is representative of its population, and if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.</p>
	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress																					
A. Know their rights (%)	80	74	88	-6.00%																					
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	89	87	93	-2.00%																					
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	85	91	93	6.00%																					
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised its indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, but did not revise the indicator language in the SPP.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 0.86%. The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator were 0.91%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 1.16%.</p>				<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the indicator language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised its indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, but did not revise the measurement language in the SPP.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 2.72%. These data</p>				<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the measurement</p>																				

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 2.62%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 2.84%.</p>	<p>language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 96.10%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 94.83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner and one finding subsequently was corrected within the next five months.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 96.45%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 95.48%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 was corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the continuing noncompliance.</p>	<p>activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2007 was corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with a finding of noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2008 data the State reported for this indicator and the EIS program with one remaining finding identified in FFY 2007 : (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 95.46%. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator were 95.59%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 was corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the continuing noncompliance</p> <p>OSEP's June 1, 2009 FFY 2007 SPP/APR response table required the State to submit, within 60 days from June 1, 2009, the State's revised transition policy with the parental consent requirement deleted and any opt-out policy if the State elected to adopt one as part of, or an amendment to, Section II of the State's Part C Application. On October 2, 2009, the State submitted its revised transition policy, which OSEP approved on December 3, 2009, and which became effective on January 1, 2010.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2007 was corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with a finding of noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2008 data the State reported for this indicator and the EIS program with one remaining finding identified in FFY 2007 : (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 96.87%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 95.39%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that five of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the continuing noncompliance.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2007 was corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with a finding of noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2008 data the State reported for this indicator and the EIS program with one remaining</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>finding identified in FFY 2007: (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 86.11%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 31 of 36 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner and that two findings subsequently were corrected (one within six months of the implementation of the corrective action plan (CAP), and the other within five months). The State reported on the actions it took to address the continuing noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2009 APR demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance for this indicator in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the remaining five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2008 APR were corrected.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>

**Wisconsin Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Compliance Indicator]		
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that neither of the two mediations resulted in a mediation agreement.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>