

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>Status of Public Reporting on EIS Program Performance: The State has not publicly reported on the FFY 2007 (July 1, 2007-June 30, 2008) performance of each early intervention service (EIS) program located in the State on the targets for Indicator 4 in the State’s performance plan as required by sections 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 642 of IDEA.</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 74.50%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 OSEP recalculated data of 76.02%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. However, it is unclear whether the State has verified for these two findings that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344 (f)(1), consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). Therefore, the State has not demonstrated that it corrected the noncompliance. The State described the actions it had taken to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR due February 1, 2011, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the five uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007 were corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2008 data the State reported for this indicator and the EIS programs with the five noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007: (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2)</p>

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps						
		<p>have initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>						
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised its indicator and measurement language for this indicator, but did not submit a revised SPP or direct OSEP to a revised SPP that reflected those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 84.00%. These data represent progress from the State's FFY 2007 data of 82%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 96%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the indicator and measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p>						
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State provided FFY 2008 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts the State's submission for this indicator.</p> <p>The States FFY 2008 reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1062 1444 1338"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1062 919 1198">08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data</th> <th data-bbox="919 1062 1192 1198"><u>Summary Statement 1</u>¹</th> <th data-bbox="1192 1062 1444 1198"><u>Summary Statement 2</u>²</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1198 919 1338">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="919 1198 1192 1338">80</td> <td data-bbox="1192 1198 1444 1338">67</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> ¹	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> ²	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	80	67	<p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009.</p>
08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> ¹	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> ²						
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	80	67						

¹ Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

² Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	<p align="center">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</p>	82	64																							
	<p align="center">Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</p>	82	69																							
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 565 1482 959"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td>69</td> <td>73</td> <td>87</td> <td>4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td>64</td> <td>67</td> <td>82</td> <td>3.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td>82</td> <td>84</td> <td>95</td> <td>2.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data. The State did not meet its targets for FFY 2008.</p> <p>In its description of its FFY 2008 data, the State did not address whether the response group was representative of the population.</p>						FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights (%)	69	73	87	4.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	64	67	82	3.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	82	84	95	2.00%	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR</p> <p>In its description of its FFY 2008 data, the State did not address whether the response group was representative of the population. In the FFY 2009 APR the State must report whether its FFY 2008 and FFY 2009 data are based on a response group that is representative of its population, and if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.</p>
	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress																						
A. Know their rights (%)	69	73	87	4.00%																						
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	64	67	82	3.00%																						
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	82	84	95	2.00%																						
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, but did not submit a revised SPP or direct OSEP to a revised SPP that reflected those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 0.97%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 0.97%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 1.04%.</p>					<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the indicator and measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to</p>	<p>The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator</p>					<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in</p>																				

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>national data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Measurement Table) for this indicator, but did not submit a revised SPP or direct OSEP to a revised SPP that reflected those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 2.38%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 2.21%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 2.66%.</p>	<p>performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the indicator and measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator language and measurement language (consistent with the revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 95%. The FFY 2007 data were 95.28%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. However, the State did not report that each EIS program with noncompliance in FFY 2007: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a); and (2) has completed the required action, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. Therefore the State has not demonstrated that it corrected the noncompliance.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the two noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007 were corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2008 data the State reported for this indicator and the EIS programs with the remaining two uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007: (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a</p>

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported FFY 2007 data of 100% in its FFY 2007 APR, the State reported in Indicator in its FFY 2008 APR that two of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. However, the State did not report for these two findings that each EIS program with noncompliance in FFY 2007 is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. Therefore the State has not demonstrated that it corrected the noncompliance. The State described the actions it had taken to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the three uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007 were corrected. When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with the three noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007: (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02 (the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were</p>

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY reported data for this indicator are 83%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 81%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. However, the State did not report for these four findings that each EIS program with noncompliance in FFY 2007 is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) has completed the required action, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. Therefore the State has not demonstrated that it corrected the noncompliance. The State described the actions it had taken to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the remaining six uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007 were corrected.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the FFY 2008 data the State</p>

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>reported for this indicator and the EIS programs with the six noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2007: (1) are correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 63%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 80%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that ten of 16 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected six findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>As noted under Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, the State did not verify, as required by OSEP Memo 09-02, that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 either: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and/or (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program.</p> <p>The timely correction requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on South Carolina's FFY 2009 Part C grant award. OSEP's July 1, 2009 grant award Special Conditions Enclosure required the State to include in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, a progress report with data demonstrating timely correction of noncompliance as required by 34 CFR §303.501(b). The State provided data indicating that there were no findings of</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2009 APR, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>Although the State did not report for this indicator that it verified correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 consistent with the OSEP Memo 09-02, OSEP accepted the data for this indicator this year because this indicator measures timely correction of noncompliance and the OSEP Memo 09-02 was issued after the beginning of the FFY 2008 correction</p>

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>noncompliance identified between July 1, 2008 and December 31, 2009 and that it changed lead agencies, effective January 1, 2010. OSEP will respond separately to the State's final Special Conditions progress report due May 14, 2010.</p>	<p>period.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the 16 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2008 APR were corrected.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2008: (1) are correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) have corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8C in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on six complaints. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>

South Carolina Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 77.1%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>