

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 71%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of the three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the one uncorrected FFY 2007 finding of noncompliance.</p> <p>The State further reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was not corrected and reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY's 2006 and 2007 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2008 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p> <p>The timely service provision requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on Arizona's FFY 2009 IDEA Part C grant. The State's February 1, 2010 Special Conditions progress report provided updated data for this indicator from July through December 2009 for the three EIS programs as required.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, due February 1, 2011, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP will review and respond, under separate cover, to the State's Special Conditions after the State submits its final progress report, due on May 15, 2010.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR that the one remaining uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2007 was corrected; and that the one remaining uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2004 was corrected. The State's failure to correct the one FFY 2004 finding of longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2009 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of the FFY 2007 and FFY 2008 noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 76%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 63%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 90%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p>	<p>The State revised its measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, but did not submit a revised SPP or direct OSEP to a revised SPP that includes the revisions.</p> <p>The State provided FFY 2008 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts the State's submission for this indicator.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported baseline for this indicator are:</p>	<p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009 with the FFY 2009 APR.</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data</p>	<p align="center"><u>Summary Statement 1</u>¹</p>	<p align="center"><u>Summary Statement 2</u>²</p>																						
	<p>Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</p>	<p align="center">62</p>	<p align="center">57</p>																						
	<p>Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</p>	<p align="center">71</p>	<p align="center">49</p>																						
	<p>Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</p>	<p align="center">71</p>	<p align="center">52</p>																						
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td align="center">96.7</td> <td align="center">95</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">-1.70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)</td> <td align="center">95.2</td> <td align="center">94.7</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">-0.50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td align="center">97.4</td> <td align="center">96.7</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">-0.70%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights (%)	96.7	95	91	-1.70%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)	95.2	94.7	91	-0.50%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	97.4	96.7	91	-0.70%
	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress																					
A. Know their rights (%)	96.7	95	91	-1.70%																					
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)	95.2	94.7	91	-0.50%																					
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	97.4	96.7	91	-0.70%																					
	<p>These data represent slippage for 4A from the FFY 2007 data. The State met</p>																								

¹ Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

² Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	its FFY 2008 targets.	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 0.56%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 0.60%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 0.74%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised its indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 1.84%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 1.81%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 1.81%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 72%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 63%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any "new" findings of noncompliance in FFY 2007.</p> <p>The State reported that one of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the three uncorrected findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY's 2006 and 2007 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2008 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP will review and respond, under separate cover, to the State's Special Conditions after the State submits its final Special Conditions progress report, due May 15, 2010.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR that the three remaining uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2004 were corrected. The State's failure to correct these three</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>The 45-day timeline requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on Arizona's FFY 2009 Part C grant. The State's February 1, 2010 Special Conditions progress report provided updated data for this indicator from July through December 2009 for three EIS programs, as required.</p>	<p>FFY 2004 findings of longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2009 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance. When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR that the remaining three uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2004 were corrected.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 96%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported, that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4), and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 88.8%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported, that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2007.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)).</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 86%. The State did not meet its</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 35 of 37 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected two FFY 2007 findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that one of the five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected three FFY 2004 findings under Indicator 7 and one FFY 2004 finding under Indicator 1. OSEP will review and respond separately to the State's Special Conditions progress reports including the final progress report, due on May 15, 2010. The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY's 2006 and 2007 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2008 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>The results of OSEP's November 2009 verification visit to Arizona will also be set forth in a separate letter.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the remaining two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 and four findings identified in FFY 2004 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2008 APR were corrected.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 and FFY 2008: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance for this indicator in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p> <p>In responding to Indicators 1 and 7 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 0%. These data are based on one complaint. The State did not receive any written complaints during FFY 2007. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2009 APR, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2008 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2008 reporting period.</p> <p>The State is not required to meet its targets or provide improvement activities in any fiscal year in which less than ten mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The data</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its target of 100%.	CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.