

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 86.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 54%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all four of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State reported that the four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 for this indicator were corrected.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR due February 1, 2011, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 95.83%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 95%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>									
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State provided FFY 2008 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts the State's submission for this indicator. The State's FFY 2008 reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 943 1444 1343"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 943 919 1078">08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data</th> <th data-bbox="919 943 1194 1078"><u>Summary Statement 1</u><sup>1</sup></th> <th data-bbox="1194 943 1444 1078"><u>Summary Statement 2</u><sup>2</sup></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1078 919 1213"><b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="919 1078 1194 1213">67.10</td> <td data-bbox="1194 1078 1444 1213">58.20</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1213 919 1343"><b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/</td> <td data-bbox="919 1213 1194 1343">77.66</td> <td data-bbox="1194 1213 1444 1343">56.97</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	67.10	58.20	<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/	77.66	56.97	<p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009 with the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	<u>Summary Statement 1</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Summary Statement 2</u> <sup>2</sup>									
<b>Outcome A:</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	67.10	58.20									
<b>Outcome B:</b> Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/	77.66	56.97									

<sup>1</sup> Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

<sup>2</sup> Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

	communication) (%)																							
	<b>Outcome C:</b> Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	73.33	54.92																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 358 1482 751"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Data</th> <th>FFY 2008 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td>84</td> <td>88.7</td> <td>100</td> <td>4.70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td>97</td> <td>91.9</td> <td>100</td> <td>-5.10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>92.6</td> <td>88.5</td> <td>100</td> <td>-4.10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress for 4A and slippage for 4B and 4C from the FFY 2007 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 targets.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 1, 2009 FFY 2007 SPP/APR response table required the State to indicate in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010 whether its response group is representative of the State’s population and, if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue. The State provided all of the required information.</p>				FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights (%)	84	88.7	100	4.70%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	97	91.9	100	-5.10%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	92.6	88.5	100	-4.10%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>
	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress																				
A. Know their rights (%)	84	88.7	100	4.70%																				
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	97	91.9	100	-5.10%																				
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	92.6	88.5	100	-4.10%																				
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 1.02%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 1.14%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 1.2%.</p>			<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 1.79%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 1.94%. The State did not meet</p>			<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.</p>																				

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

	<p>its FFY 2008 target of 2.4%.</p>	
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>Although the State revised the measurement language for this indicator, the State omitted the language, "account for untimely evaluations, assessments, and initial IFSP meetings, including the reasons for delays," which is required by the Indicator Measurement Table.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 93.04%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 84%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all four of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State reported that three of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected. The State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State's failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State's general supervision system. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2009 APR, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must provide a revised SPP and APR that fully reflect all of the revisions to the measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

		<p>program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the one remaining uncorrected noncompliance finding identified in FFY 2006 was corrected.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State reported that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 for this indicator were corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

		<p>due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.73%. The State's FFY 2007 data were 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

		<p>the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 94.16%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 was corrected in a timely manner, and the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 for this indicator were corrected.</p> <p>The State reported on page 35 of its APR that six EIS programs were issued monitoring activity findings in FFY 2007 under the requirements of Indicator 8C, but reported on page 29 that only one EIS program was issued a monitoring activity finding in FFY 2007. Additionally, the State reported on page 35 that only one monitoring report finding was issued under Indicator 8C in FFY 2007. OSEP assumes that the State meant to report that one EIS program was issued a monitoring activity finding in FFY 2007 under the requirements of Indicator 8C. If that assumption is incorrect, in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must clarify the number of EIS programs issued monitoring activity findings under the requirements of Indicator 8C.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.</p> <p>When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100%</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

		<p>compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 77.36%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 73.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 41 of 53 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner and that 11 findings were subsequently corrected by February 1, 2010. For the remaining one finding of noncompliance that was not corrected, the State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p> <p>The State reported that 14 of 15 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported on the actions it took to address the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2009 APR demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2008: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2009 APR, that the one remaining finding</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table**

		of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 and the one remaining finding identified in FFY 2006 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2008 APR were corrected.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	Not applicable.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2008 reporting period.  The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 93.9%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 92.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 91.2%. The State did not meet	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2009 APR demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in

Alaska Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

	its FFY 2008 target of 100%.	IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.  In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.
--	------------------------------	--