

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97.59%. The FFY 2006 data were 98%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance</p>

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 93.96%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 95.21%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 96%.</p>	<p>in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 444 1446 1276"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 444 1077 631">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 444 1199 631">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 444 1323 631">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 444 1446 631">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 631 1077 703">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 631 1199 703">1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 631 1323 703">4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 631 1446 703">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 703 1077 846">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 703 1199 846">17</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 703 1323 846">18</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 703 1446 846">14</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 846 1077 950">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 846 1199 950">13</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 846 1323 950">26</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 846 1446 950">13</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 950 1077 1060">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 950 1199 1060">25</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 950 1323 1060">40</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 950 1446 1060">33</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1060 1077 1164">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1060 1199 1164">44</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1060 1323 1164">17</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1060 1446 1164">40</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1164 1077 1276">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1164 1199 1276">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1164 1323 1276">105.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1164 1446 1276">101.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1	4	1	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	17	18	14	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	13	26	13	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	25	40	33	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	44	17	40	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	105.00 %	101.00 %	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1	4	1																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	17	18	14																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	13	26	13																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	25	40	33																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	44	17	40																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	105.00 %	101.00 %																											

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 277 1480 711"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">83</td> <td align="center">80</td> <td align="center">85</td> <td align="center">-3.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">90</td> <td align="center">89</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">-1.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">89</td> <td align="center">85</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">-4.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent slippage for 4A and 4C from the FFY 2006 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 targets for 4A, 4B, and 4C.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	83	80	85	-3.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	90	89	91	-1.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	89	85	92	-4.00%	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	83	80	85	-3.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	90	89	91	-1.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	89	85	92	-4.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .91%. The FFY 2006 data were .95%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.15%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 2.62%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 2.61%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 2.83%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 94.83%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 91.25%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day</p>																				

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, that the ten remaining findings from FFY 2005 and the noncompliance for County C in FFY 2006 were corrected. The State reported that all of the ten remaining FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance were corrected by the spring of 2008. The State further reported that the compliance level in County C has consistently improved to 98.6% in FFY 2008.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining ten FFY 2005 findings were also corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.48%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 83.32%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008</p>

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.59%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 80.71%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no findings of noncompliance related to this indicator in FFY 2006. The State explained that it issued findings based on FFY 2006 data during FFY 2007.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State’s FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory</p>

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>Under IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP’s 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i>, the lead agency must disclose limited child find information to the LEA where the child resides unless the lead agency has adopted an opt-out policy and the parent opts out; the lead agency may not require affirmative parental consent for this limited disclosure.</p> <p>The State has provided OSEP with draft documentation regarding the “Opt-Out” Policy that the State may require parental consent prior to providing parent contact information as part of the notification to the LEA under 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). OSEP has reviewed a copy of the State’s draft Opt-Out Policy for the Part C Wisconsin Program. The Part C Program is working with the SICC and the State’s counties regarding this Opt-Out Policy and procedures. The State must meet the public participation requirements prior to implementing the policy, as required by 34 CFR §§303.110-303.113.</p> <p>Within 60 days of this letter, the State must submit its revised transition policy with the</p>

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>parental consent requirement deleted and any opt-out policy if the State elects to adopt one as part of, or as an amendment to, Section II of the State's Part C Application. Unless the lead agency has adopted an opt-out policy that is on file with OSEP and the parent opts out, the lead agency must provide the notification required by 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> for all children served in Part C who will shortly reach the age of eligibility for preschool services under Part B, including parent contact information.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.39%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 82%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, that the one remaining finding from FFY 2005 and the identified noncompliance for FFY 2006 were corrected. The State reported that the remaining FFY 2005 finding was corrected by November 2007.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a</p>

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>transition conference for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 85.3%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all fourteen findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005. The State reported that the remaining 11 findings from FFY 2005 had been corrected.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance findings identified in FFYs 2005 and 2006 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under this indicator.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1,</p>

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>