

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 92.34%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2006, but the State reported that the remaining uncorrected finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was subsequently corrected by FFY 2007.</p>	<p>The State reported that the uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for</p>

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
<p>with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 95%.</p>	<p>provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 800 1446 1518"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 800 1077 984">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 800 1199 984">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 800 1323 984">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 800 1446 984">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 984 1077 1057">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 984 1199 1057">1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 984 1323 1057">.05</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 984 1446 1057">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1057 1077 1198">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1057 1199 1198">22</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1057 1323 1198">18</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1057 1446 1198">18</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1198 1077 1305">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1198 1199 1305">14</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1198 1323 1305">26</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1198 1446 1305">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1305 1077 1412">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1305 1199 1412">33</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1305 1323 1412">43</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1305 1446 1412">43</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1412 1077 1518">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1412 1199 1518">30</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1412 1323 1518">13</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1412 1446 1518">24</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1	.05	0	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	22	18	18	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14	26	15	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	33	43	43	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	30	13	24	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1	.05	0																							
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	22	18	18																							
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14	26	15																							
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	33	43	43																							
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	30	13	24																							

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.05 %	100.00 %																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 388 1467 781"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>83</td> <td>80</td> <td>80</td> <td>-3.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>85</td> <td>85</td> <td>85</td> <td>0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>87</td> <td>89</td> <td>85</td> <td>2.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>These data represent slippage for 4A, remain unchanged for 4B, and represent progress for 4C from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets.</p>					FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	83	80	80	-3.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	85	85	85	0.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	87	89	85	2.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																					
A. Know their rights. (%)	83	80	80	-3.00%																					
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	85	85	85	0.00%																					
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	87	89	85	2.00%																					
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.36%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 1.29%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of .93%.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 3.45%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.28%.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>		
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 79.2%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008, FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, information on the remaining noncompliance from FFY 2005. The State reported that two of five remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 have been corrected. On page 36 of the State's FFY 2007 APR, the State reported that: it moved three regions with remaining noncompliance into the category of needs intervention; it required these three regions to submit corrective action plans with their budgets; and State technical assistance providers tailored technical assistance to fit each region's needs.</p> <p>The State reported that two of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected by December 1, 2008.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) that was identified in FFY 2006 was corrected, but three FFY 2005 findings remain uncorrected. The State must report correction of these three FFY 2005 findings in the FFY 2008 APR.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of the three FFY 2005 findings and the noncompliance reported under this indicator in this FFY 2007 APR, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has completed the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate</p>

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%. The State reported the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 94%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%. OSEP’s June 6, 2008, FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, information on the remaining noncompliance from FFY 2005. The State reported that all three remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 had been corrected. The State reported that its one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 was corrected in a timely manner. The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State’s FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance and reported on the actions the State took as a</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) identified in FFY 2006 was corrected in a timely manner and that three outstanding FFY 2005 findings were also corrected. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007</p>

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP's Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 91%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, information on the remaining finding of noncompliance from FFYs 2004 and 2005. The State reported that the remaining finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 had been corrected and that one of two remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 had also been corrected. On page 46 of the State's FFY 2007 APR, the State reported that: it moved the one region with remaining noncompliance into the category of needs intervention based on the FFY 2006 data; required it to submit a corrective action plan with their budget; and State technical assistance providers tailored technical assistance to fit the region's needs.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received</p>	<p>The State reported that one FFY 2004 and one of two remaining FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance had been corrected regarding the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). The State must report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining FFY 2005 finding was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of the FFY 2005 finding and noncompliance reported in this FFY 2007 APR, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR</p>

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible under Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 63%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 11 of 13 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that one of the two remaining findings subsequently was corrected by December 1, 2008. The State determined that the region with outstanding noncompliance "Needs Intervention" based on data reported in the FFY 2006 APR and required it to address the issues in a corrective action plan. In addition, the State reported that it provided on-site visits and follow-up telephone contact to address the causes of the noncompliance.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP's 09-02 Memo.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, it has corrected the one FFY 2006 finding and the four FFY 2005 findings (under Indicators 7 and 8C above).</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8B and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the</p>

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>the State received assistance and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>noncompliance described in this table under this indicator. In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

Vermont Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	<p>The State reported that one of one mediation resulted in a settlement agreement in FFY 2007.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92.8%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>