

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 83.33%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 82%. In addition, the State reported data from April to June 2008 of 91.7%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008, FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, correction of the remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 related to this indicator. The State demonstrated that all remaining findings of noncompliance from FFY 2004 were corrected.</p> <p>The State reported that all six of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 85.86%. These data</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data</p>

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 88.94%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 95%.</p>	<p>demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 500 1446 1323"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 500 1077 683">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 500 1199 683">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 500 1323 683">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 500 1446 683">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 683 1077 753">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 683 1199 753">4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 683 1323 753">3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 683 1446 753">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 753 1077 894">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 753 1199 894">14</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 753 1323 894">15</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 753 1446 894">17</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 894 1077 1003">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 894 1199 1003">16</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 894 1323 1003">17</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 894 1446 1003">17</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1003 1077 1112">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1003 1199 1112">24</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1003 1323 1112">28</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1003 1446 1112">33</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1112 1077 1221">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1112 1199 1221">41</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1112 1323 1221">38</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1112 1446 1221">30</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1221 1077 1323">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1221 1199 1323">99.00%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1221 1323 1323">101.00%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1221 1446 1323">100.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	4	3	3	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	14	15	17	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	16	17	17	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24	28	33	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	41	38	30	Total (approx. 100%)	99.00%	101.00%	100.00%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	4	3	3																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	14	15	17																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	16	17	17																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24	28	33																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	41	38	30																											
Total (approx. 100%)	99.00%	101.00%	100.00%																											

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 313 1480 708"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>87.89</td> <td>89.04</td> <td>86</td> <td>1.15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>91.4</td> <td>93.53</td> <td>92</td> <td>2.13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>93.9</td> <td>93.75</td> <td>93</td> <td>-0.15%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress for 4 A and B from the FFY 2006 data. The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	87.89	89.04	86	1.15%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	91.4	93.53	92	2.13%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	93.9	93.75	93	-0.15%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	87.89	89.04	86	1.15%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	91.4	93.53	92	2.13%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	93.9	93.75	93	-0.15%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are 2.29%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 2.04%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.75%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are 4.61%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 4.39%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.60%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 83.33%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 79.73%. In addition, the State reported data from April to June 2008 of 90%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR</p>																				

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008, FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, correction of the remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFYs 2004 and 2005 related to this indicator. The State demonstrated that all remaining findings of noncompliance from FFYs 2004 and 2005 were corrected.</p> <p>The State reported that all four of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>§§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 94%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 99%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that</p>

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 91%. These data</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance</p>

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 72.7%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator</p>

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all twelve of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008, FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table and the January 15, 2009, verification visit response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, clarification that the State ensures correction of noncompliance, notwithstanding the extent of the noncompliance or the amount of improvement. On page 36 of the FFY 2006 APR, the State ensured correction of noncompliance, notwithstanding the extent of the noncompliance or the amount of improvement, and reported corrective action plans were required of all programs for each finding of noncompliance that was identified. The State followed up by requiring evidence that the steps were taken and that the issue that caused the noncompliance was corrected. In addition, when possible, the State required the correction of all individual instances of noncompliance.</p>	<p>in the FFY 2007 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due</p>

Rhode Island Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>