

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 77%. The State reported that it included 67 children in its calculation for this indicator because of delays due to “acts of nature” (defined by the State as “those circumstances that prevent a provider’s ability to access the family and/or a family’s inability to participate in services due to a weather related conditions”). These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 70%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 38 of 39 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the one remaining finding subsequently was corrected by October 2008.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.56%. The State reported that of those infants and toddlers, 20% received services in community settings as determined by their IFSP team.</p>	<p>The State’s actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p>	<p>increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State indicated that it is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																				
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 898 1444 1511"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 898 1077 1084">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 898 1199 1084">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 898 1323 1084">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 898 1444 1084">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1084 1077 1154">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1084 1199 1154">1.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1084 1323 1154">0.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1084 1444 1154">0.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1154 1077 1295">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1154 1199 1295">11.9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1154 1323 1295">11.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1154 1444 1295">8.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1295 1077 1401">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1295 1199 1401">2.1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1295 1323 1401">10.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1295 1444 1401">10.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1401 1077 1511">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1401 1199 1511">20.7</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1401 1323 1511">37.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1401 1444 1511">47.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.2	0.6	0.6	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	11.9	11.4	8.7	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	2.1	10.2	10.2	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20.7	37.8	47.2	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																			
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.2	0.6	0.6																			
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	11.9	11.4	8.7																			
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	2.1	10.2	10.2																			
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20.7	37.8	47.2																			

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	64.1	40.0	33.3																					
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %																					
	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.																								
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;                      B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and                      C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 630 1480 1101"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">67</td> <td align="center">71</td> <td align="center">69</td> <td align="center">4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">63</td> <td align="center">67</td> <td align="center">65</td> <td align="center">4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">78</td> <td align="center">81</td> <td align="center">81</td> <td align="center">3.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets.</p> <p>The State reported that it has revised its process for collecting and analyzing data that will be reported for this indicator in FFY 2008. The State has revised the family survey and the methodology it will use to analyze the survey results. The State recalculated its FFY 2005 baseline data and FFY 2006 and FFY 2007 progress data using the revised method of analysis and revised its targets beginning with the FFY 2008 reporting period. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to</p>					FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	67	71	69	4.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	63	67	65	4.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	78	81	81	3.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																					
A. Know their rights. (%)	67	71	69	4.00%																					
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	63	67	65	4.00%																					
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	78	81	81	3.00%																					

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	comment on the revised process for collecting and analyzing the data and the State's revised targets.	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.73%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 1.71%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.64%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 3.64%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 3.43%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.6%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96%. The State reported that it included 47 children in its calculation for this indicator because of delays due to "acts of nature" (defined by the State as "those circumstances that prevent a provider's ability to access the family and/or a family's inability to participate in services due to a weather related conditions"). These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 89%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 39 of 40 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the one remaining finding subsequently was corrected by October 2008.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 89%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 24 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 94%. The State reported that it included 28 children in its calculation for this indicator because of delays due to “acts of nature” (defined by the State as “those circumstances that prevent a provider’s ability to access the family and/or a family’s inability to participate in services due to a weather related conditions”). These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 89%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 15 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints,</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 97%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 432 of 434 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>From the correction data provided in Indicators 1 and 7 of the FFY 2007 APR, it appears that the two remaining findings subsequently were corrected.</p>	<p>2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501 and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

**Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one due process hearing. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the due process hearing timelines requirements in 34 CFR §§303.420 and 303.423(b).</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that one mediation was held and it did not result in a mediation agreement. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.  In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>