

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.1%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2006.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 90.4%. These data</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts</p>

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 85%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 70%.</p>	<p>to improve performance.</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 496 1446 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 496 1077 683">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 496 1199 683">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 496 1323 683">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 496 1446 683">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 683 1077 781">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 683 1199 781">8.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 683 1323 781">8.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 683 1446 781">4.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 781 1077 951">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 781 1199 951">7.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 781 1323 951">17.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 781 1446 951">17.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 951 1077 1081">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 951 1199 1081">7.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 951 1323 1081">13.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 951 1446 1081">7.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1081 1077 1252">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1081 1199 1252">14.7</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1081 1323 1252">17.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1081 1446 1252">14.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1252 1077 1390">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1252 1199 1390">61.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1252 1323 1390">42.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1252 1446 1390">55.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1390 1077 1498">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1390 1199 1498">100.10 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1390 1323 1498">99.80%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1390 1446 1498">100.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	8.8	8.8	4.4	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	7.4	17.6	17.6	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	7.4	13.2	7.4	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	14.7	17.6	14.7	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	61.8	42.6	55.9	Total (approx. 100%)	100.10 %	99.80%	100.00 %	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	8.8	8.8	4.4																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	7.4	17.6	17.6																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	7.4	13.2	7.4																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	14.7	17.6	14.7																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	61.8	42.6	55.9																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.10 %	99.80%	100.00 %																											

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 418 1484 867"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">73</td> <td align="center">56</td> <td align="center">68</td> <td align="center">-17.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">71</td> <td align="center">52</td> <td align="center">64</td> <td align="center">-19.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">81</td> <td align="center">68</td> <td align="center">73</td> <td align="center">-13.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent slippage for 4A, 4B and 4C from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State did not meet its targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	73	56	68	-17.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	71	52	64	-19.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	81	68	73	-13.00%	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	73	56	68	-17.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	71	52	64	-19.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	81	68	73	-13.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 0.61%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 0.67%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 0.60%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.78%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 1.80%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.67%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]		
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 13 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has completed the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its</p>

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2006.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts</p>

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96.3%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2006.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP</p>

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 98.6%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 15 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the</p>

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34</p>

Oregon Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.