

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 82.80%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 98.33%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 80 of 80 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.96%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 95.81%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
[Results Indicator]		percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="512 548 1409 1377"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="512 548 1037 732">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1037 548 1163 732">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1163 548 1289 732">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1289 548 1409 732">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 732 1037 805">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 732 1163 805">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 732 1289 805">4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1289 732 1409 805">4</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 805 1037 943">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 805 1163 943">23</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 805 1289 943">24</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1289 805 1409 943">22</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 943 1037 1049">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 943 1163 1049">24</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 943 1289 1049">22</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1289 943 1409 1049">26</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 1049 1037 1154">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 1049 1163 1154">31</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1049 1289 1154">41</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1289 1049 1409 1154">41</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 1154 1037 1260">e. % of infants &amp; toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 1154 1163 1260">16</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1154 1289 1260">9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1289 1154 1409 1260">8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 1260 1037 1377">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 1260 1163 1377">99.00%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1260 1289 1377">100.00%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1289 1260 1409 1377">101.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	4	4	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	23	24	22	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	24	22	26	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31	41	41	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	16	9	8	Total (approx. 100%)	99.00%	100.00%	101.00%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	4	4																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	23	24	22																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	24	22	26																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31	41	41																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	16	9	8																											
Total (approx. 100%)	99.00%	100.00%	101.00%																											

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;                      B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and                      C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="506 313 1434 708"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>95.39</td> <td>98.72</td> <td>93.10</td> <td>3.33%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>95.31</td> <td>96.48</td> <td>95.20</td> <td>1.17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>95.86</td> <td>98.40</td> <td>93.80</td> <td>2.54%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were collected from a response group that was not representative of the population and the State has provided improvement activities to ensure that the data will be representative.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	95.39	98.72	93.10	3.33%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	95.31	96.48	95.20	1.17%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	95.86	98.40	93.80	2.54%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. The State reported that the data for this indicator were based on a parent survey response group that is not representative of the State's population. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must continue to indicate whether its response group is representative of the State's population and, if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	95.39	98.72	93.10	3.33%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	95.31	96.48	95.20	1.17%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	95.86	98.40	93.80	2.54%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.17%. The FFY 2006 data were 1.26%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.25%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.90%. The FFY 2006 data were 1.97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 2.10%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Results Indicator]		
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 78.4%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 89.01%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 88.15%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 93.22%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>third birthday including:                      A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.                      The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:                      B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.                      The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97.12%. The FFY 2006 data were 97.36%.                      The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.                      The State reported that 40 of 40 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 84.50%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 87.87%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all five of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8 in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		Rubric.