



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

JUN - 1 2009

Honorable Michael J. Willden
Director
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services
4126 Technology Way, Room 100
Carson City, Nevada 89706-2009

Dear Director Willden:

Thank you for the timely submission of Nevada's Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2007 Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). We also acknowledge the revisions to Nevada's APR received on April 6, 2009. We appreciate the State's efforts in preparing these documents.

The Department has determined that, under IDEA sections 616(d) and 642, Nevada needs intervention in meeting the requirements of Part C of IDEA. The Department's determination is based on the totality of the State's data and information including the State's FFY 2007 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, and other publicly available information. See the enclosure entitled "How the Department Made Determinations under Sections 616(d) and 642 of the IDEA in 2009" for further details. The State should review IDEA section 616(e)(2) regarding the potential impact of the Department's determination should the State be determined to need intervention for three consecutive years.

The specific factors affecting the Office of Special Education Programs' (OSEP's) determination of needs intervention for Nevada are its very low levels of compliance and lack of progress with the timely correction requirements in Indicator 9 and low level of compliance, lack of progress, and failure to correct noncompliance with the timely service provision requirements in Indicator 1. Nevada's FFY 2007 data of 42% for Indicator 9 represent a very low level of compliance with the requirement that the State correct identified noncompliance, as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. These data represent a lower level of compliance than the State's FFY 2006 data of 82% for Indicator 9. Nevada's low performance with the timely correction requirements in 34 CFR §303.501(b) indicate that the State lead agency is not ensuring compliance with Part C requirements by enforcing obligations required by Part C and correcting deficiencies identified through monitoring.

In addition, Nevada's FFY 2007 data for the timely service provision requirements in Indicator 1 were 51.14%, and the State reported outstanding noncompliance from FFY 2005 with these requirements for one of its three EIS programs. The State's FFY 2007 data represent a lower level of compliance than the State's FFY 2006 data of 59.2% for Indicator 1. This critical indicator directly measures whether eligible children timely receive the early intervention services identified on their individualized family service plans. For these reasons, OSEP determined Nevada needs intervention.

The enclosed table provides OSEP's analysis of the State's FFY 2006 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP's review of any revisions made by the State to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources) and baseline data in the State's SPP. The table also identifies, by indicator, the State's status in meeting its targets, whether the State's data reflect progress or slippage, and whether the State corrected noncompliance and provided valid and reliable data.

Your State may want to consider taking advantage of available sources of technical assistance. A list of sources of technical assistance related to the SPP/APR indicators is available by clicking on the "Technical Assistance Related to Determinations" box on the opening page of the SPP/APR Planning Calendar website at <http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/techassistance.html>. You will be directed to a list of indicators. Click on specific indicators for a list of centers, documents, web seminars and other sources of relevant technical assistance for that indicator.

As you know, your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each early intervention services program (EIS program) located in the State on the targets in the SPP, pursuant to IDEA sections 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(1) and 642. In addition, your State must review EIS program performance against targets in the State's SPP, determine if each EIS program 'meets requirements,' 'needs assistance,' 'needs intervention,' or 'needs substantial intervention' in implementing Part C of the IDEA, and inform each EIS program of its determination. For further information regarding these requirements, see the SPP/APR Calendar at <http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656>. Finally, as you included revisions to baseline, targets or improvement activities in your APR submission, and OSEP accepted those revisions, please ensure that you update your SPP accordingly and that the updated SPP is made available to the public.

In its October 17, 2008 Memorandum 09-02, "Reporting on Correction of Noncompliance in the Annual Performance Report Required under Sections 616 and 642 of the IDEA," OSEP provided Chief State School Officers and Lead Agency Directors with important information regarding: (1) requirements for identifying noncompliance and reporting on the correction of noncompliance in States' APRs; and (2) how OSEP will, beginning with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, consider the correction of noncompliance in making annual determinations for States pursuant to section 616(d) of the IDEA. Most significantly, beginning with our 2010 determinations:

1. OSEP will no longer consider a State to be in substantial compliance relative to a compliance indicator based on evidence of correction of the previous year's noncompliance if the State's current year data for that indicator reflect a very low level of compliance (generally 75% or below); and
2. OSEP will credit a State with correction of noncompliance relative to a child-specific compliance indicator only if the State confirms that it has addressed each instance of noncompliance identified in the data for an indicator that was reported in the previous year's APR, as well as any noncompliance identified by the Department more than one year previously. The State must specifically report, for each compliance indicator, whether it has corrected all of the noncompliance identified in its data for that indicator in the prior year's APR as well as that identified by the Department more than one year previously.

It is important for each State to review the guidance in the memorandum, and to raise any questions with your OSEP State Contact. The memorandum may be found at: <http://spp-apr-calendar.rfcnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656>.

Pursuant to section 616(d)(2)(B) of the IDEA, a State that is determined to need intervention or need substantial intervention, and does not agree with this determination, may request an opportunity to meet with the Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services to demonstrate why the Department should change the State's determination. To request a hearing, submit a letter to Andrew J. Pepin, Delegated the Authority to Assume the Duties of Assistant Secretary, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Room 5106, Potomac Center Plaza, Washington, DC 20202-2600 within 15 days of the date of this letter. The letter must include the basis for your request for a change in the State's determination.

OSEP is committed to supporting Nevada's efforts to improve results for Infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please contact Jacquelyn Twining-Martin, your OSEP State Contact, at 202- 245-7558.

Sincerely,



Patricia J. Guard
Acting Director
Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosures

cc: Part C Coordinator