

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 91.5%. The State reported that it included in the numerator for this indicator children with delays due to exceptional circumstances other than documented exceptional family circumstances. On page 4 of the FFY 2007 APR the State confirmed that all such delays were limited and directly attributable to documented exceptional circumstances beyond the control of the lead agency and the local early intervention provider agency. The lead agency monitors provider agencies as part of the Community Based Assessment process to ensure that exceptional circumstances have been documented appropriately.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 90.4%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of 20 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported on page 6 of the FFY 2007 APR that the provider agencies that did not come into compliance within one year receive follow-up visits from the lead agency, have a plan of correction and are required to submit periodic data showing progress towards 100% compliance. In addition, the lead agency requires technical assistance be provided to address areas of noncompliance.</p> <p>The service provision requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on New Mexico's FFY 2008 Part C grant. The State's November 12, 2008 Special Conditions progress report provided updated data for this indicator. OSEP responded to that progress report in a memo attached to an email dated January 9, 2009. The State's second progress report was due by February 2, 2009. The State provided the data specified in OSEP's January 9, 2009 memo for October 1 through December 31, 2008 with its FFY 2007 APR, OSEP will review and respond in a separate letter to the State's Special Conditions.</p> <p>With respect to the FFY 2005 finding pertaining to Indicator 1, New Mexico is reporting on that provider under the Special Conditions on its FFY 2008 Part C grant award.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining 12 uncorrected noncompliance findings, identified in FFY 2006, were corrected. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps												
		<p>program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>												
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97.7%. The State’s data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 88.5%.</p>	<p>The State’s actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported progress data “for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they have a developmental delay or an established condition” for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1068 1446 1466"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1068 1075 1252">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1075 1068 1199 1252">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 1068 1323 1252">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 1068 1446 1252">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1252 1075 1321">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1252 1199 1321">1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1252 1323 1321">1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1252 1446 1321">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1321 1075 1466">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1321 1199 1466">11</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1321 1323 1466">13</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1321 1446 1466">11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1	1	0	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	11	13	11	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p> <p>The State reported outcome data for at risk children separately from those children eligible due to diagnosed conditions and developmental delays. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must provide the required data for FFY 2008.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1	1	0											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	11	13	11											

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	13	14	21	
	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	44	48	50	
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31	25	18	
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	101.00 %	100.00 %	
	The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they are at risk for this indicator are:				
	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	
	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	17	8	17	
	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	0	8	0	
	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	17	25	17	
	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	17	17	25	
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	50	42	42	
	Total (approx. 100%)	101.00 %	100.00 %	101.00 %	

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;                      B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and                      C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 277 1478 672"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>84.5</td> <td>88</td> <td>80</td> <td>3.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>88.4</td> <td>92</td> <td>74</td> <td>3.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>89.5</td> <td>95</td> <td>92</td> <td>5.5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data. The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>The FFY 2006 response table required the State to submit its sampling methodology for this indicator as soon as possible in order to ensure that its data for the FFY 2007 SPP/APR, due February 2, 2009, would be valid and reliable. The State clarified in the FFY 2007 APR that the lead agency utilizes a cohort approach, whereby the family survey is distributed to families served by nine (9) provider agencies each year, ensuring all providers are surveyed at least once within the 6-year timeframe of the State Performance Plan (SPP). Provider agencies are selected to ensure representation in each cohort from urban and rural areas, as well as representation of families from different racial and ethnic backgrounds. All families have an opportunity to respond; therefore, New Mexico is not utilizing sampling.</p> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	84.5	88	80	3.5%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	88.4	92	74	3.6%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	89.5	95	92	5.5%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	84.5	88	80	3.5%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	88.4	92	74	3.6%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	89.5	95	92	5.5%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 2.35%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 2.21%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 2.5%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 4.05%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 3.58%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.7%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92.3%. The State reported that it included in the numerator for this indicator children with delays due to exceptional circumstances other than documented exceptional family circumstances. The State confirmed that all such delays were limited and directly attributable to documented exceptional circumstances that were beyond the control of the lead agency and the early intervention service program.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 81.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that nine of 22 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported on page 24 of the FFY 2007 APR that the provider agencies that did not come into compliance within one year received follow-up visits from the lead agency, have a plan of correction and are required to submit periodic data showing progress towards 100% compliance. In addition, the lead agency requires technical assistance to be provided to address areas of noncompliance.</p> <p>The 45-day timeline requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on New Mexico's FFY 2008 Part C grant. The State's November 12, 2008 Special Conditions progress report provided updated data for this indicator. OSEP responded to that progress report in a memo attached to an email dated January 9, 2009. The State's second progress report was due by February 2, 2009. The State provided the data specified in OSEP's January 9, 2009 memo for October 1 through December 31, 2008 with its FFY 2007 APR. OSEP will review and respond in a separate letter to the State's Special Conditions.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 4 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining 14 uncorrected noncompliance findings, identified in FFY 2006, were corrected. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 94%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 88.8%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of 17 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. The State did not report on any specific activities it has taken to correct the uncorrected noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining nine uncorrected noncompliance findings, identified in FFY 2006, were corrected.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements, including</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 88.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 75.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that nine of 17 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported ongoing targeted technical assistance and training for those providers with a low level of compliance in the indicator.</p>	<p>February 1, 2010, that the remaining eight uncorrected noncompliance findings, identified in FFY 2006, were corrected.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 45%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 50%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 34 of 76 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>With respect to the FFY 2005 finding pertaining to Indicator 1, New Mexico is reporting on that provider under the Special Conditions on its FFY 2008 Part C grant award. OSEP will review and respond in a separate letter to the State's Special Conditions after the State submits its final progress report.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, as required by OSEP's March 17, 2008 Verification Visit letter, on the correction of noncompliance with: (a) natural environments and transportation requirements (by the three EIS providers listed in the Verification Visit letter); and (b) Part C requirements for the six-month IFSP reviews and parent consent (by the five/six EIS providers listed in the Verification Visit letter). The State did not provide this information in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table, the State reported on the various aspects of its general supervision system that it used to identify the noncompliance reported in its FFY 2007 APR.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the State has corrected the remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b)] and OSEP's 09-02 Memo.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, as required by OSEP's March 17, 2008 verification visit letter, in its FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must report correction of noncompliance with (a) natural environments requirements in 34 CFR</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>§§303.12(b), 303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii) and transportation requirements in 34 CFR §§ 303.12(d)(15), 303.343 and 303.344 (by the three EIS providers listed in the Verification Visit letter); and (b) Part C requirements for the six-month IFSP reviews and parent consent in 34 CFR § 303.342(b) and (e) (by the five/six EIS providers listed in the Verification Visit letter).</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part</p>

**New Mexico Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>		<p>B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the State's FFY 2006 data of 94.3%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>