

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 76%. The FFY 2006 data were 77%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that none of the six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that improvement activities that are part of a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) have been implemented and revised in each of the six districts to include technical assistance.</p> <p>The timely service provision requirements of Indicator 1 are the subject of FFY 2008 Special Conditions on the State's FFY 2008 Part C grant award. The Special Conditions require the State to submit two progress reports—the first with the State's February 2, 2009, FFY 2007 APR and the second by May 15, 2009—with data demonstrating compliance with the timely services provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), §303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1).</p> <p>The State's January 30, 2009 Special Conditions Progress Report provided updated data for the period from July 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, indicating 68% compliance with Indicator 1. As further required by the Special Conditions, the State reported on the reasons for the delays and the actions it is taking to address them.</p> <p>OSEP will review and respond in a separate letter to the State's May 15 final progress report under its Special Conditions.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was not corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining six uncorrected FFY 2006 noncompliance findings were corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining FFY 2006 noncompliance and each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) are correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) have initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to</p>

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 97%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 95%.</p>	<p>ensure compliance.</p> <p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																				
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 867 1446 1476"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 867 1077 1052">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 867 1199 1052">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 867 1323 1052">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 867 1446 1052">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1052 1077 1122">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1052 1199 1122">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1052 1323 1122">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1052 1446 1122">8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1122 1077 1263">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1122 1199 1263">16</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1122 1323 1263">19</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1122 1446 1263">16</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1263 1077 1370">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1263 1199 1370">14</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1263 1323 1370">8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1263 1446 1370">8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1370 1077 1476">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1370 1199 1476">41</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1370 1323 1476">49</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1370 1446 1476">43</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	5	8	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	16	19	16	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14	8	8	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	41	49	43	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																			
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	5	8																			
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	16	19	16																			
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14	8	8																			
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	41	49	43																			

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24	19	24																					
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	99.00%																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 618 1446 1032"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>80</td> <td>84</td> <td>86</td> <td>4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>81</td> <td>87</td> <td>87</td> <td>6.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>82</td> <td>88</td> <td>87</td> <td>6.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met its target for 4B and 4C but did not meet its target for 4A.</p> <p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were collected from a response group that was not representative of the population. The State did provide improvement activities to address this issue.</p>					FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	80	84	86	4.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	81	87	87	6.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	82	88	87	6.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p> <p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were based on a parent survey response group that is not representative of the State's population. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must continue to indicate whether its response group is representative of the State's population and, if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																					
A. Know their rights. (%)	80	84	86	4.00%																					
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	81	87	87	6.00%																					
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	82	88	87	6.00%																					
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 0.49%. OSEP recalculated the FFY 2006 data to be 0.50%.</p>				<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 0.60%.</p>	
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 1.34%. These data represent progress from the OSEP recalculated FFY 2006 data of 1.21%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.68%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 88%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of the six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner, and that three findings subsequently were corrected. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that improvement activities that were part of a CAP have been implemented and revised in the remaining four health districts.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the one remaining uncorrected FFY 2006 noncompliance finding was corrected.  The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) , including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.  The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining FFY 2006 noncompliance and each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) are correctly</p>

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 90%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 91%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with</p>

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 68%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 79%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the</p>

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		<p>State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 33.3%</p> <p>OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the data reported in its FFY 2006 APR were not valid or reliable, due to the fact that the State did not issue its first monitoring report until January 2007.</p> <p>The State reported that six of 18 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that three findings subsequently were corrected by one year, four months of written notification (April 2008).</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008, FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, correction of the remaining FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance. As noted above, the State has corrected nine of the 18 FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the State has corrected the remaining nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 67%. These data are based on three complaints. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections</p>

**Mississippi Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 of 82%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>