

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 86.8%.</p> <p>The State met did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all six of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.4%. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.5%. The State's data reflect a</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
developing children. [Results Indicator]	high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 95%.	environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).																								
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for "for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they have a developmental delay or an established condition," for this indicator are: <table border="1" data-bbox="548 716 1446 1435"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 716 1075 899">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1075 716 1199 899">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 716 1323 899">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 716 1446 899">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 899 1075 971">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 899 1199 971">.9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 899 1323 971">2.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 899 1446 971">1.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 971 1075 1114">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 971 1199 1114">.1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 971 1323 1114">.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 971 1446 1114">.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1114 1075 1219">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1114 1199 1219">2.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1114 1323 1219">11.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1114 1446 1219">6.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1219 1075 1325">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1219 1199 1325">17.7</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1219 1323 1325">22.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1219 1446 1325">23.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1325 1075 1435">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1325 1199 1435">79</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1325 1323 1435">64.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1325 1446 1435">67.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.9	2.3	1.9	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	.1	.2	.1	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	2.2	11.2	6.6	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	17.7	22.0	23.8	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	79	64.2	67.5	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010. The State reported outcome data for at risk children separately from those children eligible due to diagnosed conditions and developmental delays. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must provide the required data for FFY 2008.
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.9	2.3	1.9																							
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	.1	.2	.1																							
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	2.2	11.2	6.6																							
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	17.7	22.0	23.8																							
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	79	64.2	67.5																							

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps			
	Total (approx. 100%)			99.90%	99.90%	99.90%		
	The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data "for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they are at risk;" for this indicator are:							
	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior				
	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	0.0	1.5	1.1				
	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	16.3	15	19.1				
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	83.7	83.6	79.8					

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 313 1480 706"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>74.9</td> <td>77.6</td> <td>70</td> <td>2.70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>71.6</td> <td>74.6</td> <td>70</td> <td>3.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>85.9</td> <td>85.6</td> <td>85</td> <td>-0.30%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>These data represent progress for 4A and 4B from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	74.9	77.6	70	2.70%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	71.6	74.6	70	3.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	85.9	85.6	85	-0.30%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	74.9	77.6	70	2.70%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	71.6	74.6	70	3.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	85.9	85.6	85	-0.30%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 3.12%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 3.12%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 2.85%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 6.72%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 6.41%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 5.85%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 95%.</p> <p>The State met did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 96.6%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in IFSP transition content, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement(s); and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 95%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2006.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 98.4%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2006.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B,</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 15 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501 and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8 in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 96.8%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.