

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 98.9%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all fifteen of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.6%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%.</p>

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
[Results Indicator]	The State met its target of 95%.	There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 618 1446 1445"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 618 1077 800">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 618 1199 800">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 618 1323 800">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 618 1446 800">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 800 1077 873">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 800 1199 873">1.16</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 800 1323 873">.88</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 800 1446 873">1.22</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 873 1077 1016">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 873 1199 1016">17.55</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 873 1323 1016">20.61</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 873 1446 1016">15.65</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1016 1077 1122">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1016 1199 1122">16.19</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1016 1323 1122">21.43</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1016 1446 1122">12.79</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1122 1077 1227">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1122 1199 1227">29.59</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1122 1323 1227">38.03</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1122 1446 1227">33.33</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1227 1077 1333">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1227 1199 1333">35.51</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1227 1323 1333">19.05</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1227 1446 1333">37.01</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1333 1077 1445">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1333 1199 1445">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1333 1323 1445">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1333 1446 1445">100.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.16	.88	1.22	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	17.55	20.61	15.65	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	16.19	21.43	12.79	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29.59	38.03	33.33	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	35.51	19.05	37.01	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.16	.88	1.22																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	17.55	20.61	15.65																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	16.19	21.43	12.79																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29.59	38.03	33.33																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	35.51	19.05	37.01																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %																											

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 313 1482 711"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>57.8</td> <td>62.8</td> <td>75</td> <td>5.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>97.2</td> <td>98.4</td> <td>96</td> <td>1.20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>97.4</td> <td>97.9</td> <td>97</td> <td>0.50%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets for this 4B and 4C and did not meet its FFY 2007 target for 4A.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	57.8	62.8	75	5.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	97.2	98.4	96	1.20%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	97.4	97.9	97	0.50%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p> <p>KDHE developed and submitted its sampling plan to OSEP for review. OSEP will evaluate the sampling plan submitted to OSEP on April 7, 2009, to determine if the State's sampling plan will yield valid and reliable data for this indicator in its FFY 2008 APR.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	57.8	62.8	75	5.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	97.2	98.4	96	1.20%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	97.4	97.9	97	0.50%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 1.38%.</p> <p>These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 1.43%.</p> <p>The State met it FFY 2007 target of 1.33%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 2.71%.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 2.63%.</p> <p>The State did not meet it FFY 2007 target of 2.85%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.3%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99.1%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all seven of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)).</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all 51 of its findings of noncompliance identified in</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b).</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1</p>

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner.	<p>and 7 in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement(s), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C</p>

Kansas Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]		due process hearing requests.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%. The State did not meet its target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.