

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 98%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, that it corrected the two remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State reported that the two findings of noncompliance from FFY 2005 relating to the timely service provision requirements in Part C have been corrected.</p> <p>The State reported that seven of nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining two findings subsequently were corrected by August 2008.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.3%. The State's</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%.</p>

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 94%.</p>	<p>There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 618 1446 1446"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 618 1075 800">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1075 618 1199 800">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 618 1323 800">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 618 1446 800">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 800 1075 873">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 800 1199 873">10.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 800 1323 873">3.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 800 1446 873">8.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 873 1075 1016">b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 873 1199 1016">16</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 873 1323 1016">7.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 873 1446 1016">9.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1016 1075 1122">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1016 1199 1122">20.1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1016 1323 1122">12.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1016 1446 1122">13.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1122 1075 1227">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1122 1199 1227">28</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1122 1323 1227">17.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1122 1446 1227">23.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1227 1075 1333">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1227 1199 1333">25.7</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1227 1323 1333">58.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1227 1446 1333">44.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1333 1075 1446">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1333 1199 1446">100.10 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1333 1323 1446">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1333 1446 1446">99.90%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	10.3	3.2	8.5	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	16	7.8	9.2	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	20.1	12.8	13.9	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	28	17.4	23.6	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	25.7	58.8	44.7	Total (approx. 100%)	100.10 %	100.00 %	99.90%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	10.3	3.2	8.5																											
b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	16	7.8	9.2																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	20.1	12.8	13.9																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	28	17.4	23.6																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	25.7	58.8	44.7																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.10 %	100.00 %	99.90%																											

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State indicated in the narrative for Indicator 3 that it had complete entry and exit outcome data for 1488 infants and toddlers who exited Part C after at least six months. However, while the State included 1488 children in its calculation for “positive social-emotional skills,” the State included only 1369 children in its calculation for “acquisition and use of knowledge and skills,” and only 1387 for “use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.” The State attributed this variance to incomplete or missing data in its data system and data entry error. The State further reported that it has remedied this problem by utilizing a new mechanism for outcomes data collection and by conducting monthly monitoring of local programs.</p>																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 716 1478 1110"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>96.5</td> <td>94</td> <td>99</td> <td>-2.50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs. (%)</td> <td>98.7</td> <td>98.4</td> <td>99</td> <td>-0.30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>94.3</td> <td>93.8</td> <td>99</td> <td>-0.50%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent slippage for 4A from the FFY 2006 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	96.5	94	99	-2.50%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs. (%)	98.7	98.4	99	-0.30%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	94.3	93.8	99	-0.50%	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	96.5	94	99	-2.50%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs. (%)	98.7	98.4	99	-0.30%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	94.3	93.8	99	-0.50%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.25%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 1.39%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.40%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State’s data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]		
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 3.44%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 3.66%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.25%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99.5%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all six of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45 day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent</p>

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.5%. The State's FFY 2006 data were 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the</p>

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.76%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding was subsequently corrected by July 2008.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program,</p>

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 91.18%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 85.7%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 62 of 68 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining findings subsequently were corrected by August 2008.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFY 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on four complaints. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720</p>

Indiana Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.