

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvements activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.31%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 96.5%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 89.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 89.26%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2007 target of 88.0%.																													
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are: <table border="1" data-bbox="550 431 1446 1260"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="550 431 1075 618">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1075 431 1199 618">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 431 1323 618">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 431 1446 618">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 618 1075 688">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 618 1199 688">.44</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 618 1323 688">.33</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 618 1446 688">.42</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 688 1075 829">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 688 1199 829">19.02</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 688 1323 829">18.00</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 688 1446 829">17.97</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 829 1075 935">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 829 1199 935">14.84</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 829 1323 935">29.01</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 829 1446 935">21.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 935 1075 1040">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 935 1199 1040">24.88</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 935 1323 1040">42.98</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 935 1446 1040">42.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 1040 1075 1146">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1040 1199 1146">40.82</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1040 1323 1146">9.68</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1040 1446 1146">18.51</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 1146 1075 1260">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1146 1199 1260">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1146 1323 1260">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1146 1446 1260">100.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="550 1279 1402 1341">The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.44	.33	.42	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	19.02	18.00	17.97	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14.84	29.01	21.00	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24.88	42.98	42.10	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	40.82	9.68	18.51	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.44	.33	.42																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	19.02	18.00	17.97																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14.84	29.01	21.00																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24.88	42.98	42.10																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	40.82	9.68	18.51																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %																											

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="533 313 1465 760"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 313 1016 467"></th> <th data-bbox="1016 313 1129 467">FFY 2006 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1129 313 1243 467">FFY 2007 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1243 313 1356 467">FFY 2007 Target</th> <th data-bbox="1356 313 1465 467">Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 467 1016 570">A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1016 467 1129 570">82.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1129 467 1243 570">75.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1243 467 1356 570">76.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1356 467 1465 570">-7.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 570 1016 667">B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1016 570 1129 667">90.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1129 570 1243 667">87.1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1243 570 1356 667">86.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1356 570 1465 667">-3.20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 667 1016 760">C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1016 667 1129 760">93.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1129 667 1243 760">90.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1243 667 1356 760">90.0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1356 667 1465 760">-2.50%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets for 4B and 4C and did not meet its FFY 2007 target for 4A.</p> <p>The State revised the improvement activities and the FFYs 2008, 2009 and 2010 targets for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The revised targets are less rigorous than the previous targets, but the FFY 2010 targets reflect improvement over the State's baseline data. The State indicated that stakeholders had an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.</p>						FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	82.0	75.0	76.0	-7.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	90.3	87.1	86.0	-3.20%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	93.3	90.8	90.0	-2.50%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																						
A. Know their rights. (%)	82.0	75.0	76.0	-7.00%																						
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	90.3	87.1	86.0	-3.20%																						
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	93.3	90.8	90.0	-2.50%																						
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities and its FFYs 2008, 2009, and 2010 targets for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The revised targets are less rigorous than the previous targets, but the FFY 2010 targets reflect improvement over the State's baseline data. The State indicated that stakeholders had an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 1.24%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 1.17%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.36%.</p>					<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its FFYs 2008, 2009, and 2010 targets for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The revised targets are less rigorous than the previous targets, but the FFY 2010 targets reflect improvement over the State's baseline data. The State indicated that stakeholders had an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data are 3.44%. However, the State's FFY 2007 data under IDEA section 618 for this indicator are 3.31%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 3.11%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 3.32%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.43%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99.0%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure</p>

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.1%. The FFY 2006 data were 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2006 response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, that the four remaining findings from FFY 2005 were corrected. The State reported that these four findings were corrected.</p>	<p>compliance.</p> <p>The State reported that it corrected its FFY 2005 findings and it timely corrected its FFY 2006 findings with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93.4%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and its correction of the</p>

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State did not report any FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, that the 13 remaining findings from FFY 2005 were corrected. The State reported that these 13 FFY 2005 findings were corrected.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State’s FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>remaining 13 findings identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 96.1%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that seven of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, that the seven remaining findings from FFY 2005 were corrected. The State reported that these seven findings were corrected.</p>	<p>The State reported that it corrected its FFY 2005 findings and it timely corrected its FFY 2006 findings with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)).</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the</p>

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 67.8%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, that the 30 remaining findings of noncompliance from FFY 2005 were corrected. The State reported that these 30 findings were corrected.</p> <p>The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501 and correcting the remaining 30 findings it identified in FFY 2005.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in</p>

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on seven complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting</p>

Illinois Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.