

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 78%. The State reported that it included in the numerator for this indicator, children with delays due to exceptional circumstances other than documented exceptional family circumstances. The State provided the required explanation regarding delays in services attributable to documented exceptional circumstances that were beyond the control of the lead agency and the early intervention service program.</p> <p>These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 81.4%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all five of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 93.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 92.6%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
[Results Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2007 target of 92.5%.																													
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 347 1446 1175"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 347 1077 532">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 347 1199 532">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 347 1323 532">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 347 1446 532">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 532 1077 605">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 532 1199 605">1.5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 532 1323 605">1.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 532 1446 605">1.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 605 1077 745">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 605 1199 745">18</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 605 1323 745">18.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 605 1446 745">16</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 745 1077 850">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 745 1199 850">17.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 745 1323 850">20.5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 745 1446 850">17.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 850 1077 958">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 850 1199 958">28.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 850 1323 958">35.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 850 1446 958">32.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 958 1077 1065">e. % of infants &amp; toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 958 1199 1065">34.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 958 1323 1065">23.8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 958 1446 1065">32.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1065 1077 1175">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1065 1199 1175">99.90%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1065 1323 1175">100.10%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1065 1446 1175">99.80%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.5	1.2	1.2	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	18	18.8	16	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	17.6	20.5	17.2	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	28.6	35.8	32.5	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	34.2	23.8	32.9	Total (approx. 100%)	99.90%	100.10%	99.80%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.5	1.2	1.2																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	18	18.8	16																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	17.6	20.5	17.2																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	28.6	35.8	32.5																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	34.2	23.8	32.9																											
Total (approx. 100%)	99.90%	100.10%	99.80%																											

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;                      B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and                      C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 313 1480 708"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>56</td> <td>60.4</td> <td>58</td> <td>4.40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>54.3</td> <td>56.8</td> <td>54</td> <td>2.50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>71.9</td> <td>71.9</td> <td>70</td> <td>0.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>These data represent progress for Indicator 4A and 4B and Indicator 4C remains unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	56	60.4	58	4.40%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	54.3	56.8	54	2.50%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	71.9	71.9	70	0.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	56	60.4	58	4.40%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	54.3	56.8	54	2.50%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	71.9	71.9	70	0.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.91%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 1.7%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.62%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 2.69%. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator were 2.77%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 2.75%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 90.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all four of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 98%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>including:                      A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:                      B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 96.1%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that the State</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 19 of 20 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected by March 10, 2009.</p>	<p>timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in the FFY 2007 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501 and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints for the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p> <p>The State met its target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Compliance Indicator]		
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 recalculated data of 96.6%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>