

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 89%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 78.6%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include, in the FFY 2007 APR, documentation that it has corrected the noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State did not address the correction of the three findings of noncompliance from FFY 2005 relating to the timely service provision requirements in Part C.</p>	<p>The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected these three FFY 2005 findings under this indicator.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, including those with uncorrected FFY 2005 findings: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.65%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%.</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 96%.</p>	<p>There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 618 1467 1446"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 618 1077 800">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 618 1215 800">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1215 618 1335 800">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1335 618 1467 800">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 800 1077 873">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 800 1215 873">5.83</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1215 800 1335 873">5.96</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1335 800 1467 873">6.90</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 873 1077 1016">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 873 1215 1016">5.69</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1215 873 1335 1016">4.47</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1335 873 1467 1016">5.01</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1016 1077 1122">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1016 1215 1122">30.17</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1215 1016 1335 1122">37.64</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1335 1016 1467 1122">26.16</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1122 1077 1227">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1122 1215 1227">42.62</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1215 1122 1335 1227">42.75</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1335 1122 1467 1227">44.26</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1227 1077 1333">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1227 1215 1333">15.69</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1215 1227 1335 1333">9.16</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1335 1227 1467 1333">17.66</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1333 1077 1446">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1333 1215 1446">100%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1215 1333 1335 1446">99%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1335 1333 1467 1446">99%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State's FFY 2007 data for Appropriate Behavior, row e, are 17.66%.</p>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5.83	5.96	6.90	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	5.69	4.47	5.01	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	30.17	37.64	26.16	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	42.62	42.75	44.26	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15.69	9.16	17.66	Total (approx. 100%)	100%	99%	99%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5.83	5.96	6.90																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	5.69	4.47	5.01																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	30.17	37.64	26.16																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	42.62	42.75	44.26																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15.69	9.16	17.66																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100%	99%	99%																											

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	<p>However, OSEP recalculated the data to be 20.2%.</p> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported FFY 2007 data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 448 1480 841"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">94</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">96</td> <td align="center">95</td> <td align="center">93</td> <td align="center">-1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">96</td> <td align="center">95</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">-1%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress for 4A and slippage for 4B and 4C from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	87	94	92	7%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	96	95	93	-1%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	95	92	-1%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	87	94	92	7%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	96	95	93	-1%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	95	92	-1%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .46%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of .45%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of .64%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.20%. The State's FFY 2006 data were 1.26%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance</p>																				

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.50%.</p>	<p>in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 89%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 91.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that none of the three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that all but one of the FFY 2006 findings subsequently were corrected by April 2, 2009.</p> <p>Although the State reported in its FFY 2006 APR that it made no findings related to this indicator in FFY 2005, the State reported in its FFY 2007 APR that all of the findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 in three districts (Albany, Athens, and Rome) subsequently were corrected.</p> <p>Although the State reported in its FFY 2006 APR that it did not have the documentation to provide correction data on noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 (due to staffing changes in the lead agency Part C office), the State reported in the FFY 2007 APR that all the findings of noncompliance identified in three districts (DeKalb, Cobb and Clayton) subsequently were corrected.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFYs 2004 and 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) were corrected and that one FFY 2006 finding remains uncorrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the one remaining FFY 2006 finding is corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 81.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 77.2%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include, in the FFY 2007 APR, documentation that it has corrected the noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). The State did not report on the correction of the three findings of noncompliance from FFY 2005 related to this indicator.</p>	<p>The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected the three FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance under this indicator.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, including each EIS program with uncorrected FFY 2005 findings: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 78.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 67%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>In its FFY 2007 APR, the State reported that 390 families declined LEA notification under the State's opt-out policy. Under IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i>, the lead agency must disclose, to the LEA where the child resides, limited child find information unless the lead agency has adopted an opt-out policy and the parent opts out. The State does not have an opt-out policy on file that has been approved by OSEP. Therefore, the State reported that it included, in its calculation for this indicator, the 390 families that declined LEA notification. With its FFY 2008 application, the State submitted an assurance that it would submit its opt-out policy to OSEP by June 30, 2009.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>Under IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(I), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i>, the lead agency must disclose limited child find information to the LEA where the child resides unless the lead agency has adopted an opt-out policy and the parent opts out; the lead agency may not require affirmative parental consent for this limited disclosure. The State reported in its FFY 2006 APR that it had adopted an opt-out policy under OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> but OSEP does not have on file a copy of the opt-out policy. As required by OSEP's July 2,</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>2008 FFY 2008 Part C grant award letter and the State's June 27, 2008 assurance, the State must submit, no later than June 30, 2009, its revised transition policy with the parental consent requirement deleted and any opt-out policy if the State elects to adopt one as part of, or an amendment to, Section II of the State's FFY 2009 Part C Application. OSEP will respond to the State's submission as part of its response to the State's FFY 2009 Part C application. Unless the lead agency has adopted an opt-out policy that is on file with OSEP and the parent opts out, the lead agency must provide the notification required by 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> for all children served in Part C who will shortly reach the age of eligibility for preschool services under Part B.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 73.1%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 91.5%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the State reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p> <p>Although the State reported in the FFY 2006 APR that it had made no findings related to this indicator in FFY 2005, the State reported in the FFY 2007 APR that it had made four FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator, of which three findings were corrected in three districts (Albany, Coastal, and Rome).</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected the one outstanding FFY 2005 finding under this indicator.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible under Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 0%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 30.77%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that zero of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were timely corrected. During the September 2008 verification visit, the State informed OSEP that it conducted two focused monitoring visits during FFY 2006. The State further informed OSEP that, other than the findings it made as part of those focused monitoring visits, it made no findings of noncompliance based on districts’ FFY 2006 APR data for the compliance indicators. The State reported that districts with noncompliance for FFY 2006 were required to submit a corrective action plan. In addition, during FFY 2007, the State regularly monitored monthly data reports to monitor for slippage and provided technical assistance.</p> <p>The State reported subsequent correction of the following findings:</p> <p>FFY 2004: The State reported that all of the Indicator 7 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in three districts (Cobb, Clayton, and Dekalb) subsequently were corrected.</p> <p>FFY 2005:</p> <p>The State reported that all of the Indicator 7 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 in three districts (Albany, Athens, and Rome)</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007 and that the State corrected the seven FFY 2005 findings (three under Indicator 1, three under Indicator 8A, and one under Indicator 8C) and the one FFY 2006 finding (under Indicator 7), in accordance IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>OSEP’s February 23, 2009 verification visit letter found that DHR does not have a general supervision system that is reasonably designed to identify and correct noncompliance in a timely manner using its different components. This letter requires the State to submit an assurance, policies, and other information with its FFY 2009 Part C application. OSEP will respond to the State’s submission in a separate letter.</p> <p>OSEP’s February 2009 verification letter also requires the State, with its FFY 2008 APR,</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>subsequently were corrected. The State did not report on the three FFY 2005 findings under Indicator 1 and three FFY 2005 findings under Indicator 8A.</p> <p>The State reported that three of the four Indicator 8C findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 subsequently were corrected in three districts (Albany, Coastal, and Rome). The State is providing increased sanctions and additional technical assistance to the district (Fulton) with the outstanding FFY 2005 finding under Indicator 8C.</p> <p>FFY 2006: The State reported that all of the Indicator 7 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 in one district (DeKalb) subsequently were corrected. The State reported that it will verify correction of the one finding in the remaining district (Columbus) by May 8, 2009.</p>	<p>due February 1, 2010, to provide a list of findings made by the State through December 31, 2009, and to the extent that the one year timeline for correction has run on any of those findings by December 31, 2009, the number of those findings for which the State has verified correction. Further, although the one year timeline will not yet have run by December 31, 2009, for findings made after December 31, 2008, the State must report the extent to which any such findings have been corrected.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance from FFY 2005 and FFY 2006, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under that indicator.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on four complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	2006 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one due process hearing. There were no due process hearings held in FFY 2006. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely due process hearing timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.420 and 303.423(b).
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two mediations. For FFY 2006, the State reported that the one mediation held resulted in a mediation agreement. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100% for timeliness and 100% for accuracy. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 94.9%. These data represent progress from the OSEP calculated FFY 2006 data of 93.3%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008

Georgia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.