

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 86%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 69%.</p> <p>The District did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the District reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the District reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>The District must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the District is in compliance with the timely services provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the District reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The District must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the District under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2009 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the District is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the District must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The District revised its improvement strategies for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 89%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 96%.</p> <p>The District did not meet its target of 93%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the District's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The District's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 261 1446 1224"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 261 1075 448">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1075 261 1199 448">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 261 1323 448">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 261 1446 448">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 448 1075 548">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 448 1199 548">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 448 1323 548">2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 448 1446 548">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 548 1075 716">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 548 1199 716">54</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 548 1323 716">56</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 548 1446 716">49</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 716 1075 850">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 716 1199 850">22</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 716 1323 850">20</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 716 1446 850">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 850 1075 985">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 850 1199 985">15</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 850 1323 985">15</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 850 1446 985">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 985 1075 1120">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 985 1199 1120">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 985 1323 1120">7</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 985 1446 1120">17</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1120 1075 1224">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1120 1199 1224">100%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1120 1323 1224">100%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1120 1446 1224">100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	2	0	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	54	56	49	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	22	20	20	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15	15	15	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	5	7	17	Total (approx. 100%)	100%	100%	100%	<p>The District reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The District must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	2	0																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	54	56	49																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	22	20	20																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15	15	15																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	5	7	17																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100%	100%	100%																											

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 277 1480 727"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">88</td> <td align="center">94</td> <td align="center">88.2</td> <td align="center">6.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">85</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">84.8</td> <td align="center">2.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">78</td> <td align="center">80</td> <td align="center">78.7</td> <td align="center">2.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The District met its FFY 2007 targets.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	88	94	88.2	6.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	85	87	84.8	2.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	78	80	78.7	2.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the District's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	88	94	88.2	6.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	85	87	84.8	2.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	78	80	78.7	2.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other Districts with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The District revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .28%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of .59%.</p> <p>The District did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.2%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the District's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other Districts with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The District revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.19%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 1.4%.</p> <p>The District did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.0%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the District's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an</p>	<p>The District revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	<p>The District must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the District is in compliance with the 45-day</p>																				

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 74%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 17%. The District did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. Although the District reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the District reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the District reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. In reporting on correction, the District must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program or provider with noncompliance reported by the District under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. If the District is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the District must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 58%. The District met its FFY 2007 target of 100%. Although the District reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the District reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the District's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely</p>	<p>The District revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the District's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The District met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 73%.</p> <p>The District did not meet its target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the District reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2006, the District reported that it made no FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the District's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the District's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the District reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the District must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the District under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as</p>	<p>The District reported that it did not issue findings of noncompliance to any of its EIS providers based on the data reported in the FFY 2006 APR because it was not aware that such EIS providers are considered EIS programs and had incorrectly understood the requirements of this indicator. The District</p>	<p>The District has not provided these data, although required, for three years. This raises concerns about the District's compliance with the timely correction</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>reported that it did not provide data for this indicator in the FFY 2005 APR, the FFY 2006 APR, or the FFY 2007 APR due to these reasons. Furthermore, in FFY 2007, OSSE was designated as the lead agency for Part C.</p> <p>The timely correction requirements of this indicator are the subject of <u>Special Conditions</u> on the District of Columbia’s FFY 2008 Part C Grant. These <u>Special Conditions</u> require the District to submit a progress report with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, and include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a list of the EIS programs and EIS providers used by DC OSSE to implement Part C requirements; 2. a copy of the contract, subgrant, or other subaward document between DC OSSE and each EIS program or EIS provider; 3. a description and analysis of the Part C compliance data that each EIS program or EIS provider is required to submit to DC OSSE; 4. a list of findings by EIS program or EIS provider and relevant Part C requirement; and 5. any other efforts by DC OSSE to ensure compliance from September 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008 (such as data review). <p>With its FFY 2007 APR, the District provided a list of the EIS providers used by DC OSSE to implement the Part C requirement. The District also reported that OSSE has implemented a procurement system for securing early intervention services to replace the contracted service system previously used to secure early intervention services. In Indicator 9 of its FFY 2007 APR, the District provided a description and analysis of its Part C compliance data, which are now collected and reported through its revised data system, the Management Information System (MIS). The District reported that it will make findings and notify providers of those finding in the winter of 2009. The District provided a description of the efforts implemented by DC OSSE to ensure compliance with Part C requirements, including a review of the MIS data.</p>	<p>requirements in IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the District must provide the required correction data for Indicator 9.</p> <p>The District must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the District to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the District timely corrected noncompliance identified by the District in FFY 2007 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the District must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the District’s monitoring system, through the District’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7 and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the District must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the District must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p><u>FFY 2008 Special Conditions Related to</u></p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p><u>Indicator 9:</u></p> <p>The District did not provide all information required under its FFY 2008 <u>Special Conditions</u>. Specifically, the District did not provide a description and analysis of the Part C compliance data that each EIS program or EIS provider is required to submit to DC OSSE and the District did not provide a list of findings by EIS program or EIS provider and relevant Part C requirement.</p> <p>The District must provide by May 15, 2009 the information required in the final Progress Report under its FFY 2008 <u>Special Conditions</u>. OSEP will respond to that submission in the District's FFY 2009 grant award.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the District's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The District reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the District's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process</p>	<p>The District reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The District is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more resolution sessions are held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the District's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

District of Columbia Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The District reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period. The District is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the District's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
14. District reported data (618 and District Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The District's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 83%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 82.6%. The District did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	The District must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the District to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the District is in compliance with the timely and accurate data-reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the District must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.