

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99%.</p> <p>The State’s data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 95%.</p>	<p>The State’s actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in</p>

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>		<p>that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="512 581 1409 1409"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="512 581 1037 764">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1037 581 1163 764">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1163 581 1283 764">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1283 581 1409 764">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 764 1037 837">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 764 1163 837">.41</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 764 1283 837">0.17</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1283 764 1409 837">0.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 837 1037 979">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 837 1163 979">7</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 837 1283 979">8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1283 837 1409 979">7</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 979 1037 1084">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 979 1163 1084">38</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 979 1283 1084">35</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1283 979 1409 1084">34</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 1084 1037 1190">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 1084 1163 1190">44</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1084 1283 1190">49</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1283 1084 1409 1190">52</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 1190 1037 1304">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 1190 1163 1304">11</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1190 1283 1304">8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1283 1190 1409 1304">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="512 1304 1037 1409">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1037 1304 1163 1409">100.41 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1163 1304 1283 1409">100.17 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1283 1304 1409 1409">99.35%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.41	0.17	0.35	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	7	8	7	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	38	35	34	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	44	49	52	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	11	8	6	Total (approx. 100%)	100.41 %	100.17 %	99.35%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.41	0.17	0.35																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	7	8	7																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	38	35	34																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	44	49	52																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	11	8	6																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.41 %	100.17 %	99.35%																											

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="512 315 1444 708"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">79</td> <td align="center">81</td> <td align="center">83</td> <td align="center">2.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">75</td> <td align="center">79</td> <td align="center">80</td> <td align="center">4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">4.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the targets and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target for 4C and did not meet its targets for 4A and 4B.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	79	81	83	2.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	75	79	80	4.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	87	91	91	4.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	79	81	83	2.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	75	79	80	4.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	87	91	91	4.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .94%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 1.23%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 1.2%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 3.35%. The data for FFY 2006 were 3.41%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.15%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]		
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that seven of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected by August 1, 2008.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement(s); and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.9%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 99.9%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the</p>

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99.4%.</p> <p>The State reported that the six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the</p>

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		<p>requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 61 of 63 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining findings subsequently were corrected by August 1, 2008.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501 and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due</p>

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on three complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>

Connecticut Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p> <p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations are held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93.7%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>