

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 84.76%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 82%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>In Indicator 1, the State reported that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. In Indicator 9, the State reported that three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 94.84%. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator were 96.39%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
[Results Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2007 target of 90.5%.																													
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 399 1446 1224"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 399 1075 581">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1075 399 1199 581">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 399 1323 581">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 399 1446 581">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 581 1075 654">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 581 1199 654">2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 581 1323 654">3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 581 1446 654">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 654 1075 797">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 654 1199 797">9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 654 1323 797">8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 654 1446 797">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 797 1075 902">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 797 1199 902">1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 797 1323 902">1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 797 1446 902">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 902 1075 1008">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 902 1199 1008">2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 902 1323 1008">4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 902 1446 1008">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1008 1075 1114">e. % of infants &amp; toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1008 1199 1114">86</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1008 1323 1114">84</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1008 1446 1114">89</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1114 1075 1224">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1075 1114 1199 1224">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1114 1323 1224">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1114 1446 1224">100.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	2	3	0	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	9	8	6	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	1	1	2	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	2	4	3	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	86	84	89	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	2	3	0																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	9	8	6																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	1	1	2																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	2	4	3																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	86	84	89																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %																											
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																												

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																				
<p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline and FFY 2008 – 2010 targets for Indicator 4B and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data represent slippage from the FFY 2006</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 370 1480 764"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">88</td> <td align="center">73</td> <td align="center">75</td> <td align="center">-15.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">94</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">95.25</td> <td align="center">-7.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">96</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">75</td> <td align="center">-5.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>data for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target for 4C and did not meet its targets for 4A or 4B.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	88	73	75	-15.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	94	87	95.25	-7.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	91	75	-5.00%	
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	88	73	75	-15.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	94	87	95.25	-7.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	91	75	-5.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .71%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of .73%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of .90%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.92%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 1.92%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 2.2%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance</p>																				

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 90.59%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 90%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that six of nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that two of three remaining findings of noncompliance subsequently were corrected - one within 14 months of the finding and one within 15 months of the finding. For the one remaining finding, the State implemented the following activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conducted an on-site file review;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance visits for staff training;</li> <li>• Dropped status determination for this provider from “needs assistance” to “needs intervention”;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance calls to evaluate data collection and validity of reporting;</li> <li>• Conducted focused monitoring on-site visit in October 2008;</li> <li>• Updated plan of correction; and</li> <li>• Required collaboration with a lead State staff person until correction of noncompliance is achieved.</li> </ul>	<p>identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was partially corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the one uncorrected FFY 2006 finding of noncompliance was corrected.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.09%. These data</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR</p>

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 was corrected in a timely manner. The other finding remains uncorrected and the State has implemented the following activities for that finding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conducted an on-site file review;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance visits for staff training;</li> <li>• Dropped status determination for this provider from “needs assistance” to “needs intervention”;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance calls to evaluate data collection and validity of reporting;</li> <li>• Conducted focused monitoring on-site visit in October 2008;</li> <li>• Updated plan of correction; and</li> <li>• Required collaboration with a lead State staff person until correction of noncompliance is achieved.</li> </ul>	<p>§§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was partially corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, and the EIS program with remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2006: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.22%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 98%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and</p>

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>including:                      B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:                      C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 84.74%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 83.06%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that five of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that two of three remaining findings of noncompliance subsequently were corrected – one within 14 months of the finding and one within 15 months of the finding. For the one remaining finding, the State implemented the following activities:</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the one remaining uncorrected FFY 2006 noncompliance finding was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the</p>

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conducted an on-site file review;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance visits for staff training;</li> <li>• Dropped status determination for this provider from “needs assistance” to “needs intervention”;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance calls to evaluate data collection and validity of reporting;</li> <li>• Conducted focused monitoring on-site visit on October 2008;</li> <li>• Updated plan of correction; and</li> <li>• Required collaboration with a lead State staff person until correction of noncompliance is achieved.</li> </ul>	<p>requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported under this indicator and each EIS program with remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2006: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 73.1%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 19 of 26 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that two findings were corrected within 14 months and two additional findings subsequently were corrected within 15 months. The remaining three uncorrected findings were attributed to one provider. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the State has corrected the remaining three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the</p>

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	<p>implemented the following activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conducted an on-site file review;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance visits for staff training;</li> <li>• Dropped status determination for this provider from “needs assistance” to “needs intervention”;</li> <li>• Conducted multiple technical assistance calls to evaluate data collection and validity of reporting;</li> <li>• Conducted focused monitoring on-site visit on October 2008;</li> <li>• Updated plan of correction; and</li> <li>• Required collaboration with a lead State staff person until correction of noncompliance is achieved.</li> </ul>	<p>State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance from FFY 2006, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due</p>

**Colorado Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions. The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%. The State met its target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>