

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 71%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 67%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The timely service provision requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on Arizona's FFY 2008 Part C grant. The State's Special Conditions progress report provided updated data from December 2008 indicating 86% compliance for this indicator. OSEP will review and respond, in the State's FFY 2009 grant letter, to the State's Special Conditions after the State submits its final progress report, due on May 15, 2009.</p> <p>The State reported, under Indicator 9, that eight of ten findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner; one of the remaining two findings subsequently was corrected within one year and eight days after the identification of the noncompliance; and the other finding was corrected by January 9, 2009.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, that the State corrected the one remaining finding identified in this indicator from FFY 2005 and the two remaining findings from FFY 2004.</p> <p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 had been corrected. The State further reported that the FFY 2004 noncompliance was partially corrected. For the one remaining FFY 2004 uncorrected finding of noncompliance: (1) the State increased the frequency of data review and follow-up, provided monthly or targeted technical assistance, combined provider data to address the low number of children served, conducted a root cause analysis to identify challenges and barriers, and implemented procedural changes and the team based model contract; and (2) contractors implemented strategies that included staff changes.</p>	<p>The State reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State further reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 was corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the correction of the one FFY 2004 finding.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFT 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement(s); and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 63%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 84%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 88%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="569 630 1419 1507"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="569 630 1068 813">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1068 630 1178 813">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1178 630 1304 813">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1304 630 1419 813">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 813 1068 886">a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1068 813 1178 886">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 813 1304 886">5</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1304 813 1419 886">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 886 1068 1032">b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1068 886 1178 1032">25</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 886 1304 1032">23</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1304 886 1419 1032">26</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 1032 1068 1146">c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1068 1032 1178 1146">20</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1032 1304 1146">25</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1304 1032 1419 1146">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 1146 1068 1292">d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1068 1146 1178 1292">20</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1146 1304 1292">28</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1304 1146 1419 1292">33</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 1292 1068 1406">e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1068 1292 1178 1406">29</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1292 1304 1406">19</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1304 1292 1419 1406">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 1406 1068 1507">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1068 1406 1178 1507">99.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1406 1304 1507">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1304 1406 1419 1507">100.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	5	6	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	25	23	26	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	20	25	20	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20	28	33	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29	19	15	Total (approx. 100%)	99.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5	5	6																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	25	23	26																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	20	25	20																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20	28	33																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29	19	15																											
Total (approx. 100%)	99.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %																											

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 313 1444 708"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td>94</td> <td>96.7</td> <td>90.5</td> <td>2.70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td>95</td> <td>95.2</td> <td>90.5</td> <td>0.20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td>96</td> <td>97.4</td> <td>90.5</td> <td>1.40%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>These data represent progress for 4A, 4B and 4C from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	94	96.7	90.5	2.70%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	95	95.2	90.5	0.20%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	97.4	90.5	1.40%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	94	96.7	90.5	2.70%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	95	95.2	90.5	0.20%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	97.4	90.5	1.40%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are .60%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of .60%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of .70%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.81%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 1.81%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.72%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>The State reported that the noncompliance</p>																				

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 63%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 59%. Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator in FFY 2006, the State reported that “no new noncompliance was identified during FFY 2006” related to this indicator.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The 45-day timeline requirements of this indicator are the subject of Special Conditions on Arizona’s FFY 2008 Part C grant. The State’s Special Conditions progress report provided updated data from September 2008 indicating 68% compliance for this indicator. OSEP will respond in the State’s FFY 2009 grant letter to the State’s Special Conditions after the State submits its final Special Conditions progress report due May 15, 2009.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, that the six findings of noncompliance from FFY 2004 were corrected. The State reported that “of the six uncorrected findings of noncompliance from FFY 2004, one program is no longer an Arizona Early Intervention Program (AzEIP) contractor” and, as of February 2009, one of the remaining five programs will no longer be a contractor for AzEIP. For the remaining four FFY 2004 uncorrected findings of noncompliance: (1) the State increased the frequency of data review and follow-up, provided monthly or targeted technical assistance, implemented procedural changes and the team based model contract, conducted a root cause analysis to identify challenges and barriers, and combined provider data to address the low number of children served; and (2) contractors implemented strategies that included staff changes.</p>	<p>identified in FFY 2004 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was partially corrected and that “no new” findings were identified in FFY 2006.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining four FFY 2004 findings were corrected. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 91%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>compliance.</p> <p>The State reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 88.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 68%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that five of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected within 13 months of the identification of the noncompliance.</p>	<p>§303.148(b)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>In reporting on correction, the State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has notified the LEA for each child potentially eligible under Part B, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 67%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected within 13 months of the identification of the noncompliance.</p>	<p>The State reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance in FFY 2007 with, and ensuring correction of, the timely transition conference requirements in 34</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)).
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 86%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 48.28%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 82 of 95 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner, ten findings subsequently were corrected within eight days of the one year timeline requirement and the remaining three findings were corrected by January 9, 2009.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR that the State corrected the remaining findings of noncompliance identified in this indicator from FFY 2005 and FFY 2004. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 had been corrected and that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 had been partially corrected.</p> <p>As noted above, for the remaining five uncorrected FFY 2004 findings of noncompliance (one under Indicator 1 and four under Indicator 7), the State: (1) in partnership with technical assistance (TA) centers, conducted focused monitoring regarding the timely provision of services and related requirements; (2) required participation of supervisors and service coordinators in targeted training/TA on related requirements and timely provision of services; (3) increased the frequency of reporting data for coordinators and providers; and (4) ensured service coordinators’ understanding of AzEIP policies/procedures and Part C requirements through quarterly visits and TA.</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 had been partially corrected. The State further reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 was fully corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, correction of the five uncorrected FFY 2004 findings (one under Indicator 1 and four under Indicator 7).</p> <p>The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision system. The State must take the necessary steps to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected this noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>each program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8B, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on the correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2007 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>

Arizona Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were conducted.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 89.5%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>