

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p><b>Status of Public Reporting on EIS Program Performance:</b> The State has not publicly reported on the FFY 2006 performance of each EIS program located in the State on the targets in the State’s performance plan as required by sections 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 642 of IDEA, for Indicator 4.</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 54%. The State’s FFY 2006 data were 84%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State implemented a revised definition of timely services in July 2007.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that three of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that the remaining finding of noncompliance was not corrected and the EIS program received extensive technical assistance, including database training.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding was corrected. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 95%.</p>	<p>ensure compliance.</p> <p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 865 1446 1476"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 865 1077 1052">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 865 1199 1052">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 865 1323 1052">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 865 1446 1052">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1052 1077 1122">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1052 1199 1122">3.3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1052 1323 1122">1.1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1052 1446 1122">1.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1122 1077 1263">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1122 1199 1263">18.9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1122 1323 1263">25.6</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1122 1446 1263">27.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1263 1077 1370">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1263 1199 1370">22.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1263 1323 1370">22.2</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1263 1446 1370">22.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1370 1077 1476">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1370 1199 1476">24.4</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1370 1323 1476">28.9</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1370 1446 1476">28.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	3.3	1.1	1.1	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	18.9	25.6	27.8	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	22.2	22.2	22.2	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24.4	28.9	28.9	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																			
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	3.3	1.1	1.1																			
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	18.9	25.6	27.8																			
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	22.2	22.2	22.2																			
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24.4	28.9	28.9																			

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31.1	22.2	20		
	Total (approx. 100%)	99.90%	100.00%	100.00%		
	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;                      B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and                      C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	The State's reported data for this indicator are:					<p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were based on a parent survey response group that is not representative of the State's population. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must continue to indicate whether its response group is representative of the State's population and, if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	
	A. Know their rights. (%)	92	84	99	-8.00%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	97	97	100	0.00%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	96	92.6	100	-3.40%	
	These data remain unchanged for 4B, and represent slippage for 4A and 4C from the FFY 2006 data.					
	The State did not meet its targets.					
	The State reported that the data for this indicator were collected from a response group that was not representative of the population. The State reported that Native Americans were underrepresented in the response group and that the State will stratify the target group by ethnicity of children to improve representativeness in the future.					
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar</p>	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.14%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 0.76%.					OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
	The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.1%.					

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.94%. The State's FFY 2006 data were 1.96%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 2.3%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 84%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 85.5%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner; however, the State also described the timely correction of a third finding. OSEP assumes that the State meant to report that three of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. If that assumption is incorrect, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must clarify the number of findings of noncompliance that were timely corrected for this indicator.  For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported the EIS program received ongoing technical assistance.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was partially corrected.  The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding was corrected. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.  The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 83%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 88%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that the EIS program received training and technical assistance.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided a revised improvement activity and OSEP accepts the revision. OSEP is not clear, however why the State reported that there are no changes to this indicator. OSEP assumes the State intended to revise the improvement activities for this indicator. If that assumption is incorrect, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must clarify that there were no changes to the improvement activities for this indicator.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 80%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 83%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that the EIS program received technical assistance and was required to develop new staff training on transition requirements, monitor transition status of children through database reports, and engage in ongoing data review with direct service staff.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was partially corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the remaining one uncorrected noncompliance finding was corrected.</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 data for this indicator are 80%. However, OSEP recalculated the data for this indicator to be 73.3%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 92%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.510(b) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period. These data remain unchanged from FFY 2006 data.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>

**Alaska Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Results Indicator]		
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 91.2%. These recalculated data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported that it met its FFY 2007 target of 100%, its FFY 2007 data under Indicator 9 are incorrectly calculated and the edit checks failed for its Child Count and Program Settings submissions to DAC.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>