

**Table A Chart – Utah Part C
Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 1 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: See Table B.</p> <p>Other: The State’s timely standard is the IFSP service initiation date, the reasonableness of which is established by the IFSP team, which includes the parent.</p>	<p>Noncompliance: See Table B.</p> <p>Other: The State must monitor to ensure that the IFSP service initiation date is reasonable and that early intervention services are provided as soon as possible after the IFSP meeting and report any noncompliance with this monitoring requirement in Indicators 1 and 9A of the FFY 2005 APR due February 1, 2007.</p>
<p>Indicator 7: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: On page 7-2 of the FFY 2005 SPP, the State reported an 81% level of compliance for Indicator 7, specifically the requirement at 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), that an evaluation and assessment and initial IFSP meeting is conducted within 45 days for all children referred to Part C.</p> <p>The State identified that 63% of the files reviewed had the assessments completed and IFSP written within 45 days, and for 18% of the files reviewed the delays were attributable to family circumstances.</p> <p>UDOH identified improvement activities to ensure compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>See Table B for prior issue.</p>	<p>The State must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>The State should review and, if necessary, revise its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP’s determination of the State’s status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p> <p>See Table B for prior issue.</p>

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 8: Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. <p>(20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: 8A and 8B. On page 8-2 of the FFY 2005 SPP, the State reported a 91% level of compliance for Indicator 8A, specifically the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.344(h) and 303.148(b)(4), and a 93% level of compliance for Indicator 8B, specifically the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(1).</p> <p>While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the State in working toward compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>See Table B for other issues regarding 8A and 8C.</p>	<p>8A and 8B. OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>See Table B for other issues regarding 8A and 8C.</p>
<p>Indicator 9: General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: 9A. On page 9-3 of the FFY 2005 SPP, the State reported an 87% level of compliance for Indicator 9A, specifically the requirement at 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>UDOH identified improvement activities that included the implementation of the statewide data system to ensure the timely correction of identified areas of noncompliance.</p> <p>See Table B for prior issue.</p>	<p>9A. The State must ensure timely correction of State-identified noncompliance and report data in the FFY 2005 APR due February 1, 2007 that demonstrate compliance.</p> <p>The State should review and, if necessary, revise its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP's determination of the State's status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p> <p>See Table B for prior issue.</p>