

Table B – Part C (South Dakota)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 3: Improved and sustained functional abilities (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)</p> <p>OSEP’s August 29, 2005 response to the State’s FFY 2003 APR required the State to incorporate and update the data and information collected for and reported in the State’s FFY 2001, 2002, and 2003 APRs to address the requirements related to improved and sustained functional abilities.</p>	<p>The State provided 2003 and 2004 updated data to address the requirements related to improved and sustained functional abilities. However, the State does not believe the data to be sufficient to address the SPP. The State provided its plan to collect data necessary for the SPP (SPP, pages 9-11).</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s effort to improve performance in this area.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s baseline entry data in the FFY 2005 APR, due to OSEP on February 1, 2007.</p>

Table B – Part C (South Dakota)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 8: 8A: Transition Plan 34 CFR §§ 303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h); 8B: LEA Notification 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1); 8C: Transition Conference 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i)</p> <p>OSEP's August 29, 2005 letter responding to the State's FFY 2003 APR required the State to provide data and analysis indicating the status of compliance with: (1) the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h); (2) notification to the LEA requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1); and (3) transition planning conference timeline requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p> <p>If the data indicated noncompliance, the State was required to submit a plan in the SPP that includes strategies, proposed evidence of change, targets and timelines to achieve full compliance as soon as possible, not to exceed one year from when OSEP accepts the plan.</p>	<p>In the SPP, the State reported data showing full compliance with the requirements of 8A, 8B, and 8C and full correction of noncompliance identified from its 2003-2004 monitoring in the areas of transition planning, notification to the LEA, and transition conference.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in ensuring compliance with this requirement.</p>	<p>No further action is required for Table B. The State must continue to report on its performance on Indicators 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007.</p>

Table B – Part C (South Dakota)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 9B: General supervision of non-priority areas: Early intervention services in natural environments under 34 CFR §303.344(d)</p> <p>OSEP’s August 29, 2005 letter responding to the State’s FFY 2003 APR required the State to provide data and analysis in the SPP to address whether IFSPs included all the early intervention services necessary to meet the identified needs of the child and family as required by 34 CFR §303.344(d).</p>	<p>The State collected and reviewed record review data during July 2004-July 2005 to ensure that all needed services were included on IFSPs. The State reported in Indicator 1 its data that indicates that 100% of all records reviewed documented that all early intervention services needed by the child and family were included on the IFSP (SPP, pages 2 and 3).</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s effort to ensure compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §303.344(d).</p>	<p>No further action required.</p>