

Table B – Oklahoma Part C

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p><b>Indicator 8C:</b> OSEP's October 4, 2005 APR letter required OSDE to submit, in the SPP, justifications used by the State to determine that late transition planning conferences for children who are potentially eligible for Part B met compliance requirements in IDEA at 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i)</p>	<p>In Indicator 8C of the SPP, OSDE submitted data that approximately 95% of children had timely transition planning meetings as required by 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p>	<p>OSDE provided the requested information in the SPP and included improvement strategies intended to ensure all children have timely transition planning meetings. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>See Table A for discussion of 8A, 8B, and 8C.</p>	<p>No further action required.</p> <p>See Table A for discussion of 8A, 8B, and 8C.</p>
<p><b>Indicator 9B:</b> OSEP's October 4, 2005 APR letter reminded OSDE to submit a final progress report, by November 27, 2005, documenting that all children had periodic IFSP reviews, as required by 34 CFR §303.342(b).</p>	<p>On October 27, 2005, OSDE submitted a final progress report to OSEP demonstrating that 100% compliance was achieved in 5 of 7 programs and 98% compliance was achieved in the other two programs. Improvement strategies were submitted to ensure that all children would continue to have periodic IFSP reviews.</p>	<p>OSDE's submission demonstrated that it corrected the noncompliance within one year of identification. OSEP's December 6, 2005 letter informed OSDE that its submission was sufficient documentation. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance with this requirement.</p>	<p>No further action required.</p>