

**Table B – Nevada Part C
Previously Identified Issues**

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p><u>SPP Indicator 7:</u></p> <p>Completing evaluations and assessments, including family assessments, and holding the initial IFSP meeting within 45 days from referral, under 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).</p> <p>In the October 4, 2005 APR response letter, OSEP required that NDHR submit data in the SPP demonstrating progress toward correcting noncompliance to ensure that all children eligible for Part C had an initial IFSP meeting within 45 days of referral to Part C.</p> <p>Special Conditions were imposed on Nevada’s Part C FFY 2005 Part C grant to ensure compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).</p>	<p>NDHR submitted data and analysis in the SPP, Indicator 7, and supplemental attachment. The submission included an analysis of barriers and improvement strategies related to the 45-day timeline.</p> <p>Baseline data submitted by NDHR demonstrated that Statewide, 25% of children had an initial IFSP meeting within the timeline. NDHR provided data for July, August, and September 2005 showing a decrease in the number of children who received an initial IFSP meeting beyond 45 days (from 182 children in the first month of the quarter to 54 children in the last month of the quarter).</p> <p>NDHR provided historical and regional data that demonstrated the long-standing nature of the noncompliance and progress achieved since 2004.</p>	<p>NDHR provided Progress Report information in the SPP and in a supplement with the SPP concerning its analysis of the causes for noncompliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).</p> <p>This information demonstrated that while the State made progress, the data indicate continued significant noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator.</p> <p>NDHR implemented the improvement strategies proposed in the FFY 2003 APR and proposed additional strategies to achieve compliance. Improvement strategies include personnel capacity building, securing State financial support, procedural changes, and general supervision actions using data-based decision-making.</p> <p>The data was not in the required level of detail specified in the Special Conditions. The State did not submit an analysis of enforcement actions taken with regional programs, as required in the Special Conditions.</p>	<p>Under the Special Conditions, a final Progress Report is due April 14, 2006. Failure to demonstrate compliance with this requirement in the final Progress Report may result in the State being identified as a “high risk” grantee or otherwise affect the State’s FFY 2006 grant award.</p> <p>To meet Special Condition requirements, NDHR must provide in its April 2006 report:</p> <p>Data for December 2005 and January, February, and March 2006, disaggregated by region showing the number and percentage of infants and toddlers for whom initial evaluations and assessments and the initial IFSP meeting was conducted: 1) within 45 days from referral; 2) more than 45 days from referral, and for this data, the number who exceeded the timeline due to documented explanations of family circumstances outside the lead agency’s control;</p> <p>Corrective actions implemented by regional programs to achieve compliance and enforcement activities utilized by the State to compel regional compliance; and</p> <p>Strategies implemented by the State to address the personnel shortages, including collaboration with training institutions in the State.</p>

**Table B – Nevada Part C
Previously Identified Issues**

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p><u>SPP Indicators 8A and 8C:</u></p> <p>Conducting timely transition planning, under 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(2)(i), 303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>In the October 4, 2005 APR response letter, OSEP required that NDHR submit data in the SPP demonstrating progress toward correcting noncompliance to ensure that all children potentially eligible for Part B had timely transition planning conferences (34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i)).</p> <p>Special Conditions were imposed on Nevada’s Part C FFY 2005 Part C grant to ensure compliance with the timely transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(2)(i), 303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>	<p>NDHR submitted data and analysis in Indicator 8 of the SPP.</p> <p>8A: NDHR reported a 50% level of compliance (of files reviewed) with the IFSP transition steps and services requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>8C: NDHR reported a 67% level of compliance (of files reviewed) with the transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p> <p>NDHR included in the SPP data collection and improvement strategies to achieve compliance.</p>	<p>8A and 8C: NDHR provided information in its SPP as the Progress Report on the Special Conditions in the State’s FFY 2005 grant award. The data indicate continued noncompliance with the requirements of:</p> <p>8A: IFSP transition planning content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h); and</p> <p>8C: Timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p> <p>The data and information provided indicates that the State has not yet satisfied this Special Condition on its Part C FFY 2005 grant award.</p>	<p>Under the Special Conditions, a final Progress Report is due April 14, 2006. Failure to demonstrate compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 8A and timely transition conferences in 8C in the final Progress Report may result in the State being identified as a “high risk” grantee or otherwise affect the State’s FFY 2006 grant award.</p> <p>To meet Special Condition requirements, NDHR must provide in its April 2006 report:</p> <p>Data for December 2005 and January, February, and March 2006, disaggregated by Region, showing the number and percentage of children potentially eligible for Part B who have a transition planning meeting at least 90 days prior to the third birthday; for children who do not have a transition planning meeting at least 90 days prior to the third birthday, data and descriptions for why the timeline was not met (this data may be collected through sampling or monitoring);</p> <p>Corrective actions implemented by regional programs to achieve compliance and enforcement activities utilized by the State to compel regional compliance; and</p> <p>Strategies implemented by the State to address the personnel shortages, including collaboration with training institutions in the State.</p>