

Table B – Part C (District of Columbia)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicators 5 & 6: Child Find (34 CFR §§303.320-303.321)</p> <p>In the OSEP August 29, 2005 response to the District's FFY 2003 APR, OSEP required the District to confirm, in the State Performance Plan (SPP), that it had implemented strategies from its Improvement Plan (IP) regarding its child find and public awareness activities.</p>	<p>The District reported information in its SPP regarding its child find and public awareness activities.</p>	<p>The District provided the requested information.</p>	<p>No further action required.</p>

Table B – Part C (District of Columbia)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 7: 45-day Timeline for Evaluations (34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2) and 303.322(e)(1))</p> <p>In its June 2002 Monitoring Report, OSEP found that not all children who were referred for early intervention services received an <u>evaluation</u> within the 45-day timeline. OSEP approved the District’s revised Improvement Plan on June 26, 2003, and required correction by June 26, 2004. In the OSEP August 29, 2005 response to the District’s FFY 2003 APR, OSEP required the District to provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Updated data from the Hospital for Sick Children (HSC) demonstrating compliance with the 45-day timeline for evaluations; and 2. Updated record review data demonstrating compliance with the 45-day timeline for evaluations. <p>If the data continued to demonstrate noncompliance, the District of Columbia’s Early Intervention Program (DCEIP) must also include in its SPP its plan to ensure compliance as soon as possible.</p>	<p>The District reported the following information in the SPP regarding the OSEP request of August 29, 2005:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The District report that HSC continued to demonstrate non-compliance with the 45-day timeline, but reported some gains in moving HSC to compliance (SPP Indicator 9, pages 42-43). 2. The District provided data from the FFY 2003 APR showing an 84% level of compliance. <p>The SPP included improvement activities to correct noncompliance.</p>	<p>The District did not provide updated data on compliance with the 45-day timeline for evaluations (34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2) and 303.322(e)(1)).</p> <p>District of Columbia’s Early Intervention Program (DCEIP)</p>	<p>By June 1, 2006, the State must provide updated data (regarding HSC and other providers) demonstrating full compliance with the requirement for conducting evaluations within 45 days of referral. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may result in the District being identified as a “high risk” grantee or otherwise affect the District’s FFY 2006 grant award.</p>

Table B – Part C (District of Columbia)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 8C: Transition Conference (34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i))</p> <p>OSEP’s June 2002 Monitoring Report identified noncompliance with the requirement in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), the transition conference. OSEP approved the District’s revised Improvement Plan on June 26, 2003, and required correction by June 26, 2004. In the OSEP August 29, 2005 response to the District’s FFY 2003 APR, OSEP required the District to provide data and analysis demonstrating progress in ensuring compliance with 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), the transition conference.</p>	<p>In Indicator 8C, the District reported a 95.8% level of compliance with completing the transition conference in a timely manner, when delays caused by the family were factored out – 18 families contributed to the missed deadline.</p>	<p>While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires continued implementation of improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the District in working toward compliance with this requirement.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p>

Table B – Part C (District of Columbia)

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 9: General Supervision (34 CFR §303.501)</p> <p>In its June 2002 Monitoring Report, OSEP found that the District’s general supervision system did not ensure the correction of noncompliance among participating programs and agencies in the Part C early intervention program. OSEP approved the District’s revised Improvement Plan on June 26, 2003, and required correction by June 26, 2004. In the OSEP August 29, 2005 response to the District’s FFY 2003 APR, OSEP required the District to provide information regarding the 12 validation visits or calls that it completed in March 2005 and the 9 calls scheduled for April 2005, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A list of each Part C finding of noncompliance identified by the District; 2. The information or data required by the District showing whether the noncompliance had been corrected; and 3. If the noncompliance was not corrected, the specific corrective actions the District is requiring of the sub-grantees to ensure that the noncompliance is corrected within one year of when the District identified it. 	<p>The District reported the following information in the SPP regarding the OSEP request of August 29, 2005:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pages 34 to 41 of the SPP provided a list of each Part C noncompliance identified during the District’s 2004-2005 monitoring cycle; 2. Pages 34 to 41 included the data or information required by the District showing whether the noncompliance had been corrected; and 3. Pages 34 to 41 included information regarding the actions the District is taking with regard to the noncompliance that has not been corrected. 	<p>While the SPP indicated that noncompliance would be identified and corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification (pages 32 and 42), based on dates included in the chart on pages 34 - 41, it appears that the District’s timeline for correction of noncompliance is one year from the date of the corrective action plan (CAP).</p> <p>The District provided information showing that its general supervision system has ensured the correction of some of the noncompliance it identified among participating programs and agencies in the Part C early intervention program.</p>	<p>In the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, the District must confirm that it requires the noncompliance it identifies to be corrected within one year of identification (and not one year from the date of the CAP).</p> <p>In the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, the District must also submit updated correction data regarding the noncompliance listed in the chart on pages 34 to 41 of its SPP, and provide any further updated monitoring data collected by the District.</p>