

**Table A – Part C (District of Columbia)**  
**Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p><b>Indicator 1:</b>            Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.            (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance – The District reported an 88% level of compliance for Indicator 1 in the SPP, specifically the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p>	<p>The District must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with this requirement. The District should review and, if necessary, revise its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the District to include data in the APR that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP’s determination of the District’s status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p>
<p><b>Indicator 7:</b>            Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.            (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance – In Indicator 7, the State reported an 84% level of compliance with the requirement to hold the initial IFSP meeting within 45 days of referral, specifically the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2) and 303.342(a). See Table B for prior noncompliance regarding the 45-day timeline for evaluations.</p>	<p>The State must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline for the initial IFSP meeting. The State should review and, if necessary, revise its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP’s determination of the State’s status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p> <p>See Table B for prior noncompliance regarding the 45-day timeline for evaluations.</p>

**Table A – Part C (District of Columbia)  
Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

<b>SPP Indicator</b>	<b>Issue</b>	<b>Required Action</b>
<p><b>Indicator 8:</b></p> <p>Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</li> <li>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</li> <li>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</li> </ul> <p>(20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>See Table B for prior noncompliance regarding Indicator 8C.</p>	<p>See Table B for prior noncompliance regarding Indicator 8C.</p>
<p><b>Indicator 9:</b></p> <p>General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>See Table B for prior noncompliance regarding Indicator 9.</p>	<p>See Table B for prior noncompliance regarding Indicator 9.</p>
<p><b>Indicator 14:</b></p> <p>State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Other – the District initiated an internal comprehensive audit to determine the exact count of children who received services during fiscal year (October 1, 2004 to September 30, 2005). The SPP indicated that the review was being completed due to the absence of a working management information system and the need to validate the number of children who participated in the District’s system during the previous fiscal year. The District anticipated completion of the validation review by the end of December 2005 (SPP pages 28, 52, and 53).</p>	<p>The District must include, in the FFY 2005 APR due February 1, 2007, an update on its efforts to ensure accurate data, including the findings from the comprehensive audit and the status of implementation of the web-based data collection system.</p>