

**Table A –Colorado Part C
Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 1: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)</p>	<p><u>Noncompliance:</u> On page 2 of the SPP, Colorado reported a compliance level of 87% (based on a review of 65% of the current IFSPs in the State’s database), and 90% (based on a provider survey of services initiated within 2-3 weeks of parent consent), for the requirements of Indicator 1, specifically the timely early intervention service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p> <p>The State reported that the baseline data is an estimate based on the State’s new definition of “timely”(which is “no later than 28 days from the date the parent(s) consents to IFSP services”). Colorado stated in the SPP that accurate baseline data will be established by July 2006 from all current IFSPs in the State’s database.</p> <p><u>Other:</u> In the State’s computation of its baseline data for this compliance indicator, the State may have included in its reported percentage children for whom reasonable delays were attributable to exceptional child or family circumstances documented in the child’s record.</p>	<p><u>Noncompliance:</u> The State must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and include valid and reliable data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with this requirement. The State should review and, if necessary revise, its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP’s determination of the State’s status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p> <p><u>Other:</u> In the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, the State should not include in the calculation children for whom the State has identified the cause for the delay as exceptional child or family circumstances documented in the child’s record. The State must include in its discussion of data, the numbers it used to determine its calculation under this Indicator and report separately the number of documented delays attributable to child or family circumstances.</p>

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 7: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p><u>Noncompliance:</u> See Table B.</p>	<p><u>Noncompliance:</u> See Table B.</p>
<p>Indicator 8: Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p><u>Noncompliance:</u> The State reported a 95% level of compliance with <u>Indicator 8A</u>, the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the State in working toward compliance with this requirement. See Table B for discussion of <u>Indicators 8B and 8C</u>.</p>	<p><u>Noncompliance:</u> OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. See Table B for discussion of <u>Indicators 8B and 8C</u>.</p>