Honorable Timothy Webb  
Commissioner  
Tennessee Department of Education  
Andrew Johnson Tower, 6th Floor  
710 James Robertson Parkway  
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0375  

Dear Commissioner Webb:

Thank you for the timely submission of Tennessee’s Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2008 Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The Department has determined that, under IDEA section 616(d), Tennessee needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA. The Department’s determination is based on the totality of the State’s data and information including the State’s FFY 2008 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, and other publicly available information. See the enclosure entitled “How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the IDEA in 2010: Part B” for further details.

The specific factors affecting the Office of Special Education Programs’ (OSEP’s) determination of needs assistance for Tennessee include that the State’s FFY 2008 data reflect 81.48% compliance for Indicator 16 and 89% compliance for Indicator 15. For these reasons, we are unable to determine that Tennessee met requirements for FFY 2008 under IDEA section 616(d).

OSEP notes other areas that reflect a high level of performance, which include that Tennessee reported valid and reliable data for all indicators and a high level of compliance for Indicator 9 (0%), Indicator 10 (0%), Indicator 11 (96%), Indicator 12 (95%), Indicator 17 (100%), and Indicator 20 (95%). We hope that Tennessee will be able to demonstrate that it meets requirements in its next APR.

The enclosed table provides OSEP’s analysis of the State’s FFY 2008 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP’s review of any revisions made by the State to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources), and baseline data in the State’s SPP. The table also identifies, by indicator: (1) the State’s reported FFY 2008 data; (2) whether such data met the State’s FFY 2008 targets and reflect progress or slippage from prior year’s data; (3) if applicable, that the State’s data are not valid and reliable; and (4) whether the State corrected findings of noncompliance.

The State’s determination for the FFY 2006 and FFY 2007 APRs was also needs assistance. In accordance with section 616(e)(1) of the IDEA and 34 CFR §300.604, if a State is determined to need assistance for two consecutive years, the Secretary must take one or more of the following actions: (1) advise the State of available sources of technical assistance that may help the State address the areas in which the State needs assistance; (2) direct the use of State-level funds on
the area or areas in which the State needs assistance; or (3) identify the State as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the State’s Part B grant award.

Pursuant to these requirements, the Secretary is advising the State of available sources of technical assistance related to Indicator 15, general supervision system identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification, and Indicator 16, percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. A list of sources of technical assistance related to the SPP/APR indicators is available by clicking on the “Technical Assistance Related to Determinations” box on the opening page of the SPP/APR Planning Calendar website at http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfncnetwork.org/. You will be directed to a list of indicators. Click on specific indicators for a list of centers, documents, web seminars and other sources of relevant technical assistance for that indicator. For the indicator(s) listed above, your State must report to OSEP, with its FFY 2009 APR submission, due February 1, 2011: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. Also, the State must report to OSEP by October 1, 2010, how the technical assistance selected by the State is addressing the factors contributing to the ongoing noncompliance related to this indicator. The extent to which your State takes advantage of available technical assistance for these indicators may affect the actions OSEP takes under section 616 should your State not be determined to meet requirements next year. We encourage Tennessee to take advantage of available sources of technical assistance in other areas as well, particularly if the State is reporting low compliance data for an indicator.

As required by section 616(e)(7) of the IDEA and 34 CFR §300.606, the State must notify the public within the State that the Secretary of Education has taken the above enforcement action, including, at a minimum, by posting a public notice on the agency’s website and distributing the notice to the media and through public agencies.

As you know, pursuant to IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 34 CFR §300.602(b)(1)(i)(A), your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each local educational agency (LEA) located in the State on the targets in the SPP as soon as practicable, but no later than June 2, 2010. In addition, your State must: (1) review LEA performance against targets in the State’s SPP; (2) determine if each LEA “meets requirements,” or “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention” in implementing Part B of the IDEA; (3) take appropriate enforcement action; and (4) inform each LEA of its determination. 34 CFR §300.600(a)(2) and (3). For further information regarding these requirements, see the SPP/APR Calendar at http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfncnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656. Finally, if your State included revisions to baseline, targets or improvement activities in its APR submission, and OSEP accepted those revisions, please ensure that your SPP is updated accordingly and that the updated SPP is posted on the State’s website and made available to the public, consistent with 34 CFR §300.602(b)(1)(i)(B).

OSEP is committed to supporting Tennessee’s efforts to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have
any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please contact Daniel Schreier, your OSEP State Contact, at 202-245-6552.

Sincerely,

Alexa Posny, Ph.D.
Acting Director
Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosures
cc: State Director of Special Education