



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

JUN - 3 2010

Honorable Virginia M. Barry
Commissioner of Education
New Hampshire Department of Education
101 Pleasant Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301-3860

Dear Commissioner Barry:

Thank you for the timely submission of New Hampshire's Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2008 Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The Department has determined that, under IDEA section 616(d), New Hampshire needs assistance in meeting the requirements of Part B of IDEA. The Department's determination is based on the totality of the State's data and information including the State's FFY 2008 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, information obtained through the verification visit, and other publicly available information. See the enclosure entitled "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the IDEA in 2010: Part B" for further details.

The specific factor affecting the Office of Special Education Programs' (OSEP's) determination of needs assistance for New Hampshire is that the State's FFY 2008 data reflect 22% compliance for Indicator 16 and 90.47% compliance for Indicator 20. In making its determination of needs assistance, OSEP considered that the State's FFY 2008 data for Indicator 16 are valid and reliable and represent more accurate data than its FFY 2007 data, and the State recalculated its data accurately after OSEP's January 15, 2010 verification visit letter that had found that New Hampshire failed to demonstrate compliance with requirements in 34 CFR §300.152(a) and (b)(1)(i) for timely complaint resolutions. Specifically, the State's FFY 2008 data were calculated using a correct calculation of timeline extensions for complaint investigations, while its FFY 2007 data were based on an incorrect calculation. For these reasons we are unable to determine that New Hampshire met requirements for FFY 2008 under IDEA section 616(d).

OSEP notes other areas that reflect a high level of performance, which include that New Hampshire reported valid and reliable data for all indicators and a high level of compliance for Indicator 9 (0%), Indicator 10 (0%), Indicator 12 (95%), and Indicator 15 (96%). We hope that New Hampshire will be able to demonstrate that it meets requirements in its next APR.

The enclosed table provides OSEP's analysis of the State's FFY 2008 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP's review of any revisions made by the State to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources), and baseline data in the State's SPP. The table also identifies, by indicator: (1) the State's reported FFY 2008 data; (2) whether such data met the State's FFY 2008 targets and reflect progress or slippage from prior year's data; (3) if applicable, that the State's data are not valid and reliable; and (4) whether the State corrected findings of noncompliance.

The State's determination for the FFYs 2005, 2006, and 2007 APRs was also needs assistance. In accordance with section 616(e)(1) of the IDEA and 34 CFR §300.604, if a State is determined to need assistance for two consecutive years, the Secretary must take one or more of the following actions: (1) advise the State of available sources of technical assistance that may help the State address the areas in which the State needs assistance; (2) direct the use of State-level funds on the area or areas in which the State needs assistance; or (3) identify the State as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the State's Part B grant award.

Pursuant to these requirements, the Secretary is advising the State of available sources of technical assistance related to Indicators 16 (State Complaint Timelines) and 20 (State Reported Data). A list of sources of technical assistance related to the SPP/APR indicators is available by clicking on the "Technical Assistance Related to Determinations" box on the opening page of the SPP/APR Planning Calendar website at <http://spp->

400 MARYLAND AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, DC 20202-2600
www.ed.gov

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.


apr-calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/. You will be directed to a list of indicators. Click on specific indicators for a list of centers, documents, web seminars and other sources of relevant technical assistance for that indicator. For the indicator(s) listed above, your State must report with its FFY 2009 APR submission, due February 1, 2011, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The extent to which your State takes advantage of available technical assistance for these indicators may affect the actions OSEP takes under section 616 should your State not be determined to meet requirements next year. We encourage New Hampshire to take advantage of available sources of technical assistance in other areas as well, particularly if the State is reporting low compliance data for an indicator.

As required by section 616(e)(7) of the IDEA and 34 CFR §300.606, the State must notify the public within the State that the Secretary of Education has taken the above enforcement action, including, at a minimum, by posting a public notice on the agency's website and distributing the notice to the media and through public agencies.

As you know, pursuant to IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 34 CFR §300.602(b)(1)(i)(A), your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each local educational agency (LEA) located in the State on the targets in the SPP as soon as practicable, but no later than June 1, 2010,. In addition, your State must: (1) review LEA performance against targets in the State's SPP; (2) determine if each LEA "meets requirements of Part B," or "needs assistance," "needs intervention," or "needs substantial intervention" in implementing Part B of the IDEA; (3), take appropriate enforcement action; and (4) inform each LEA of its determination. 34 CFR §300.600(a)(2) and (3). For further information regarding these requirements, see the SPP/APR Planning Calendar website at: <http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656>. Finally, if your State included revisions to baseline, targets or improvement activities in its APR submission, and OSEP accepted those revisions, please ensure that your SPP is updated accordingly and that the updated SPP is posted on the SEA's website and made available to the public, consistent with 34 CFR §300.602(b)(1)(i)(B).

OSEP is committed to supporting New Hampshire's efforts to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please contact Susan Falkenhan, your OSEP State Contact, at 202-245-7242.

Sincerely,



Alexa Posny, Ph.D.
Acting Director
Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosures

cc: State Director of Special Education