

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma compared to percent of all youth in the State graduating with a regular diploma. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 52%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 65.18%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 65.18%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>2. Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school compared to the percent of all youth in the State dropping out of high school. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 38.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 23.54%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 23.54%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments: A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments: B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.59% for Spanish and 98.43% for math. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 95.52% for Spanish and 96.99% for math. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 targets of 98.73% for Spanish and 98.44% for math.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]		
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 39.29% for Spanish and 46.69% for math. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 29.86% for Spanish and 37.82% for math.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets of 32% for Spanish and 39% for math.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year; and</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 0.0011%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 0.002%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets of 0.003%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, clarification on the FFY 2006 data. The State provided the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>As noted in the revised Part B Indicator Measurement Table, in reporting on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must again describe the results of the State's examination of data from FFY 2007 (2007-2008).</p>
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>B. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year of children with disabilities by race and ethnicity.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>States were not required to report on this indicator for FFY 2007.</p>	<p>The State is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>5. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21:</p> <p>A. Removed from regular class less</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>than 21% of the day; B. Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day; or C. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements. [Results Indicator]</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	
	A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.	81	81.7	73.5	0.70%	
	B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.	10	11.46	14.6	-1.46%	
	C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	0.36	1.08	1.32	-0.72%	
	These data represent progress for 5A and slippage for 5B and 5C. The State met all its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.					
<p>6. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (i.e., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings). [Results Indicator]</p>	States were not required to report on this indicator for FFY 2007.					The State is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
<p>7. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy); and</p>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:					The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
	07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data		Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	1.1	2.6	1.8	
	b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	20.7	11.4	9.2	
	c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	37.6	41.0	34.3	
	d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	25.8	35.4	36.2	
	e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	14.8	9.6	18.5	
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	
<p>8. Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 83%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 76%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 89.6%.</p>				<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>9. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	Not applicable.				Not applicable.
<p>10. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific</p>	Not applicable.				Not applicable.

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>11. Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated within 60 days (or State-established timeline).</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 83.01%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 82.85%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table, the 2007 Compliance Agreement, and the October 16, 2008 Verification Visit letter required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, information demonstrating that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005. The State reported that the backlogs of initial evaluations from FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 were eliminated.</p> <p>The State reported that all 2,701 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely initial evaluation requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that with respect to noncompliance reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, the PRDE: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has completed initial evaluation although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the State, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>12. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 42.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 30.27%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 and FFY 2005 with the early childhood transition requirements</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table and October 16, 2008 Verification Visit letter required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, information demonstrating that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005. The State reported “due to the manual nature of the Part C to Part B transition files prior to FFY 2007, it would be unduly burdensome for PRDE to address the specific information regarding the correction of all previously identified noncompliance under this indicator... to do so would require an exorbitant amount of resources, including a complete review of the files of all students transition from Part C to Part B during those years. Accordingly, it is not feasible for Puerto Rico to gather this specific information regarding the outstanding data from the FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 APRs considering the manual nature of those files” (pg. 49).</p>	<p>in 34 CFR §300.124(b) was not corrected. The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision systems. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected this noncompliance. OSEP is available to provide technical assistance on additional options for addressing the previous noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.124(b), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that with respect to the noncompliance reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, the PRDE: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed and implemented the IEP, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the State, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>13. Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 and FFY 2005 with</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>provided invalid FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, the required data and correct measurement and the State provided the required data. The response table also required the State to provide information demonstrating that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005. The State reported, “in order, then, to report on noncompliance with this requirement in prior years, PRDE would have to conduct a complete review anew of the files of all students 16 or above in years past, as they existed at the time, to determine where any actual noncompliance existed. This is not a feasible undertaking and would demand a degree of resources that PRDE cannot take away from current efforts to ensure compliance” (pg. 53).</p>	<p>the secondary transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.320(b) was not corrected. The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision systems. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected this noncompliance. OSEP is available to provide technical assistance on additional options for addressing the previous noncompliance.</p> <p>Although the State is not required to report data for this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must report on the timely correction of the noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that with respect to noncompliance reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, the PRDE: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IEP that includes the required transition content for each youth, unless the youth is no longer within the jurisdiction of the State, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>14. Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school.</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 82.34%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State provided invalid FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 91.33%.</p> <p>In its description of its FFY 2007 data, the State reported in the clarified submission on April 13, 2009, “PRDE considers the response group, 69% of the entire census of students who exited for purposes of Indicator 14, to be</p>	<p>The State is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]	<p>representative of the population” (pg. 58). However, the State did not address how it determined that the data were representative of the population (i.e., demographic information, type of disability, or gender).</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, the required data and correct measurement. The State provided the required information.</p>	
<p>15. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 50.7%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State’s FFY 2006 data were not valid and reliable.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 38 of 75 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining findings subsequently were corrected by February 2, 2009. The State reported that it also has corrected all FFY 2008 findings.</p> <p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, information on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the longstanding noncompliance of the remaining eight agencies; 2. the status of the correction of noncompliance with the assistive technology equipment and services; 3. the disaggregated data of initial evaluations and reevaluations; 4. the required data for Indicator 15; and 5. the correction of all remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 (see also, status under Indicators 12 and 13). <p>The State provided the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. reported correction of noncompliance from the remaining eight agencies with longstanding noncompliance; 2. did not report more current information, but as of July 1, 2008, reported elimination of the backlog of requests for assistive technology equipment and services from FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 and for FFY 2007; completion of assistive technology evaluations for 619 out of 1,037 requests (59.7%), with 289 students who waited over 	<p>Although OSEP appreciates that the State has made significant progress in several areas, PRDE has not yet demonstrated that it is in substantial compliance with the requirements related to assistive technology equipment and services; timely reevaluations; the early childhood transition; secondary transition; and equitable participation. The State’s failure to correct longstanding noncompliance raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the State’s general supervision systems. The State must take the steps necessary to ensure that it can report, in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that it has corrected this noncompliance. OSEP is available to provide technical assistance on additional options for addressing the previous noncompliance.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of the noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that the PRDE is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements that resulted in noncompliance reported in the</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>120 days for an assistive technology evaluation; reported 418 students still awaiting an assistive technology evaluation; and, did not report on the number of students awaiting technology services and equipment;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. reported elimination of the backlog of requests for initial evaluations and reevaluations from FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 and for FFY 2007, timely completion of 93.84% of reevaluations as of November 1, 2008, reported 1,285 students still awaiting a reevaluation; 4. the required data for Indicator 15; and 5. the status of correction of the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and FFY 2006. <p><u>APR Supplemental Report</u></p> <p>OSEP’s October 16, 2008 Verification Visit letter and the 2007 Compliance Agreement required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a description of PRDE’s system for identifying and correcting noncompliance; 2. information demonstrating that PRDE fully complies with the equitable participation requirements; and 3. information demonstrating that all schools and district offices within the PRDE system implement PRDE’s fiscal procedures in a manner that is consistent with IDEA Part B. <p>The State provided the description of PRDE’s system for identifying and correcting noncompliance and the State submitted some information relevant to the equitable participation requirements and fiscal procedures requirements. OSEP also reviewed equitable participation requirements and fiscal procedures requirements during its March 16-18, 2009 site visit.</p>	<p>FFY 2007 APR, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 11, 12 and 13 in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 15 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 15 Worksheet.</p>
<p>16. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92.65%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 56.04%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP’s October 16, 2008 Verification Visit letter and the 2007 Compliance Agreement required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely complaint</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>2, 2009:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PRDE’s complaint procedures consistent with the revised IDEA State complaint requirements; 2. PRDE’s model form to assist parents and other parties in filing a State complaint; 3. information demonstrating that the State investigates and resolves all written State complaints; and 4. information demonstrating that the State addresses all allegations in State complaints including the future provision of services. <p>The State provided a copy of the complaint procedures and model form and information to address the requirement that the State investigates and resolves all written State complaints and that the State addresses all allegations in State complaints including the future provision of services.</p>	<p>resolution requirements in 34 CFR §300.152.</p>
<p>17. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the 45-day timeline or a timeline that is properly extended by the hearing officer at the request of either party. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 50.06%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 51.5%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the due process hearing timelines requirements in 34 CFR §300.515.</p>
<p>18. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 60.13%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 50.3%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 50.3%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>19. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 69.97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 57.9%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 62.5%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>20. State reported data (618 and</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However,</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement</p>

Puerto Rico Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>OSEP’s calculation of the data for this indicator is 88%. These remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 88%.</p> <p>The Departmentwide 2007 Compliance Agreement with Puerto Rico required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, a description of any revisions in PRDE’s data collection and reporting system to ensure the timely, accurate submission of 618 and SPP/APR data. The State reported on page 93 of its FFY 2007 APR:</p> <p>...with this being the first year of pulling the data for the tables from SEASWeb, PRDE dedicated significant resources to its validation efforts. PRDE has received extensive technical assistance from the Data Accountability Center (DAC). Validation efforts included comparing data from the system to data recorded manually from all of the service centers and school districts. Since it is a new system this validation process was necessary to proved [sic] the system capacities for managing data, and also to monitor the data entry which was crucial for the system availability for accurately reporting. Island wide training was set for teachers and supervisors in order to teach the functionality of the system and to train in data entry and system features. The system is been [sic] built up in phases. Beginning with data entry and monitoring the data entered was part of the first steps. Meanwhile fields were developed in the system to cover reporting necessities like OSEP performance indicators and the RLV court case consent decree and other additional data reporting.</p>	<p>activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616 and 618 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 300.601(b).</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 20 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 20 Data Rubric.</p>