

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma compared to percent of all youth in the State graduating with a regular diploma. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 23.16%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 22.87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 23.37%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>2. Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school compared to the percent of all youth in the State dropping out of high school. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 16.76%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 17.88%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 12.24%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments: A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its FFY 2007 through 2010 targets for this indicator, and OSEP accepts those revisions. In the SPP and in the FFY 2005, 2006 and 2007 APRs, the State reported its data and targets separately for reading and math. The revised targets combine reading and math into a single target for each year, and the data that the State reports for FFY 2007 are also a single combined percentage for the two content areas. OSEP considers the FFY 2007 data to be the State's new baseline for this indicator. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets. The State's FFY 2007 reported data, and the revised baseline for this indicator are 47.5%. The data are not comparable with the FFY 2006 data because, as noted above, the State revised the targets for this indicator by combining the previous math and reading content targets into one. The State met its revised FFY 2007 target of 40%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments: B. Participation rate for children</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 95.7% for reading and 95.6% for math. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93.8% for reading,</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>and progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93.6% for math.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets of 95%.</p>	
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State explained that the revised targets are based on revised, more rigorous curricula frameworks in language arts and mathematics, and are aligned with those new frameworks. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 19% for reading and 23.6% for math.</p> <p>These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 36.4% for reading, and slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 37.1% for math.</p> <p>The State did not meet its revised FFY 2007 targets of 32.3% for reading and 35.7% for math.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR.</p>
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year; and</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.32%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 3.29%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 0%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p> <p>As noted in the revised Part B Indicator Measurement Table, in reporting on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must again describe the results of the State's examination of data from FFY 2007 (2007-2008). In addition, the State must describe the results of the review, and if appropriate, revision of policies, procedures and</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
		practices relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards to ensure compliance with the IDEA for LEAs identified with significant discrepancies in FFY 2007, as required by 34 CFR §300.170(b).																				
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>B. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year of children with disabilities by race and ethnicity.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	States were not required to report on this indicator for FFY 2007.	The State is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR.																				
<p>5. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21:</p> <p>A. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day;</p> <p>B. Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day; or</p> <p>C. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 954 1472 1433"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.</td> <td>60.67</td> <td>63.19</td> <td>55.47</td> <td>2.52%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.</td> <td>17.22</td> <td>14.44</td> <td>19.48</td> <td>2.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.</td> <td>1.92</td> <td>2.13</td> <td>1.99</td> <td>-0.21%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.	60.67	63.19	55.47	2.52%	B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.	17.22	14.44	19.48	2.78	C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	1.92	2.13	1.99	-0.21%	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.	60.67	63.19	55.47	2.52%																		
B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.	17.22	14.44	19.48	2.78																		
C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	1.92	2.13	1.99	-0.21%																		

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
	<p>These data represent progress for 5A and 5B, and slippage for 5C.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 targets for 5A and 5B, and did not meet its target for 5C.</p>																									
<p>6. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (i.e., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>States were not required to report on this indicator for FFY 2007.</p>	<p>The State is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR.</p>																								
<p>7. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 800 1419 1482"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 800 1062 972">07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 800 1182 972">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1182 800 1299 972">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1299 800 1419 972">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 972 1062 1040">a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 972 1182 1040">6.37</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 972 1299 1040">6.35</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1299 972 1419 1040">6.57</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1040 1062 1177">b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1040 1182 1177">5.59</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1040 1299 1177">12.96</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1299 1040 1419 1177">11.47</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1177 1062 1279">c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1177 1182 1279">3.38</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1177 1299 1279">6.09</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1299 1177 1419 1279">4.04</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1279 1062 1382">d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1279 1182 1382">8.80</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1279 1299 1382">12.66</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1299 1279 1419 1382">6.79</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1382 1062 1482">e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1382 1182 1482">75.86</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1382 1299 1482">61.94</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1299 1382 1419 1482">70.83</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	6.37	6.35	6.57	b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	5.59	12.96	11.47	c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	3.38	6.09	4.04	d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	8.80	12.66	6.79	e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	75.86	61.94	70.83	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	6.37	6.35	6.57																							
b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	5.59	12.96	11.47																							
c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	3.38	6.09	4.04																							
d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	8.80	12.66	6.79																							
e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	75.86	61.94	70.83																							

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	99.70%	
<p>8. Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>OSEP’s June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, the required progress data for 7B. The State provided the required progress data.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 63.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 61.75%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 65.45% for this indicator. The State reported that its selection of districts from which it has collected data for this indicator has been tied to the selection of districts for focused monitoring reviews under the <i>Mattie T.</i> Consent Decree, and these visits have typically been to districts with high Black enrollment. The State further reported that the response group has been representative of the population <i>in these districts.</i></p>				<p>The State reported that the data for this indicator were based on a parent survey response group that is not representative of the State’s population. The State described steps that it will take to collect representative data from all districts in the State each year. In the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State must continue to indicate whether its response group is representative of the State’s population and, if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.</p>
<p>9. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 0%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 0%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 0%.</p> <p>The State reported that no districts were identified as having disproportionate representation of racial or ethnic groups in special education and related services based on the State’s calculation of the data.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts regarding this indicator.</p>
<p>10. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 0%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 0%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 0%.</p> <p>The State reported that no districts were identified as having disproportionate representation of racial or ethnic groups in specific disability categories based on the State’s calculation of the data.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts regarding this indicator.</p>
<p>11. Percent of children with</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92.52%. These data</p>				<p>The State reported that noncompliance</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated within 60 days (or State-established timeline).</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 80%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that “any noncompliance for FFY 2006, including those under Indicator 11, has been corrected.”</p>	<p>identified in FFY 2006 with the timely initial evaluation requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate in its FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §300.301(c), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each LEA with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has completed the initial evaluation, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the LEA, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>12. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 91.76%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 29.43%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that “any noncompliance for FFY 2006, including those under Indicator 12, has been corrected.”</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the early childhood transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.124(b) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>requirements in 34 CFR §300.124(b), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each LEA with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed and implemented the IEP, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the LEA, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>13. Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 96.42%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93.51%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that findings of noncompliance found in four of five LEAs identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the one LEA with uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it is taking additional enforcement actions.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the secondary transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.320(b) was partially corrected.</p> <p>Although the State is not required to report data for this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must report on the timely correction of the noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR and on correction of the remaining noncompliance from FFY 2006.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each LEA with noncompliance</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR and the remaining LEA with noncompliance identified in FFY 2006: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the LEA, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>14. Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 62.77%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 58.97%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 60.97%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>15. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 92.50%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 83.78%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 37 of 40 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected findings of noncompliance remaining, the State reported that it is taking additional action towards that one LEA.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009, evidence that the State had corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 15 from</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, that the State has corrected the remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 that were not reported as corrected in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State timely</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>FFY 2005. The State provided evidence that the most recent visit to the district (December 2008) indicated correction of previously identified noncompliance, including a letter sent to the Office of Accreditation verifying that all compliance had been met by this district.</p>	<p>corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 under this indicator in accordance with 20 U.S.C. 1232d(b)(3)(E) and 34 CFR §§300.149 and 300.600(e) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each LEA with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 11, 12, and 13 in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 15 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 15 Worksheet.</p>
<p>16. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on four complaints and remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §300.152.</p>
<p>17. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on three due process hearings and remain unchanged from the FFY</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the due process</p>

Mississippi Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>fully adjudicated within the 45-day timeline or a timeline that is properly extended by the hearing officer at the request of either party.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>hearing requirements in 34 CFR §300.515.</p>
<p>18. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 50%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>19. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 78.57%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 76.1%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 75%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>20. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616 and 618 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 300.601(b).</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 20 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 20 Data Rubric.</p>