

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma compared to percent of all youth in the State graduating with a regular diploma.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 78%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 78%.</p> <p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 target of 75%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates CNMI's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school compared to the percent of all youth in the State dropping out of high school.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 9%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 7%.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 3%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to CNMI's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>This indicator is not applicable to CNMI.</p>	
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement</p>	<p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97% for reading and 96% for math.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93% for reading and progress from the FFY 2006 data of 95% for math.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to CNMI's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
standards. [Results Indicator]		
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 12% for reading and 13% for math.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 7% for reading and progress from the FFY 2006 data of 10% for math.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 20%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required CNMI to include in the FFY 2007 APR due February 2, 2009, data on children with disabilities who took the alternate assessment for children with significant cognitive disabilities that was implemented in the 2007-2008 school year. CNMI provided that data on pages 15, 16, and 17 of the FFY 2007 APR.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to CNMI's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year; and</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised its targets and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts the revisions to the improvement activities.</p> <p>CNMI indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.</p> <p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 2.8%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 1.73%.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its target of .35%.</p> <p>CNMI reported a revised definition of "significant discrepancy."</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required CNMI to include in the FFY 2007 APR a description of the review, and if appropriate, revision of policies, procedures, and practices relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards to ensure compliance with the IDEA, as required by 34 CFR §300.170(b). CNMI reviewed its policies, procedures, and practices.</p> <p>In addition, OSEP's June 6, 2008 SPP/APR response table required CNMI in the FFY 2007 APR to determine whether there are significant discrepancies occurring in the rate of long-term suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities compared to the rates for nondisabled children. CNMI provided</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to CNMI's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010. As noted in the revised Part B Indicator Measurement Table, in reporting on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, CNMI must again describe the results of CNMI's examination of data from FFY 2007 (2007-2008). In addition, CNMI must describe the review, and if appropriate, revision of policies, procedures and practices relating to the development and implementation of the IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards to ensure compliance with 34 CFR §300.170(b).</p>

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	those data in the FFY 2007 APR.																					
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>B. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year of children with disabilities by race and ethnicity.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>States were not required to report on this indicator for FFY 2007.</p>	<p>CNMI is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																				
<p>5. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21:</p> <p>A. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day;</p> <p>B. Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day; or</p> <p>C. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 862 1467 1341"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.</td> <td align="center">74</td> <td align="center">74</td> <td align="center">70</td> <td align="center">0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.</td> <td align="center">8</td> <td align="center">8</td> <td align="center">5.3</td> <td align="center">0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.</td> <td align="center">.14</td> <td align="center">.14</td> <td align="center">.9</td> <td align="center">0.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 targets for 5A and 5C and did not meet its target for 5B.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.	74	74	70	0.00%	B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.	8	8	5.3	0.00%	C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	.14	.14	.9	0.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates CNMI's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to CNMI's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. % Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day.	74	74	70	0.00%																		
B. % Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day.	8	8	5.3	0.00%																		
C. % Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	.14	.14	.9	0.00%																		

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
<p>6. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (i.e., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>States were not required to report on this indicator for FFY 2007.</p>	<p>CNMI is not required to report on this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																												
<p>7. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 646 1419 1438"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 646 1062 824">07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 646 1182 824">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1182 646 1302 824">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1302 646 1419 824">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 824 1062 894">a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 824 1182 894">0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 824 1302 894">0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1302 824 1419 894">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 894 1062 1029">b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 894 1182 1029">3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 894 1302 1029">10</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1302 894 1419 1029">10.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1029 1062 1130">c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1029 1182 1130">59</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1029 1302 1130">59</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1302 1029 1419 1130">41.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1130 1062 1230">d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1130 1182 1230">35</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1130 1302 1230">31</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1302 1130 1419 1230">41.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1230 1062 1365">e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1230 1182 1365">3</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1230 1302 1365">0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1302 1230 1419 1365">7</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1365 1062 1438">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1365 1182 1438">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1182 1365 1302 1438">100.00 %</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1302 1365 1419 1438">100.00 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	0	0	0	b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	3	10	10.3	c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	59	59	41.35	d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	35	31	41.35	e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	3	0	7	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	<p>CNMI reported the required progress data and improvement activities. CNMI must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Preschool Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	0	0	0																											
b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	3	10	10.3																											
c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	59	59	41.35																											
d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	35	31	41.35																											
e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	3	0	7																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %																											
<p>8. Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services</p>	<p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 86%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 85%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates CNMI's efforts to</p>																												

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 target of 85%.</p>	<p>improve performance.</p>
<p>9. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>This indicator is not applicable to CNMI.</p>	
<p>10. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>This indicator is not applicable to CNMI.</p>	
<p>11. Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated within 60 days (or State-established timeline).</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 48%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 27%.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 response table required CNMI to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009 data demonstrating that uncorrected FFY 2005 noncompliance with the timely evaluation requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1) reported in the FFY 2006 APR was corrected. CNMI reported that all 43 remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected.</p> <p>CNMI reported that all 116 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected.</p>	<p>CNMI reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 with the timely initial evaluations requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>CNMI must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that CNMI is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1), including correction of the noncompliance reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>CNMI must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that with respect to noncompliance reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, CNMI: (1) is correctly implementing the specific</p>

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		regulatory requirements; and (2) has completed the evaluation, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of CNMI, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).
<p>12. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates CNMI's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.124(b).</p>
<p>13. Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 94%.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>CNMI reported that six of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner, and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected.</p>	<p>CNMI reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the secondary transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.320(b) was corrected.</p> <p>Although CNMI is not required to report data for this indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, CNMI must report on the timely correction of the noncompliance reported by CNMI under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR. CNMI must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that, with respect to noncompliance reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, CNMI: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has developed an IEP that includes the required transition content for each youth, unless the youth is no longer within the jurisdiction of CNMI, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p>
<p>14. Percent of youth who had IEPs,</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts</p>	<p>CNMI is not required to report on this</p>

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 48%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 43%.</p> <p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 target of 43%.</p>	<p>indicator in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>15. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's reported data for this indicator are 88%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 50%.</p> <p>CNMI did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>CNMI reported that seven of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected. CNMI reported that it will monitor the one school that did not timely correct noncompliance through the review of additional data provided by that school in FFY 2008.</p> <p>CNMI reported that two of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected by December 2007.</p>	<p>CNMI must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable CNMI to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that CNMI timely corrected noncompliance identified by CNMI in FFY 2007, in accordance with 20 U.S.C. 1232d(b)(3)(E) and 34 CFR §§300.149 and 300.600(e) and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance from FFY 2006, CNMI must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through CNMI's monitoring system, through CNMI's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that for identified noncompliance, CNMI is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 11 and 13 in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, CNMI must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 15 in the FFY 2008 APR, CNMI must use the Indicator</p>

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		15 Worksheet.
<p>16. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two complaints. There is no comparable data for FFY 2006 because CNMI reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints in the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p> <p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates CNMI's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §300.152.</p>
<p>17. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the 45-day timeline or a timeline that is properly extended by the hearing officer at the request of either party.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>CNMI reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing CNMI's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>18. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>CNMI reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2007. CNMI is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing CNMI's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>19. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>CNMI reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. CNMI is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing CNMI's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>20. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>CNMI's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 98%.</p> <p>CNMI met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates CNMI's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616 and 618 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 300.601(b).</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 20 in the FFY</p>

**Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Part B FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		2008 APR, CNMI must use the Indicator 20 Data Rubric.