Honorable Tony Bennett  
Superintendent of Public Instruction  
Indiana Department of Education  
State House  
200 West Washington Street, Room 229  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2798  

JUN – 1 2009

Dear Dr. Bennett:

Thank you for the timely submission of Indiana’s Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2007 Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). We also acknowledge the revisions to Indiana’s APR and SPP received on April 7, 2009. We appreciate the State’s efforts in preparing these documents.

The Department has determined that, under IDEA section 616(d), Indiana needs intervention in meeting the requirements of Part B of IDEA. The Department’s determination is based on the totality of the State’s data and information including the State’s FFY 2007 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, information obtained through verification visits and other publicly available information. See the enclosure entitled “How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the IDEA in 2009” for further details.

The specific factor affecting OSEP’s determination for Indiana was that it did not provide valid and reliable data for compliance Indicator 12. The State’s reported data for Indicator 12 were 91.7%, but these data are not valid and reliable because the State’s system could not determine the date on which services began for children transitioning from Part C to Part B, as required by the indicator. The State made revisions to its data system to collect the required data for Indicator 12, but the change was not reflected in the data collected for the FFY 2007 APR. The State also reported to OSEP that it did not make findings of noncompliance for Indicator 12 until May 2008, therefore, it will not be able to show correction of the noncompliance until the FFY 2008 APR, due February 2010. Though not a basis for our determination this year (because the State reported progress), we are concerned with the very low level of compliance (45.1%) reported for Indicator 13. We hope that Indiana will be able to demonstrate that it meets requirements in its next APR.

The enclosed table provides OSEP’s analysis of the State’s FFY 2007 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP’s review of any revisions made by the State to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources) and baseline data in the State’s SPP. The table also identifies, by indicator, the State’s status in meeting its targets, whether the State’s data reflect progress or slippage, and whether the State corrected noncompliance and provided valid and reliable data.

The State’s determination for the FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 APRs was also needs intervention. In accordance with section 616(e) of the IDEA, if a State is determined to need intervention for three or more consecutive years, the Secretary shall: (1) Require any one or more of the
enforcement actions specified under needs assistance; (2) Require the State to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan; (3) Require the State to enter into a compliance agreement; (4) Withhold, in whole or in part, Part B funds; (5) Recover Part B funds under Section 452 of GEPA; or (6) Refer the matter to the Department of Justice.

Pursuant to these requirements, the State must submit a corrective action plan (CAP) that ensures that it can submit with its FFY 2008 APR valid and reliable data regarding Indicator 12 and must utilize available sources of technical assistance. The State must submit its CAP to OSEP within 60 days from the date of this letter.

The Secretary is requiring Indiana to submit a CAP because the Secretary has determined that the State should be able to correct the problem that is the basis for the State’s “needs intervention” determination within one year from this Determination letter and that other enforcement remedies under IDEA section 616(e)(2)(B) are not appropriate at this time. Specifically, the Secretary has determined that the State should be able to submit with its FFY 2008 APR valid and reliable data regarding Indicators 12. The State’s CAP must include the specific actions (including utilizing available technical assistance sources) and timelines by which the State will ensure it will submit, with the State’s FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, valid and reliable data from FFY 2008 for Indicator 12.

As required by section 616(e)(7) and 34 CFR §300.606, the State must notify the public that the Secretary of Education has taken the above enforcement action. This notification must be sufficient to notify the public within the State and may include such mechanisms as posting on the agency’s website, distribution through the media and distribution through public agencies.

Your State may want to consider taking advantage of available sources of technical assistance. A list of sources of technical assistance related to the SPP/APR indicators is available by clicking on the “Technical Assistance Related to Determinations” box on the opening page of the SPP/APR Planning Calendar website at http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfncnetwork.org/techassistance.html. You will be directed to a list of indicators. Click on specific indicators for a list of centers, documents, web seminars and other sources of relevant technical assistance for that indicator.

As you know, your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each local educational agency (LEA) located in the State on the targets in the SPP as soon as practicable, but no later than June 2, 2009, pursuant to IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 34 CFR §300.602(b)(1)(i)(A). In addition, your State must review LEA performance against targets in the State’s SPP, determine if each LEA ‘meets requirements,’ ‘needs assistance,’ ‘needs intervention,’ or ‘needs substantial intervention’ in implementing Part B of the IDEA and inform each LEA of its determination. For further information regarding these requirements, see the SPP/APR Calendar at: http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfncnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656. Finally, as you included revisions to baseline, targets or improvement activities in your APR submission, and OSEP accepted those revisions, please ensure that you update your SPP accordingly and that the updated SPP is made available to the public.

In its October 17, 2008 Memorandum 09-02, “Reporting on Correction of Noncompliance in the Annual Performance Report Required under Sections 616 and 642 of the IDEA,” OSEP provided Chief State School Officers and Lead Agency Directors with important information regarding: (1) requirements for identifying noncompliance and reporting on the correction of noncompliance in States’ APRs; and (2) how OSEP will, beginning with the FFY 2008 APR,
due February 1, 2010, consider the correction of noncompliance in making annual
determinations for States pursuant to section 616(d) of the IDEA. Most significantly, beginning
with our 2010 determinations:

1. OSEP will no longer consider a State to be in substantial compliance relative to a
compliance indicator based on evidence of correction of the previous year’s
noncompliance if the State’s current year data for that indicator reflect a very low level
of compliance (generally 75% or below); and

2. OSEP will credit a State with correction of noncompliance relative to a child-specific
compliance indicator only if the State confirms that it has addressed each instance of
noncompliance identified in the data for an indicator that was reported in the previous
year’s APR, as well as any noncompliance identified by the Department more than one
year previously. The State must specifically report, for each compliance indicator,
whether it has corrected all of the noncompliance identified in its data for that indicator
in the prior year’s APR as well as that identified by the Department more than one year
previously.

It is important for each State to review the guidance in the memorandum, and to raise any
questions with your OSEP State Contact. The memorandum may be found at: http://spp-apr-
calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656.

Pursuant to section 616(d)(2)(B) of the IDEA and 34 CFR §300.603(b)(2), a State that is
determined to need intervention or need substantial intervention, and does not agree with this
determination, may request an opportunity to meet with the Assistant Secretary for Special
Education and Rehabilitative Services to demonstrate why the Department should change the
State’s determination. To request a hearing, submit a letter to Andrew J. Pepin, Delegated the
Authority to Assume the Duties of Assistant Secretary, Office of Special Education and
Rehabilitative Services, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Room 5106, Potomac Center Plaza,
Washington, DC 20202-2600 within 15 days of the date of this letter. The letter must include
the basis for your request for a change in the State’s determination.

OSEP is committed to supporting Indiana’s efforts to improve results for children and youth with
disabilities and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have any
questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please
contact Lynne Fairfax, your OSEP State Contact, at 202-245-7337.

Sincerely,

Patricia J. Guard
Acting Director
Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosures

cc: State Director of Special Education